

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
 3 AT TACOMA

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Docket No. CR05-5828FDB
5 Plaintiff,	)	Tacoma, Washington
6 vs.	)	February 25, 2008
7 BRIANA WATERS,	)	VOLUME 10
8 Defendant.	)	

---

10  
 11 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
 SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, and a jury.

13 APPEARANCES:

14 For the Plaintiff: MARK N. BARTLETT  
 ANDREW C. FRIEDMAN  
 Assistant United States Attorney  
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271

16 For the Defendant: ROBERT BLOOM  
 Attorney at Law  
 3355 Richmond Boulevard  
 Oakland, California 94611

19 NEIL M. FOX  
 Cohen & Iaria  
 1008 Western Ave., Suite 302  
 Seattle, Washington 98104

22 Court Reporter: Teri Hendrix  
 Union Station Courthouse, Rm 3130  
 1717 Pacific Avenue  
 Tacoma, Washington 98402  
 (253) 882-3831

25 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript  
 produced by Reporter on computer.

I N D E X

I N D E X O F W I T N E S S E S

=====

WITNESS ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Page

TED HALLA - CONTINUED

Cross Continued by Mr. Fox..... 1874  
 Redirect by Mr. Bartlett..... 1954  
 Recross by Mr. Fox..... 1958

GOVERNMENT RESTS..... 1959  
 DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS..... 1595

WITNESS ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: Page

ANTHONY TORRES

Direct by Mr. Bloom..... 1969

HAILA SILVERTREES

Direct by Mr. Bloom..... 1982

LAVERN TROXEL

Direct by Mr. Bloom..... 1992  
 Cross by Mr. Bartlett..... 2001  
 Redirect by Mr. Bloom..... 2005  
 Recross by Mr. Bartlett..... 2006

ANTHONY TORRES - CONTINUED

Direct Continued by Mr. Bloom..... 2014

1		I N D E X - E X H I B I T S	
2	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		<u>Page</u>
3	No. A-45		1884
4	No. A-211		1917
5	No. A-206		1932
6	No. A-204		1934
7	No. A-170		1950
8	No. A-212		1952
9	No. A-213		2017
10	No. A-29		2025
11	No. A-27		2057
12	No. A-28		2072
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2008 - 8:50 A.M.

2 \* \* \*

3 (Jury not present.)

4 THE CLERK: This is in the matter of United States of  
5 America versus Briana Waters, CR05-5828FDB.

6 Counsel, please make an appearance for the record.

7 MR. FRIEDMAN: Good morning, Your Honor, Andrew  
8 Friedman and Mark Bartlett for the United States. Ted Halla  
9 is here also.

10 MR. FOX: Good morning, Neil Fox and Robert Bloom and  
11 Ms. Waters is present.

12 THE COURT: All right. This morning it's been  
13 brought to my attention that two motions have been filed. One  
14 is to strike some evidence. I am not going to deal with that  
15 one at this time, because I think there's time to deal with  
16 that.

17 I want to deal with the one that the government has filed  
18 in limine, what is identified as character witnesses, and talk  
19 about that. But the motion seems to be saying that they  
20 haven't been provided, I guess, information to know what it is  
21 these folks are going to talk about or whatever, so they seem  
22 to be guessing as to it being character, I guess.

23 MR. FRIEDMAN: It is. I mean, we are assuming that  
24 they are being offered to show nonviolence, that that's the  
25 character trait. But what we are really doing is objecting to

1 the number of witnesses that are going to be called on this  
2 point. There's basically nine or ten listed for today. This  
3 is probably just the beginning of the list. And under Ninth  
4 Circuit case law this is going to be very repetitive evidence.  
5 It's going to be cumulative, and it's going to be wasteful of  
6 the court's time and the jury's, and we are asking for a  
7 limitation.

8 THE COURT: I understand what you are saying. Until  
9 I know what they are saying and how long are these witnesses  
10 and what all do they intend to go into, whether we are talking  
11 the difference between character and reputation and all those  
12 things.

13 MR. FOX: Good morning, Your Honor. A couple of  
14 different things. First off, I would note that many of the  
15 witnesses that we've endorsed, the government has already  
16 interviewed, and there are 302 reports dealing with many of  
17 those witnesses.

18 But some of the witnesses are going to be pure character  
19 witnesses, you know, reputation for a person and character  
20 trait. But some of the other witnesses are going to be  
21 dealing with Ms. Waters' life over the period of the time  
22 charged in the indictment for the conspiracy charge.

23 Now, the government has spent a lot of time trying to make  
24 innuendos that Ms. Waters somehow was part of this vast  
25 conspiracy that supports blowing up McDonald's or whatever,

1 and we have to rebut that by showing that during the relevant  
2 time period that's charged in the conspiracy count, that  
3 wasn't what she was doing. She was doing things completely  
4 opposite to that.

5 It's the government that kind of raised the specter, both  
6 through the length of the conspiracy charge. So Ms. Waters  
7 really has to account for what she was doing during the  
8 five-year period of time. And many of these witnesses are  
9 fact witnesses, in that sense, who are going to say that  
10 Ms. Waters wasn't the type of person that was out meeting with  
11 coconspirators and book clubs, talking about burning down  
12 McDonald's or Disneyland or anything like that. So they are  
13 fact witnesses.

14 In terms of the number of witnesses, there are different  
15 parts of Ms. Waters' life that these different witnesses can  
16 speak to.

17 So some people know her as a violin player, some people  
18 know her as a nanny, some people know her from the Watch  
19 Mountain campaign.

20 So, that's the reason why there are quite a number of  
21 these witnesses.

22 The government has spent a lot of time in this trial  
23 bringing up information -- technical information about fires  
24 that took place at locations that are very remote to the  
25 events charged against Ms. Waters. They brought in witnesses

1 to talk about Superior Lumber Company and Cavel West.

2 And I guess we didn't object to the government putting on  
3 its case, and we would expect that we should be able to put on  
4 our case in the way that we feel is necessary.

5 So, you know, we are not talking about spending weeks and  
6 weeks bringing in these types of witnesses. I mean, these are  
7 all witnesses that are probably going to be on and off, you  
8 know, in an hour or so.

9 So I don't think that we are going to delay anything. If  
10 anything, our case is going to be considerably shorter than  
11 the case that the government put on.

12 But many of these people are fact witnesses who are going  
13 to testify that Briana Waters was not the person who the  
14 government is trying to paint. It does try into the motion I  
15 filed about the inflammatory nature of some of the materials  
16 off the website and some of the materials from Ms. Kolar's  
17 folder. That's not Briana Waters, and we have to rebut that.

18 Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Friedman.

20 MR. FRIEDMAN: Ms. Waters has not offered any alibi,  
21 and so these are not -- these are classic character witnesses.  
22 They are really just going to talk about what kind of person  
23 she was. None of them are going to say she was busy doing  
24 something else or identify where she was at any particular  
25 time at any specific point.

1        So their testimony is not fact testimony that's  
2 inconsistent with her guilt in this case, it really is  
3 character evidence masquerading as something else, perhaps,  
4 but character evidence about what kind of person she is, and  
5 the end result is it's cumulative, it's redundant, and the  
6 court should not allow it.

7            THE COURT: I don't know how I can weigh it in the  
8 way that you are saying at this point in time. They have a  
9 right to put on -- well, I guess the distinction has got to be  
10 made between character, and that's where it gets a little bit  
11 afield as to how far you go on that character evidence. As I  
12 understand it, it's really trying to tell a person, someone  
13 else trying to describe a person, what this person is, I  
14 guess, and I don't know how they can do that so much. But  
15 they can't talk about her reputation because, as I read this  
16 thing, the reputation here that's pertinent is a violent  
17 person, nonviolent type conduct.

18        And then you say the rest of it is fact. If it's fact  
19 evidence, then it's fact evidence, and they have a right to  
20 put that on.

21        So I have no way of knowing exactly what that is, other  
22 than to say it's a fact that she was a teacher and she taught  
23 so many days and she did this and she did that. Well, then  
24 that's going far afield when the whole thing is to meet what  
25 they have accused her of; they said she's a violent person.



1 She should have a right to show some evidence that will go  
2 against the nonviolent aspect.

3 MR. FRIEDMAN: For the record, could we ask the  
4 defense to clarify what traits of character they are actually  
5 intending to put into issue by trait --

6 THE COURT: Well, I have asked them to do that, to  
7 tell the gist of the testimony. I don't know why -- I can't  
8 tell from what I see here.

9 MR. FOX: The character for her -- her reputation for  
10 nonviolence.

11 But to respond to something that Mr. Friedman said, that  
12 we haven't offered evidence of alibi, the conspiracy charge  
13 stretches on for five years, and to the extent that we have to  
14 show what Ms. Waters was doing during that five-year period of  
15 time, I mean, I guess it's alibi, because it's in the sense  
16 that they have alleged that she was doing certain things for a  
17 five-year period of time. We are trying to show that she  
18 wasn't doing that for a five-year period of time.

19 I mean, it's not that --

20 THE COURT: Somewhat they are saying in terms of the  
21 overall conspiracy, but on the other hand, there's been  
22 testimony here that she wasn't involved in some of the things.  
23 She wasn't even around, nobody knew anything about her. So I  
24 don't know if it connects quite the way you are saying.

25 But it doesn't say that -- for the jury to determine what

1 part or what time she got maybe invited into the conspiracy or  
2 whatever. I can't answer those. But if you are presenting  
3 fact information, that's one thing.

4 If it goes as to painting a picture or trying to describe  
5 her as a person under what I consider to be character, then  
6 that may be taking another place, but what they know about her  
7 reputation in the community for this, that, and the other  
8 thing, that's one thing.

9 MR. FOX: Okay.

10 THE COURT: And that's about the best parameter I can  
11 give you.

12 Like I mentioned about this other, that deals with the  
13 components of Exhibit -- two exhibits, 402 and 612. I will  
14 deal with that at another time because that's not going to  
15 come up right now.

16 Okay, so are we going to see if we've got a jury here and  
17 then start?

18 I believe we have Mr. Halla?

19 MR. FRIEDMAN: We did, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Fox, are you ready to continue?

21 MR. FOX: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. I will have you retake the  
23 witness chair.

24 (Jury present.)

25 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

1        Good morning. I hope you had a good weekend. We are  
2 ready to go.

3        We left off with Mr. Fox.

4        TED HALLA, previously called as a witness, duly sworn.

5                                CROSS-EXAMINATION - CONTINUED

6        BY MR. FOX:

7        Q. Good morning, Agent Halla.

8        A. Good morning.

9        Q. We left off way back on Friday talking about how you and  
10 Mr. Friedman and Mr. Martin made a decision not to question  
11 Ms. Kolar about the University of Washington after January 5,  
12 2006 for a couple month, correct?

13        A. Yes.

14        Q. I think you decided to let her memory improve over time,  
15 right?

16        A. Well, we were going to do things like go through her  
17 calendar and part of the drive down to Olympia was to see if  
18 those type of things would help her remember things as far as  
19 details concerning the arson.

20        Q. On January 6, 2006, you didn't ask her any questions about  
21 the University of Washington?

22        A. That's correct.

23        Q. And then you had a number of other meetings with her  
24 through January and February 2006?

25        A. That's correct.

1 Q. And again, during those meetings, you consciously did not  
2 ask Ms. Kolar about Capitol Hill Girl's role in the University  
3 of Washington fire?

4 A. Yes, not specifically.

5 Q. And you didn't ask her about Capitol Hill Girl's Punk  
6 Boyfriend's role in the fire?

7 A. No, we did not ask Ms. Kolar about that.

8 Q. Now, you agree with me as an FBI agent you cannot get into  
9 someone's head, you are not a mind reader?

10 A. I am not a mind reader.

11 Q. When Ms. Kolar says someone was at the fire at the  
12 University of Washington apartment, she uses an alias, you  
13 only have her words to know what she means, right?

14 A. Partially. I mean, we are in the room with her, so we are  
15 watching her physical language, if you will, tone of her  
16 voice, so there's different things that we can use to read if  
17 something is being said in the state of speculation, that  
18 there's something that's a very firm statement.

19 Q. Sure, but ultimately what she means by something, she has  
20 to tell us that, right?

21 A. That's true. There's a degree of subjectivity in the  
22 sense that we take as interviewers of what's being said. A  
23 302 report is really a paraphrase account of what we  
24 understand to have been said. It's not a transcript.

25 Q. Sure, sure, but ultimately it's up to Ms. Kolar to tell

1 everyone what she was thinking when she said a particular  
2 name, correct?

3 **A.** That's correct.

4 **Q.** Now, at some point you testified that Ms. Kolar identified  
5 the Punk Boyfriend of Capitol Hill Girl as someone named  
6 Spencer Moen, MOEN; is that correct?

7 **A.** That's correct.

8 **Q.** Now, you don't have any personal knowledge when she  
9 identified the Punk Boyfriend as Spencer Moen, it was the same  
10 person she was referring to on December 16, right? You only  
11 have what she said, sometime in January, right?

12 **A.** That's correct.

13 **Q.** Similarly, when Ms. Kolar identified Suzanne Savoie as  
14 Capitol Hill Girl, you don't have any personal knowledge that  
15 that was the same person that Kolar was speaking about on  
16 December 16?

17 **A.** I understood that was the same person.

18 **Q.** That's what she told you in January, but December 16 you  
19 weren't inside her head, you didn't know what she was thinking  
20 when she said Capitol Hill Girl?

21 **A.** I wasn't inside her head, that's correct.

22 **Q.** Now, it's correct on direct you said Susan Savoie pled  
23 guilty to the Jefferson Poplar Farm fire?

24 **A.** That's correct.

25 **Q.** And the Superior Lumber fire?

1 A. I believe that's correct.

2 Q. Now, isn't it true that Suzanne Savoie does not have a  
3 father named Horace?

4 A. That's true. She does have a stepfather who was a  
5 butcher.

6 Q. But his name was Randy Dong, right?

7 A. I don't personally have knowledge of that.

8 Q. But his name was not Horace?

9 A. It was not Horace.

10 Q. So the possibility is that Capitol Hill Girl might be  
11 someone other than Susan Savoie, right?

12 A. At what point?

13 Q. Well, is it possible that Capitol Hill Girl -- that what  
14 Ms. Kolar was thinking about Capitol Hill Girl in December was  
15 someone other than Susan Savoie, despite what she said in  
16 January?

17 A. That's possible.

18 Q. Now, during the course of January 2006, and February 2006,  
19 you are still working on that written 302 report, right, from  
20 December 16?

21 A. The report was not finalized until early February, yes.

22 Q. And I think that you testified that you and Agent Torres  
23 produced it, kind of jointly, right?

24 A. That's correct. We, you know, we would pass it back and  
25 forth and we were working through trying to make it as

1 accurate as possible.

2 Q. And at different points, you tried to reconcile  
3 differences in your notes and his notes, right?

4 A. That's correct, there was a lot of confusion in some  
5 portions of the interview.

6 Q. So, I think that you said on direct that your notes  
7 reflected that Kolar on December 16 said that there was a car  
8 used during the fire at the University of Washington, right?

9 A. That's what my notes say.

10 Q. I think you testified that Agent Torres' notes said there  
11 was a car or van?

12 A. I believe that's what his notes say.

13 Q. So you wrote a report where you resolved this by stating  
14 that a vehicle was used, right?

15 A. Yes, we did.

16 Q. So the final report, not finalized until February 2006,  
17 didn't mention car or van, right?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. It kind of left it vague?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Similarly, the five people that Jennifer Kolar listed as  
22 being at the University of Washington fire, Capitol Hill Girl,  
23 Crazy Dan, the Punk Boyfriend, of those five people, you did  
24 not put the names Capitol Hill Girl, crazy Dan or Punk  
25 Boyfriend in your final 302?

1   **A.** That's correct.

2   **Q.** In fact, the way that you wrote it out in the typed 302  
3 was Kolar, Avalon and a few other individuals, right?

4   **A.** That's correct. Ms. Kolar was only sure about herself and  
5 Avalon.

6   **Q.** I understand what you are saying. But the fact is, when  
7 you wrote your report, you did not put into your final report,  
8 Capitol Hill Girl, Crazy Dan or the Punk Boyfriend of Capitol  
9 Hill Girl; is that correct?

10   **A.** That's correct.

11   **Q.** And the language, "Avalon and the others," that was your  
12 language; that wasn't her language, right?

13   **A.** I don't know that it wasn't her language.

14   **Q.** Well, when she was saying -- let me rephrase that.

15       Let me ask you whether there were other versions of the  
16 302 report that you produced in that December 16 meeting, that  
17 actually listed Crazy Dan, the Punk Boyfriend and Capitol Hill  
18 Girl?

19   **A.** There are not other versions, they are just drafts. My  
20 computer, every time we do a spell check and we save it, that  
21 technically would be a new draft. Every time I would pass it  
22 to Agent Torres, and he made corrections and we saved it, that  
23 would be a new draft.

24       There's not different versions.

25       I don't know whether an earlier draft contained the names



1 Capitol Hill Girl, Punk Boy or Crazy Dan. It may have, but I  
2 have no independent memory of that.

3 Q. So what you are saying, in earlier draft, it's possible  
4 that the draft said Capitol Hill Girl as being at the UW fire?

5 A. I believe it would have said that Kolar may have  
6 speculated that those other names were involved in some  
7 context with the arson.

8 Q. And ultimately the final version you took that out, right,  
9 the final draft?

10 A. The final draft, we came to a point that Agent Torres and  
11 I could agree on these points. There was a fair amount of  
12 confusion over how we understood different things to have been  
13 said and we created a report that we both could agree upon.

14 Q. Sure. Because Agent Torres notes actually had arson with  
15 the five names listed?

16 A. I understand this to be true.

17 Q. So the final draft wasn't produced until you said mid  
18 February 2006?

19 A. Early February, February 9. That sounds correct.

20 Q. In the intervening seven weeks between December 16 and  
21 February 9, you met with Ms. Kolar a number of times, right?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And you chose not to question her about the names she  
24 speculated, as you say, were at the University of Washington  
25 fire?

1   **A.**   That's correct.

2   **Q.**   Now, on February 4 I think you said you went with  
3   Ms. Kolar to Olympia?

4   **A.**   That's correct.

5   **Q.**   And she taped you?

6   **A.**   That's correct.

7   **Q.**   And you were in the front seat taking notes?

8   **A.**   Yes.

9   **Q.**   Agent Torres was driving?

10   **A.**   That's correct.

11   **Q.**   Now, turn your attention to what's been marked for  
12   identification as A-45. Pull that out.

13   **A.**   This is a copy of my notes from February 4, 2006.

14   **Q.**   Those are the notes you were writing as Ms. Kolar was  
15   talking, right?

16   **A.**   That's correct.

17   **Q.**   At some point I think you said on direct that you were  
18   talking about different people, characters and whatever?

19   **A.**   We were.

20   **Q.**   Again, you weren't questioning her about Capitol Hill Girl  
21   at that point right?

22   **A.**   No, we were not.

23   **Q.**   You weren't questioning her about Crazy Dan or anything  
24   like that?

25   **A.**   No, we were not.

1 Q. Now, at some point, I think you said you showed Ms. Kolar,  
2 or I don't know if you said this, but you showed Ms. Kolar  
3 some photographs, right?

4 A. Yes, we did.

5 Q. You showed her a picture of Justin Solondz, right?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And she didn't identify Mr. Solondz, right?

8 A. I'd have to refer to my report to be sure.

9 Q. Okay, do you want to take a look at what's been marked for  
10 identification as A-43?

11 A. Yes, my report says she did not identify that picture of  
12 him.

13 Q. I believe this has already been admitted, this is Exhibit  
14 115-A. That's Justin Solondz, right?

15 A. Yes, it is.

16 Q. And you actually got this picture from the Department of  
17 Licensing, right?

18 A. Yes, this was, I believe a 2002 photograph on his  
19 Washington driver's license.

20 Q. When you got this from the Washington Department of  
21 Licensing, Mr. Solondz' signature is at the bottom of this  
22 picture isn't it?

23 A. It probably is depending on how I got it.

24 Q. This picture differs from some of the other pictures we've  
25 seen of Mr. Solondz?

1 A. We've seen him with long hair, yes.

2 Q. I guess my question is at some point he must have changed  
3 his hair style, right?

4 A. Apparently he has.

5 Q. When he changed his hair style, he then went to a  
6 government agency to have his picture taken?

7 A. That's how it's done, yes.

8 Q. Is it fair to say Mr. Solondz wasn't trying to hide his  
9 appearance from the government?

10 A. I don't know what Mr. Solondz intention was.

11 Q. But the point is, he went in and had his picture taken by  
12 a government agency; is that correct?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Now at some point on this drive down to Olympia it's  
15 correct that Ms. Kolar describes Lacey Philabaum as a younger  
16 version of me?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And that's in your notes?

19 A. Yes. I quote the "me" in my notes.

20 Q. She also described Briana Waters as outgoing?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Plays music?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Clean cut?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. In fact you wrote in your notes Ms. Kolar said don't  
2 remember Briana and Lacey together?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Your Honor, I would move for admission of just one page,  
5 the fourth page of Exhibit A-45?

6 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

7 THE COURT: Admitted.

8 (Exhibit No. A-45 admitted.)

9 BY MR. FOX:

10 Q. So what you have in your notes is "Don't remember Briana  
11 and Lacey together."

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Now, after you went down Olympia with Ms. Kolar, you wrote  
14 up a 302 report?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. And isn't it correct when you typed up that report you  
17 wrote in there, Kolar did not recall Briana and Lacey being  
18 close friends?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. So, when she actually said she didn't remember Briana and  
21 Lacey together, you wrote that they weren't close friends?

22 A. I asked a series of questions that were concerning the  
23 relationships of different people.

24 When I went and wrote the 302 and saw this notation,  
25 "don't remember Briana and Lacey together," I associated that

1 answer with those questions regarding whether they were close  
2 friends.

3 Q. What she really said is she didn't remember Briana and  
4 Lacey being together?

5 A. I don't know what she really said. I understood it she  
6 was talking in a social context.

7 Q. But in your notes, you put, it's very clear, "Don't  
8 remember Briana and Lacey together," the notes you were taking  
9 as you were driving down to Olympia?

10 A. Yes, and I don't know if that's a quote. There's no  
11 quotations in my note but that's what my notation says.

12 Q. Ms. Kolar if in fact said she didn't remember Briana and  
13 Lacey being together, that would have been important, right?

14 A. It may have been, I understood this to be in a social  
15 context.

16 Q. In fact, if there's any confusion, the tape that Ms. Kolar  
17 took of this meeting would be important, correct?

18 A. It could be.

19 Q. Because it may have the exact words on there?

20 A. It's possible, yes.

21 Q. If she didn't remember Briana and Lacey being together,  
22 then they couldn't be at any planning meetings together,  
23 right?

24 A. If Ms. Kolar's statement was saying she did not remember  
25 either of them together at any point at any time, that might

1 be possible.

2 Q. Sure, and they wouldn't have been together at the  
3 Greenlake Bar & Grill, if that's what she was saying, right?

4 A. Ms. Kolar never places Lacey with the UW arson.

5 Q. And they couldn't have been together in the rental car?

6 A. Again, Ms. Kolar does not place Lacey at the UW arson or  
7 in the rental car.

8 Q. Sure. Now, yesterday when -- I am sorry, last week you  
9 were present in court when I was asking Ms. Kolar about her  
10 travels to New York City in December of 2005, right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And you recall that I asked her some questions about some  
13 phone calls she made on December 10, right?

14 A. Not specifically, but I was present.

15 Q. You recall I asked her whether she had gone to Planet Hemp  
16 after being called by agent Quimby right?

17 A. I do recall that.

18 Q. And I think she said that well, she seems to recall going  
19 shoe shopping at some point, right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. But it's correct that, is it not, that the phone number  
22 that I read off to her, apparently is not registered to Planet  
23 Hemp?

24 A. I understand that might be true.

25 Q. It's actually registered to an international travel

1 agency, right?

2 **A.** I believe that might be true.

3 **Q.** A travel agency that specializes in travel to Japan  
4 apparently.

5 **A.** I don't know.

6 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Is this leading to some particular --

8 MR. BARTLETT: I don't believe there's a good faith  
9 basis for that last question.

10 MR. FOX: I will strike it.

11 THE COURT: All right. Stricken.

12 BY MR. FOX:

13 **Q.** In any case, Google has the phone number I mentioned  
14 registered to Planet Hemp?

15 **A.** I have not looked to see what Google has for it.

16 **Q.** You understand that we and the government have reached a  
17 stipulation to that, isn't that correct?

18 **A.** I actually don't understand that, but that may be true.

19 **Q.** Do you want to read the stipulation right now?

20 MR. FOX: Your Honor, may I read a stipulation to the  
21 jury.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MR. FOX: The United States of America, by and  
24 through Jeffrey C. Sullivan, United States Attorney for the  
25 Western District of Washington, and Mark Bartlett and Andrew



1 Friedman, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District,  
2 and defendant Briana Waters, and her attorneys, Neil Fox and  
3 Robert Bloom, agree and stipulate as follows:

4 Telephone number (212) 599-3405, which was called twice by  
5 Jennifer Kolar at 4:19 p.m. and 4:20 p.m. on December 10,  
6 2005, is the telephone number for HIS International Tours,  
7 Inc., which is a travel agency. HIS International Tours,  
8 Inc., has been the subscriber for that telephone number since  
9 at least 1999.

10 The telephone number is incorrectly listed on Google as  
11 being subscribed to by Planet Hemp. Based upon that listing,  
12 defense counsel, Neil Fox, felt that he had a good-faith basis  
13 to ask Jennifer Kolar whether she had telephoned Planet Hemp  
14 on December 10, 2005.

15 The parties further agree that this stipulation may be  
16 read to the jury.

17 And it's signed by the attorneys and Ms. Waters. There  
18 are also the phone records and the Google listing.

19 BY MR. FOX:

20 Q. Now, you had other meetings in February of 2006 with other  
21 people pertinent to this investigation, right?

22 A. That's correct. We interviewed Chelsea Gerlach and Susan  
23 Savoi e.

24 Q. But you also interviewed Lacey Phillabaum, right?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. I think you said on direct that at some point you called  
2 up her father; is that correct?

3 A. I did.

4 Q. You said that you wanted to talk to his daughter about an  
5 arson investigation, or did you tell him what type of  
6 investigation you wanted to talk about?

7 A. Yeah, I believe I told him that it was an arson  
8 investigation. I distinctly remember him asking me if I was  
9 calling regarding a civil or criminal matter. I told him it  
10 was a criminal matter.

11 Q. At some point on February 21, you had a meeting with her,  
12 with Ms. Phillabaum?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Now, Ms. Phillabaum is Stan Meyerhoff's girlfriend?

15 A. I believe that to be true.

16 Q. She had been on the FBI's radar screen for some time,  
17 right?

18 A. I had been aware of her in this investigation, for a  
19 little while.

20 Q. And you've heard testimony from Ms. Phillabaum that her  
21 boyfriend, Mr. Meyerhoff, had told her that he had turned  
22 everyone in, including her, right?

23 A. I believe that to be true.

24 Q. Now, you only had contact with Ms. Phillabaum in late  
25 February, right?

1   **A.**   That's correct.

2   **Q.**   And you had no other contact with her or her lawyer before  
3   then?

4   **A.**   That is correct.

5   **Q.**   Now, you reached out to her because you wanted her to  
6   cooperate as soon as possible, right?

7   **A.**   That's correct, we were looking to reach out to both  
8   Briana Waters and Lacey Philabaum at the same time. It just  
9   worked out that we contacted Lacey first.

10  **Q.**   And I think your testimony was that you didn't provide  
11  Ms. Philabaum any information?

12  **A.**   That's correct.

13  **Q.**   Now, there are cases, or there are instances in this  
14  investigation, where you did meet with a suspect and his  
15  lawyer, and told them information about your investigation;  
16  isn't that right?

17  **A.**   There's times when we met with individuals associated with  
18  this investigation, where to show them that we were not  
19  bluffing, law enforcement frequently, we bluff at times with  
20  suspects, to try to gain some cooperation, get them to start  
21  talking with us. In some cases, we wanted to make sure that  
22  they knew that we had details that only the participants would  
23  have known. We were very careful not to identify who was  
24  involved or give them information that they wouldn't know if  
25  they weren't involved, but that is true.

1 Q. In fact, I think on direct you testified how you met with  
2 Mr. Dibee and his attorney Robert Goldsmith on December 9,  
3 2005?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Now, that's two days after there were a series of arrests  
6 from the Oregon indictments, right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. And there were already news reports about who had been  
9 arrested?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And I believe you testified that you met with Mr. Dibee  
12 and his attorney at -- was it Mr. Friedman's offices?

13 A. In a conference room.

14 Q. At the U.S. Attorney's Office?

15 A. At the U.S. Attorney's Office.

16 Q. Mr. Friedman told Mr. Dibee that he was a suspect in at  
17 least one arson, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. The Cavel West case, correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. He said that time was short, right?

22 A. We were encouraging him to cooperate quickly.

23 Q. You basically told him you needed him to cooperate and  
24 that very soon, any information he had would be of little  
25 value since other people were already talking, right?

1   **A.** It may have been said, yes.

2   **Q.** And then you gave Mr. Dibee and his attorney, a detailed  
3 presentation about Cavel West case, about the physical  
4 evidence?

5   **A.** It was not about the Cavel West case or the physical  
6 evidence. I gave the detailed account of what we believe he  
7 did at that arson. I used phrases like mail 1, mail 2, mail  
8 3, female 1, to account for who might have been standing next  
9 to him when he did a particular thing.

10       The Cavel West communiqué is very detailed as far as  
11 certain things that happened. And we had to express that we  
12 knew things that were beyond that communiqué that only people  
13 who were there would have known. We were very careful not to  
14 reveal who any other of the individuals were that were there.

15   **Q.** Sure. But it's fair to say, that some of the people that  
16 had been arrested already were in the news, right?

17   **A.** There was a lot of news about people arrested, yes.

18   **Q.** You showed Mr. Dibee pictures of the scene, right?

19   **A.** I believe we did show some, at least an aerial photo of  
20 the scene.

21   **Q.** So you didn't question Mr. Dibee at that point, right?

22   **A.** No, we were trying to gain his cooperation.

23   **Q.** But this wasn't you interrogating him, this was you  
24 explaining things to him, right?

25   **A.** We were showing we were not bluffing with the information

1 we had.

2 Q. Sure. Then after that meeting, that was -- I think you  
3 said that was the last time you saw Mr. Di bee?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. He took off?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Now on direct examination, you were shown what's been  
8 admitted into evidence as Exhibit 531: This was the street  
9 screen shot off Mr. Di bee's hard drive, his address book?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. I believe you testified that it shows an entry for Bri,  
12 right?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. With an e-mail address at hotmail.com, right?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. Now, hotmail.com is a normal domain? It's something that  
17 I think Microsoft runs, right?

18 A. That's true.

19 Q. And it's not a secret website or anything like that?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And in fact on Mr. Di bee's computer, there were probably  
22 hundreds of pages of entries in this address book, right?

23 A. There were a number of entries, I don't know how many  
24 pages.

25 Q. This is just one of many entries?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And there's nothing about this entry where it says Briana  
3 Waters at ELF.com?

4 A. I don't believe there's an ELF.com.

5 Q. You don't know when this entry was put in the address  
6 book?

7 A. We don't know.

8 Q. And you don't know why it was put in the address book?

9 A. I do not.

10 Q. It's just an entry in his address book?

11 A. I think it implies he had some reason to have her name in  
12 his entry book.

13 Q. In any case, when Mr. Dibee took off, you weren't  
14 following him at that point, were you?

15 A. No, I pursued Mr. Dibee for quite a while.

16 Q. But I guess what I am saying, you hadn't physically  
17 tracked his movements after you were at the U.S. Attorney's  
18 Office that day?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And did you attempt to monitor his movements through his  
21 cell phone at all after he left the U.S. Attorney's Office?

22 A. No, we did not.

23 Q. It's possible that the cell phone battery or the cell  
24 phone can be used as a tracking device; isn't that right?

25 A. You can get information from a phone company, as far as if

1 calls are being made, what cell tower they might be being  
2 bounced off of. That's possible.

3 Q. And you hadn't been doing that on an active basis with  
4 Mr. Di bee when he left the U.S. Attorney's Office on December  
5 9?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Now, you also had not been following Lacey Philabaum for  
8 the two months between her boyfriend's arrest and when she  
9 came into the U.S. Attorney's Office, right?

10 A. We didn't have surveillance teams on her, if that's what  
11 you are asking.

12 Q. You didn't?

13 A. We did not.

14 Q. So you really have no knowledge as to who she contacted in  
15 those two months, right, between the time of Mr. Meyerhoff's  
16 arrest and the time she appeared in the U.S. Attorney's  
17 Office?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. You didn't listen in on her phone calls at all?

20 A. No, we did not.

21 Q. The FBI does have the power to get search warrants to  
22 listen in on people's phone calls?

23 A. They are very difficult to get. In my eight years, I have  
24 never got one.

25 Q. Is it not correct that in drug cases they are used a lot?



1 A. I would never claim they are used a lot. It has to be the  
2 last means with which to gather certain types of evidence.

3 Q. Are you aware of any drug cases within the Western  
4 District of Washington in the last few years that used wire  
5 taps on phone call conversations as a major part of the case?

6 A. I am aware of it, yes.

7 Q. So you know people that, in law enforcement communities  
8 have used that investigative tool?

9 A. That's true.

10 Q. In fact, I think there's some huge drug ring in Skagit  
11 county that was broken with that type of investigative tool,  
12 is that not correct?

13 A. I have no personal knowledge of that.

14 Q. Now, you also know that when people are prisoners in  
15 correctional facilities in jails, and whatnot, their telephone  
16 calls are monitored, are they not?

17 A. I understand that to be a common practice.

18 Q. You were aware that Stan Meyerhoff was in a jail for the  
19 months of January and good part of December of 2005, 2006,  
20 right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. If you wanted, you could have gotten copies of the tapes  
23 of their phone calls, of Stan Meyerhoff and Lacey Philabaum's  
24 phone calls?

25 A. We could have requested that.

1 Q. Did you ever?

2 A. I have not, no.

3 Q. Are you aware of whether or not they actually did talk  
4 over the phone? While he was in jail?

5 A. It's just general information. I believe they probably  
6 did.

7 Q. So you have no idea what Stan Meyerhoff and Lacey  
8 Philabaum talked about?

9 A. Personally I do not.

10 Q. When Stan Meyerhoff was arrested the FBI exercised a  
11 search warrant and searched his house?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. They seized -- the FBI seized Mr. Meyerhoff's computer,  
14 right?

15 A. I believe that to be true.

16 Q. During the course of this investigation, you asked  
17 Jennifer Kolar to bring in her computer from 2001 for your  
18 review, right?

19 A. I don't know that I asked specifically for her, she made  
20 it aware to us through her attorney that she had found that  
21 computer and that they would be turning it over to us, but I  
22 did not independently request it.

23 Q. But you didn't ask Ms. Kolar to bring in any computers  
24 that she currently was using for your review, did you?

25 A. No, we did not.

1 Q. You could have also executed a search warrant to search  
2 Ms. Kolar's office, right, if you wanted to?

3 A. I don't know if we had probable cause for that or not.

4 Q. Well, at some point, I guess you said that you raided Joe  
5 Di bee's office, right?

6 A. Yes, we were able to get a search warrant for that.

7 Q. And you seized his computers?

8 A. Yes, we did.

9 Q. You never asked Ms. Kolar to bring in her contemporaneous  
10 computers, the computers she was using in December 2005?

11 A. That's correct, we had no evidence -- we had no reason to  
12 believe there was evidence of a crime on there.

13 Q. You didn't ask her to review it, did you? What you  
14 believed or not, you didn't ask her, can I take a look at your  
15 computer to see who you have been E mailing the last few  
16 weeks.

17 A. No, we did not.

18 Q. Similarly, with Lacey Philabaum you never asked her to  
19 produce her computer if she had one from 2005, 2006, so that  
20 you could review who she had been communicating with via her  
21 computer?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. Now, you weren't following -- the FBI wasn't following  
24 Ms. Kolar either in December 2005 or January 2006?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And you knew that both Ms. Kolar and Ms. Phillabaum had  
2 ties to Spokane, right?

3 A. I don't know that I knew that Ms. Phillabaum was  
4 associated with Spokane until the time she came in or at least  
5 until the time I tried to reach out to her.

6 Q. It turns out she has ties to Spokane?

7 A. Yes, we eventually learned that.

8 Q. You knew that both Ms. Phillabaum and Ms. Kolar had ties  
9 to Eugene, Oregon?

10 A. As did most of the defendants.

11 Q. You knew that they both had ties to Seattle somehow?

12 A. I don't know what ties Ms. Phillabaum had to Seattle --

13 Q. Well, Ms. Kolar lived in Seattle, right?

14 A. Could you ask the question again?

15 Q. Did Ms. Kolar live -- or lived in Seattle?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And Ms. Phillabaum, while she was residing in Spokane, her  
18 lawyer was in Seattle, right?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. You also knew by February 21, did you not, that Ms. Kolar  
21 had used Kenny Clark as kind of a secret messenger for her at  
22 various points in her life?

23 A. I don't know I would characterize it as a secret  
24 messenger. I knew that was a friend of hers.

25 Q. You knew that by February 21, Ms. Kolar had some

1 communications with Mr. Dibee through a third person, right?

2 Through Mr. Clark?

3 **A.** I believe Mr. Dibee reached out to Ms. Kolar through  
4 Mr. Clark.

5 **Q.** And two years earlier, or a year and a half earlier  
6 Ms. Kolar communicated with Mr. Dibee through Mr. Clark?

7 **A.** That's correct.

8 **Q.** Ms. Phillabaum -- you knew both her parents were  
9 attorneys?

10 **A.** I knew her father was an attorney, I did not know her  
11 mother was an attorney.

12 **Q.** Now, when Ms. Phillabaum came in to talk to you on  
13 February 21, she was facing a lot of time in prison as you  
14 understood it?

15 **A.** Possibly.

16 **Q.** When she mentioned Briana Waters' name, she called her,  
17 referred to her as Briana, right?

18 **A.** I believe that's true.

19 **Q.** She didn't give you a physical description of Briana  
20 Waters without a name, she just mentioned the name, right?

21 **A.** I'd have to refer back to my notes or report for that.

22 **Q.** She referred to Ms. Waters' boyfriend as Connor?

23 **A.** That's correct.

24 **Q.** She told you that he and Briana had just broken up in May  
25 of 2001?

1   **A.**   That's the way I understood it.

2   **Q.**   And the week before the University of Washington action,  
3   she said that she met Briana at the Denny's or Perkins; is  
4   that correct; is that right?

5   **A.**   I believe that's accurate.

6   **Q.**   And she said that Diver wasn't there, right?

7   **A.**   I'd have to refer back to my notes.

8   **Q.**   Sure, why don't you take a look.   A-115?  It's marked  
9   14092.

10  **A.**   What page.

11  **Q.**   Why don't you identify what's been marked for  
12  identification as A-115, so the record is clear.

13  **A.**   A-115 is a copy of my notes from February 21 interview of  
14  Lacey Philabaum.

15  **Q.**   2006?

16  **A.**   2006.

17  **Q.**   Why don't you take a look at 14092?

18  **A.**   I see it.

19  **Q.**   Does that refresh your recollection as to whether she told  
20  you that Diver was at Denny's or Perkins?

21  **A.**   I have Diver not there.  I can't conclusively link that  
22  that's to the Denny's or Perkins, I would have to refer back  
23  to my 302.

24  **Q.**   The Denny's or Perkins was immediately above Diver not  
25  there?

1 A. That's how it's ordered on the notes.

2 Q. Just to tie up what we are talking about when she mentions  
3 Briana's name, it's Briana, right?

4 A. That's what I wrote.

5 Q. Underneath that, you have an entry, do you not, that says  
6 slept in bus that night, Saturday?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. So, is it your memory that Ms. Phillabaum, first time she  
9 talked to you said she slept in a bus on Saturday night?

10 A. I have to refer to my 302.

11 Q. Okay. That should be in front of you, as well as 114.

12 A. 114?

13 Q. I believe so.

14 I'm sorry, 113.

15 A. I do not appear to have a 113 up here.

16 THE CLERK: Is it A-113?

17 MR. FOX: Yes, I am sorry.

18 BY MR. FOX:

19 Q. Why don't you identify for the record A-113?

20 A. A-113 is myself and Agent Torres' 302 concerning the  
21 interview of Lacey Phillabaum, February 21, 2006.

22 Q. Why don't you refer to page 6 of that document and see if  
23 that refreshes your recollection?

24 A. Yes, the second paragraph states Briana and Connor had  
25 recently broke up.

1 Q. In terms of whether the person known as Diver was at the  
2 meeting at Denny's or Perkins, you don't have to read your  
3 report into evidence, but does that refresh your recollection?

4 A. The report does not mention whether Diver was there.

5 Q. I think a later point in your report you indicate that  
6 Phillabaum said Diver was at one of the meetings but doesn't  
7 recall which one, right, fourth paragraph down?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. And then in your 302, is there any mention of the thing  
10 about sleeping in a bus that night?

11 A. No, there isn't.

12 Q. So do you now, as you sit here -- do you have a memory as  
13 to what Ms. Phillabaum -- the context in which she mentions  
14 sleeping in a bus?

15 A. I do not.

16 Q. Again, you talked to Ms. Phillabaum a couple times, right,  
17 over the next couple months?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. On March 7, you spoke to her again?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. I believe at that time you talked to her about Ms. Waters,  
22 right?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And the fact that Ms. Waters played a violin?

25 A. Sounds accurate.



1 Q. Ms. Phillabaum told you -- talked to you about running at  
2 a track by the house that Ms. Waters resided at, right?

3 A. Yes, she was associating that with the house where Briana  
4 Waters was living.

5 Q. It's correct that at the time that she talked about  
6 Ms. Waters playing the violin and running at the track by  
7 Ms. Waters' house, Lacey Phillabaum never said anything about  
8 Briana being in the kitchen playing her violin upon her return  
9 from running on the track?

10 A. I do not recall that --

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. -- being said.

13 Q. Now, on direct you were asked about a sketch that Lacey  
14 Phillabaum made of this house on Conger, right? Or the house  
15 that you came to believe was the house at Conger, right?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Now, if in fact that sketch was of the house on Conger,  
18 there's nothing about that sketch that shows that Lacey  
19 Phillabaum was at that house in May of 2001, right?

20 A. The sketch in and of itself does not place her any  
21 particular time at that house. It's her account of how she  
22 knows that home --

23 Q. Sure.

24 A. -- or that house that is significant.

25 Q. She could have been at that house in July of 2001, right,

1 and still made the same sketch?

2 **A.** It's possible.

3 **Q.** In fact, the sketch isn't very detailed in terms of what  
4 the interior of the house looks like?

5 **A.** Yes, she did not try to draw the interior of the house.

6 **Q.** It's just kind of a house and a driveway and an  
7 outbuilding?

8 **A.** That, and she showed on her sketch how she would access  
9 the backyard from the side, indicating there was a fence  
10 there, indicating there was a school off to the side.

11 **Q.** But the school would have been there in July of 2001?

12 **A.** Yes, it would.

13 **Q.** And the driveway would have been there in July 2001?

14 **A.** I would expect so.

15 **Q.** It's only after you met with Lacey Philabaum on February  
16 21, 2006, that you decided to question Jennifer Kolar about  
17 Ms. Waters, right, to question her more about the University  
18 of Washington case.

19 **A.** It was after Lacey Philabaum, that we did have the full  
20 debrief of the UW arson.

21 **Q.** So what you call the full debrief of the UW arson took  
22 place on March 6, 2006?

23 **A.** That's correct.

24 **Q.** About two weeks after you met with Ms. Philabaum?

25 **A.** That's correct.

1 Q. So this was really the first time since December 16 that  
2 you sat down with Ms. Kolar to talk to her about the  
3 University of Washington, right?

4 A. Specifically, yes.

5 Q. During this interview on March 6, 2006, there's no  
6 indication that you questioned Ms. Kolar about Capitol Hill  
7 Girl; is that correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. You didn't ask her about Crazy Dan at this interview on  
10 March 6, right?

11 A. We had done other investigations regarding those people --

12 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor I let the witness  
13 answer the question.

14 THE COURT: Just a minute. When you ask a question,  
15 I let him answer.

16 Q. The question is, did you -- you didn't question Ms. Kolar  
17 about Crazy Dan on March 6, right?

18 A. We did not.

19 Q. And you didn't question Ms. Kolar on March 6, 2006, about  
20 the Punk Boyfriend of Capitol Hill Girl, right?

21 A. We did not.

22 Q. And actually, you didn't ask her at all, at that  
23 interview, whether she had made any mistakes in her memories  
24 or speculation or whatever, back in December, right?

25 A. Understood her to be speculating back to December 16 and I

1 did not feel the need to clarify that.

2 Q. So you never asked her to clarify whether she had made any  
3 mistakes earlier, right?

4 A. It was clear to myself that Ms. Kolar was confused when  
5 she mentioned those names. That was consistent when she  
6 talked about the detail of the arson on March 6.

7 Q. Sure. But basically, after March 6, 2006, Crazy Dan, Punk  
8 Boyfriend, and Capitol Hill Girl really go off into oblivion,  
9 right? There's no more questioning about those people.

10 A. They did not go off into oblivion. We investigated every  
11 name that we were given, no matter what the context or what  
12 our understanding was during that December 16th interview. We  
13 did not ask Ms. Kolar specifically, but we knew who those  
14 people likely were based on other investigations.

15 Q. Sure, I understood that, but in terms of your questioning  
16 of Ms. Kolar, you never again raised those names with her;  
17 isn't that true?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. You went to the Grand Jury on March 15, 2006, right?

20 A. That sounds correct.

21 Q. To seek an indictment against Ms. Waters?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And you testified under oath at that hearing?

24 A. I did.

25 Q. And you referred to Ms. Kolar as CW 3, right?

1 A. That's correct, we were trying to hide the identities of  
2 these people.

3 Q. But CW 3 is cooperating witness?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. And that's how you refer to her in the course of your  
6 testimony before the Grand Jury?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. Now, Ms. Kolar didn't testify in front of the Grand Jury,  
9 right?

10 A. No, she did not.

11 Q. You related to the Grand Jury what Ms. Kolar had said to  
12 you?

13 A. We provide a summary of what we were told by Ms. Kolar,  
14 yes.

15 Q. And is it not correct that in your testimony to the Grand  
16 Jury, you never once mentioned anything about Capitol Hill  
17 Girl?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. And you never once mentioned how Ms. Kolar had "remembered  
20 Briana Waters' name, right?

21 A. My testimony before the Grand Jury is a summary of the  
22 information from years of investigation.

23 Q. Sure.

24 A. We did not break down specific interviews. There are  
25 general statements of what these cooperating witnesses had

1 told us.

2 Q. And then my question to you is you didn't tell them about  
3 Capitol Hill Girl, right?

4 A. I did not.

5 Q. You did not tell the Grand Jury about Crazy Dan, right?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. You did not tell them about Capitol Hill Girl's Punk  
8 Boyfriend?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. And in fact, you testified to the Grand Jury, that CW 3  
11 and CWA, CWA was Lacey Philabaum, right?

12 A. That may be true.

13 Q. That they were both consistent that Briana was the  
14 lookout, isn't that what you told the Grand Jury?

15 A. Yes, and that is true.

16 Q. When you say consistent, you didn't tell the Grand Jury  
17 that Jennifer Kolar had changed the names of the people that  
18 she associated with the University of Washington fire, right?

19 A. I don't believe she was associating those other people as  
20 being there that night during that arson.

21 Q. Well, did Agent Torres list in his note "at arson with  
22 those five people"?

23 A. You need to ask Agent Torres what his understanding of  
24 those notes mean.

25 Q. Did you not testify on direct that Ms. Kolar had told you

1 he'd given five names connected to her discussion of the  
2 University of Washington arson?

3 **A.** I believe I testified that those names were given in the  
4 context of the arson.

5 **Q.** Okay. And my point is, that you didn't tell the Grand  
6 Jury about three of those names, is that not correct?

7 **A.** That's correct. We did not see that was important.

8 **Q.** You did not see it as important, but you didn't tell the  
9 Grand Jury what had transpired in December 2005, is that not  
10 correct?

11 **A.** My Grand Jury testimony was a summary of what we had been  
12 told by Ms. Phillabaum and Ms. Kolar.

13 **Q.** Now, it's correct in the Grand Jury, the defense isn't  
14 present, right?

15 **A.** That's correct.

16 **Q.** So, there's no cross-examination; is that right?

17 **A.** That's correct.

18 **Q.** It's just the prosecutor asking you questions, right?

19 **A.** That's correct.

20 **Q.** Now, before you had testified -- let's just move on a bit  
21 about wire taps and things like that, right?

22 **A.** Yes, you asked me some questions about that.

23 **Q.** In the course of your -- the FBI's investigation in any of  
24 these cases, any of the fires that we heard testimony about in  
25 the last two weeks, did the FBI ever use wire taps?

1 A. I am not aware of any wire taps in this investigation at  
2 all.

3 Q. Even -- and listening devices in people's apartments and  
4 things like that, did you ever use any of those?

5 A. No, I consider that a wiretap.

6 Q. A wiretap would be on a phone, I guess. Now, the FBI does  
7 have forensic laboratories; is that right?

8 A. Yes, we do.

9 Q. And there's all sorts of scientific testing that can go on  
10 in those laboratories, right?

11 A. I would assume.

12 Q. And we heard from Ms. Betty about the fingerprint testing?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And there's trace evidence that can be tested, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is it not correct that the FBI labs also have the ability  
17 to do metallurgical testing on cars and paint?

18 A. I don't understand them to have a forensic body shop if  
19 that's what you are asking, but we could give them a paint  
20 sample and ask them to tell us something about it.

21 Q. Sure. And the FBI lab could also send scientists out to a  
22 car, for instance, and do testing to see whether there's been  
23 damage to that car, is that not correct?

24 A. No, my interaction with the laboratory, I understood that  
25 we would have to talk to other law enforcement agencies that



1 would specialize in something like that.

2 Q. Which other law enforcement agencies specialize in that?

3 A. I believe some of the State Patrols may have forensic body  
4 mechanics, if you will.

5 Q. So it was something, though, that is within the FBI's  
6 ability to ask another law enforcement agency to do that type  
7 of testing, right?

8 A. Yes, we could have.

9 Q. Some of that testing could also look at paint to see  
10 whether there's been retouching on the characters right?

11 A. That could be.

12 Q. Did the FBI bring Judy Barry's car, the environmental  
13 activist who was a victim of a car bombing, back to  
14 Washington, D.C., for testing?

15 A. I have no knowledge of that investigation at all.

16 Q. Now, if the car you wanted to test was owned by a private  
17 party, you would have to get a court order to test it, right?

18 A. We would have to have permission of the owner or search  
19 warrant.

20 Q. I guess the point is, if you wanted to do the testing,  
21 there are ways that you could have done it, right? I am  
22 referring to the car on 775, the vehicle that was rented by  
23 Mr. Corrina and his wife?

24 A. I spent a considerable amount of time considering whether  
25 testing of that vehicle for any damage would have been

1 beneficial to the investigation. Considering it had been  
2 rented approximately 30 times after Mr. Corrina had rented it,  
3 and it had transferred hands a number of times to dealerships  
4 and five years had passed, any evidence of damage could have  
5 in no way have been linked to a particular moment in time five  
6 years earlier.

7 Q. Okay.

8 A. So we did not have it tested because it would not have  
9 told us anything.

10 Q. Now, the FBI also -- I think you were able to get  
11 different phone records, right?

12 A. Yes, we can get phone records.

13 Q. You can even get warrants to look at people's mail, right?

14 A. That would be a warrant issued, at least a court order or  
15 warrant.

16 Q. You could review people's e-mails, right?

17 A. I believe that's considered the same as a wiretap.

18 Q. Sure, but there's the ability to be able to track all of  
19 that, right?

20 A. If there's probable cause to get those types of orders or  
21 warrants.

22 Q. In fact, I think there's some references in the media to  
23 national security council tracking people's e-mails even  
24 without warrants, right?

25 A. That's true in the media.

1 Q. Now, let's move on a little bit about, I think on direct,  
2 after we talked about Lacey Philabaum's sketching Conger  
3 Street or Avenue, you then moved on to discussing the raid of  
4 Justin Solondz' cabin in Brinnon, Washington; is that correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Now, you raided his cabin -- you searched his cabin in  
7 January of 2007, right?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. So that is almost six years after the University of  
10 Washington fire, right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And when you went to the cabin, it was uninhabited, right?

13 A. Correct, there was no one there when we arrived on scene.

14 Q. I think you testified about what's been admitted into  
15 evidence as Exhibit 543.

16 This is the note, "Hey Tonie, Welcome home!"

17 MR. FOX: I believe this has been admitted, right?

18 THE CLERK: Yes, it has.

19 BY MR. FOX:

20 Q. This is the note you found in the cabin?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. When you read through this note, it sounds like he's  
23 moving out, right; is that correct?

24 A. Let me read the note in detail.

25 Q. Sure. Do you want to just read the note out loud, I can't

1 recall if you did that yesterday?

2 **A.** I did not read it out loud.

3 **Q.** Read it out loud?

4 **A.** "Hey Toni e, Wel come home! It's surely not the feeling of  
5 how you left it, but as I'm sure you will, make it feel  
6 comfortable, especially with the inside. I am rushing around  
7 trying to get everything wrapped up before I go, and I noticed  
8 the sink on the cold water side started leaking. The nut  
9 needs to be tightened, but the wrench I need is already moved  
10 to Olympia, but I may have time to return before I depart for  
11 good. A few other things: There are garbage bags of your  
12 clothes in the attic space above the living room, which is  
13 accessed by way of the sleeping loft (behind black fabric).  
14 The front (driver's side) tire has a slow leak which by the  
15 time you get here will probably be flat. There's a 12 volt  
16 air compressor that runs off the car battery or off the 12  
17 volt charger, both of which I am leaving in the passenger  
18 seat."

19 **Q.** And then it say says, "Take care, Justin"?

20 **A.** I can't read that on the screen.

21 **Q.** I am sorry?

22 **A.** "Take care, Justin."

23 **Q.** It sounds like he's moving to Olympia?

24 **A.** I don't know that I understood he was moving to Olympia,  
25 but he was moving out of the cabin.

1 Q. Then there was garbage bags full of Tonie's clothes  
2 apparently that were being left in the attic?

3 A. That's apparently how it reads, yes.

4 Q. Now, you also testified about Exhibit 544; right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. These were various publications about anarchy that you  
7 found in the cabin?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. I take it when you searched the cabin these weren't spread  
10 out in quite this manner?

11 A. No, we displayed them to show what type of documents were  
12 coming out of that box.

13 Q. So this display is your display?

14 A. It's our display, yes.

15 Q. It's true is it not, that there were a lot of other things  
16 in that cabin besides periodicals about anarchism?

17 A. There were other documents and books.

18 Q. There were pictures?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. There were -- I think you talked about some of those  
21 pictures yesterday, right?

22 A. Yes, we did.

23 Q. There were a lot of handwritten notes, right?

24 A. Yeah. There was some notebooks and stuff that looked like  
25 it had come from school.

1 Q. I will refer you to what's been marked for identification  
2 as A-211.

3 Hopefully you now have Exhibit A-211 in front of you?

4 A. Yes, A-211 is a copy of The Iliad.

5 Q. There's some other articles in there as well?

6 A. Yes, looks like copies of some other literature.

7 MR. FOX: I would offer what's been marked for  
8 identification as A-211?

9 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

10 THE COURT: Admitted.

11 (Exhibit No. A-211 admitted.)

12 BY MR. FOX:

13 Q. You actually retrieved these with me this morning from the  
14 box of documents in Justin's cabin?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. There are a lot of other materials we haven't put into  
17 evidence?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Is it fair to say this is other materials -- a selection  
20 of other materials you found at Justin's cabin?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. I think there's the Iliad by Homer?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And there's another article down the road, called "The  
25 Fate of the Earth"; is that not correct?

1   **A.**   That's correct.

2   **Q.**   That's written by a nun from Caldwell, New Jersey; is that  
3   right?

4   **A.**   That appears to be correct.

5   **Q.**   Sister Miriam MacGillis, a Dominican sister from Caldwell,  
6   New Jersey, nun, spoke to an audience in Santa Rosa,  
7   California, is that right?

8   **A.**   That's correct.

9   **Q.**   That's kind of a religious analysis of environmentalism?

10  **A.**   I am not familiar with the material.

11  **Q.**   You didn't read this particular article?

12  **A.**   Not in detail.

13  **Q.**   So you just took out the materials on anarchism and  
14  displayed them to the jury, right?

15  **A.**   We entered the items we felt relevant to the jury, yes.

16  **Q.**   So you didn't think it was relevant that there were  
17  nonanarchist writings, writings by nuns, in Justin's  
18  belongings, did you?

19  **A.**   No, I did not.

20  **Q.**   You also talk about these maps that were found and I think  
21  the exhibit was 551; is that correct?

22  **A.**   That's correct.

23  **Q.**   Again this is a box of maps you found in Justin Solondz's  
24  cabin, right.

25  **A.**   That's correct.

1 Q. There are, is it not correct -- there are a number of maps  
2 there, right?

3 A. There were.

4 Q. One for Centralia and Chehalis in Lewis County?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. One for Santa Cruz, right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. One for Phoenix, Arizona? Right?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. One for Los Angeles? Right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. Then one map you pulled out was identified in 552, I think  
13 you -- we have the actual map in evidence as 553?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. There's a map of Portland and it's folded to a particular  
16 page, right?

17 A. I believe so, I can't read it on the screen here.

18 Q. This is Portland, right?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. There's another map in 553, that was folded in a  
21 particular way, right?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And the folding shows a good part of Seattle, south of the  
24 ship canal right?

25 A. That's correct.



1 Q. And it also shows the University of Washington in the  
2 upper part of the section, right?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. Now, there's nothing on this map that has the University  
5 of Washington circled, right?

6 A. That is correct.

7 Q. No arrow saying this is the place, right?

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. No marking saying Center for Urban Horticulture May 20,  
10 2001, right?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. Now, you also testified about Exhibit 549, which was the  
13 clothing that you found in those bags, right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And you talked about black knit hats and things like that?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Now, it's true is it not that you also found pictures in  
18 Mr. Solondz's cabin of people in costumes at various times?

19 A. There were pictures of people apparently at a party.

20 Q. And they were goofing around, right? And it looked like  
21 they were goofing around?

22 A. That's what it looked like, yes.

23 Q. Someone had something over their -- like a mask over their  
24 face, right?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And kind of, I don't know, like they were wearing their  
2 underwear or something and were acting really goofy?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. They weren't pictures of people going out on any type of  
5 arson actions, right?

6 A. No, but the significance of those clothing items we found  
7 was that they were found in context with those shower caps,  
8 that is what, to me, was very significant and why we grabbed  
9 that evidence.

10 Q. Sure, but my question though, there were pictures of  
11 people dressed up in costume at various times?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Looking like they were having some silly college party?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Now, Mr. Block's notebook, I believe that has been  
16 admitted as 563.

17 MR. BARTLETT: I believe only one page of that was  
18 admitted.

19 Q. Right, and I am putting up the page -- this is the page,  
20 right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. There's an address 2613 Conger?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And there's the name Joshua over that address?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. So it's apparent, is it not, that someone besides Ocean  
2 and Briana Waters at some point lived on Conger Avenue in that  
3 house?

4 A. That's true.

5 Q. In fact a number of the houses you have spoken about  
6 during the course of your investigation, there are a lot of  
7 people that lived at those places, right? Some of those were  
8 like student rooming houses?

9 A. I believe that's correct.

10 Q. I believe there was testimony about Justin Solondz cabin  
11 on Bill Wake's land with having eight separate residences for  
12 one phone?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And the address 135 Percival Street, you investigated that  
15 one as well?

16 A. We did look at that one.

17 Q. I think at some point you said there were calls from  
18 Marilyn Waters phone to the phone at that address?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And then I believe you linked that address to Teresa  
21 Howell at some point?

22 A. The phone number is subscribed in the name of Teresa  
23 Howell during that time period.

24 Q. And there were calls from this 280 phone number that you  
25 believe was associated with Mr. Rodgers, to Teresa Howell's

1 phone; is that correct?

2 **A.** That's correct.

3 **Q.** But it's true that there were at least eight or nine other  
4 people that were staying at that 135 Percival Street address  
5 in the spring of 2001?

6 **A.** My understanding from talking to the landlord, was that  
7 there were four to six people there at that time period.

8 **Q.** Four to six people registered to stay there, right?

9 **A.** That she believed were occupying the space.

10 **Q.** Now, you also, on direct, you talked about Exhibit 402,  
11 and that was the materials taken off the ELF website?

12 **A.** That's correct.

13 **Q.** Now, this is not something that you found in the computer  
14 associated with Briana Waters, right?

15 **A.** That's correct, that was taken off the Internet.

16 **Q.** This is something off in cyber space?

17 **A.** That's correct. It was posted shortly after the UW arson.

18 **Q.** But this is not something you found at Briana Waters'  
19 house right?

20 **A.** We did not do a search of Briana Waters' house.

21 **Q.** So you didn't find it at her house?

22 **A.** That's correct.

23 **Q.** Now, you did, before you went down to talk to Ms. Waters,  
24 you did -- the FBI did do some surveillance on her, right?

25 **A.** Yes, the agents from our Oakland office were trying to

1 locate where Ms. Waters was living.

2 Q. You did some background information on Ms. Waters?

3 A. Yes, we did.

4 Q. You got her driver's license picture?

5 A. That's right.

6 Q. You got her transcripts at some point, it may have been a  
7 little later but at some point you got her academic  
8 transcripts from the Evergreen State College?

9 A. We got hers and Justin Solondz and their photos.

10 Q. You knew at some point Ms. Waters had made a film about  
11 Watch Mountain?

12 A. Yes, I learned that.

13 Q. And this is -- have you seen the film?

14 A. I have.

15 Q. It's a film about how nonviolent protests stopped  
16 clear-cutting at a town called Randle; is that right?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And you are aware that this film was shown back in 2001,  
19 right?

20 A. I understood it was shown somewhere up in the Seattle  
21 area, in 2001.

22 Q. Also shown in Olympia?

23 A. That's very likely.

24 Q. This film ultimately documents a successful nonviolent  
25 campaign?

1   **A.**   That's correct.

2   **Q.**   The film ends on happy notes?

3   **A.**   I don't recall the details of the end.

4   **Q.**   Okay. But the land swap that the residents of Randle were  
5 actually trying to stop was actually canceled?

6   **A.**   I believe that's true.

7   **Q.**   The film ends with a bunch of people singing and having a  
8 fun time, kind of like at a party?

9   **A.**   I don't remember those specific details.

10  **Q.**   When you were trying to locate Ms. Waters, you didn't have  
11 any difficulties locating her, did you?

12  **A.**   Actually, we did.

13  **Q.**   You were able to get her Department of Licensing  
14 information from California, right?

15  **A.**   We were, but I did not believe the address associated with  
16 the driver's license was the address that she was living at  
17 when we contacted her.

18  **Q.**   When you contacted her, she was living under her own name?

19  **A.**   Yes.

20  **Q.**   There were surveillance of her going in and out of her  
21 house, right, with a baby, I think?

22  **A.**   I believe that's true.

23  **Q.**   You had a lot more difficulty locating Mr. Rodgers, right?

24  **A.**   Yes, Mr. Rodgers was very difficult to locate.

25  **Q.**   In fact, I think you testified that he had a Post Office

1 Box in Seattle, in the Seattle area, right?

2 A. Near the Sea-Tac Airport.

3 Q. But it turned out he was residing in Arizona, right?

4 A. At the time we made the arrest, yes.

5 Q. In December of 2005, right?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And he actually had a car that was registered in Idaho;  
8 isn't that right?

9 A. That is true.

10 Q. And the car was purchased in South Dakota, correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. So he had addresses, addresses all over the place, right,  
13 or ties to lots of possible different locations; right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And then he had a bank account even in another state,  
16 right?

17 A. I don't remember what state the bank account is associated  
18 with.

19 Q. Okay. So how long did it take the FBI to locate  
20 Mr. Rodgers?

21 A. We actively began looking for him during the fall of 2004  
22 and found him early, I believe, mid November 2005.

23 Q. So, a little over a year?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. How long did it take you to find where Ms. Waters was

1 residing in the bay area?

2 A. I'd say approximately a month and a half, a month.

3 Q. Okay. A month, from January until February?

4 A. That sounds correct.

5 Q. You did credit checks on Ms. Waters; is that correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. You found she had different credit cards in her own name?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Now, with regards to where Ms. Waters was living in 2001,  
10 you had some difficulties pinning that down, right?

11 A. Yes, that was harder since we were going back in time  
12 quite a bit more.

13 Q. It was probably harder when you met with Mr. Robert  
14 Corrina. He lied to you and said he didn't know Briana  
15 Waters, right?

16 A. That's correct. He told us he did not know her that first  
17 time I met with Mr. Corrina.

18 Q. Sure, that was back in what, May of 2006?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. He also, did he not, tell you that they had a variety of  
21 people stay with them over the years, is that not correct?

22 A. I believe he did say that. It was not clear to myself  
23 when I was talking to him whether he was talking about the  
24 whole building. He didn't mention the tenants next door.

25 Q. Sure. Why don't we take a look at what's been marked



1 for identification as A-2?

2 **A.** This is my 302 report of my first interview with Robert  
3 Corrina.

4 **Q.** This was based upon an investigation on May 16, 2006?

5 **A.** That's correct.

6 **Q.** Referring your attention to the third paragraph?

7 **A.** I see it.

8 **Q.** Is it not correct that Corrina said he did not recognize  
9 Waters, right?

10 **A.** That's correct.

11 **Q.** And indicated that they have had a variety of people stay  
12 with them over the years, right?

13 **A.** That's correct.

14 **Q.** And then only then does -- you have Corrina indicated that  
15 the duplex next door had a lot of college students living  
16 there over the years?

17 **A.** That's correct.

18 **Q.** So where he talks about a variety of people staying with  
19 them over the years, that's in a separate sentence than his  
20 reference to the duplex next door?

21 **A.** You are correct.

22 **Q.** Now, at some point after Mr. Corrina said that he didn't  
23 know Briana Waters, you found out that his wife actually had  
24 rented this car?

25 **A.** Yes, sometime later we found the rental records regarding

1 the car.

2 Q. And you visited his wife, Kara Larson, is that her name?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. You visited her at her place of employment?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Would it be fair to say, that was on January 19, 2007?

7 A. That sounds correct.

8 Q. Would it be fair to say that you were upset with her?

9 A. I would say it's accurate that there was some frustration  
10 on our part. I do want to clear that in earlier testimony,  
11 Mr. Corrina said that his impression from his wife was that  
12 Mr. Friedman had yelled at Ms. Larson, and I know that not to  
13 be the case. We had our meeting with Ms. Larson out in the  
14 lobby area of where she worked and she asked that we whisper.  
15 And while we were having our discussion with her, at times our  
16 voices got above a whisper and she'd ask us to keep our voices  
17 down. I can see where it may be perceived that we were  
18 yelling, but I have never seen Mr. Friedman yell at anyone.

19 Q. Sure. But her perception might be accurate that your  
20 voices were raised a little bit?

21 A. Yes, that's probably accurate.

22 Q. And you probably weren't too pleased with the fact that  
23 she had testified in front of the Grand Jury that she had not  
24 rented a car?

25 A. I don't know that that had anything -- what we were

1 frustrated with was after showing her the records of the  
2 rental car she still had no memory of the rental car while we  
3 were sitting with her.

4 Q. You actually told her, did you not, that you believe that  
5 this particular vehicle was the rental vehicle used by the  
6 subjects at the University of Washington arson?

7 A. I did believe that.

8 Q. But you told her that?

9 A. I did say that.

10 Q. Isn't it correct that Mr. Friedman here told Ms. Larson  
11 that due to the new information regarding the rental of this  
12 particular rental car, the Grand Jury may ask that perjury  
13 charges be brought against her and her husband?

14 A. That was expressed.

15 Q. Okay, so there was a conversation in the front lobby of  
16 her place of employment?

17 A. It was the lobby not the front lobby.

18 Q. Voices were a little bit raised?

19 A. Yes, that's correct.

20 Q. Her perception was that it was a lot louder?

21 A. I can't say what her perception was.

22 Q. You told her that you thought the car had been used at the  
23 University of Washington?

24 A. I do believe that.

25 Q. And Mr. Friedman said we may charge you with perjury,

1 right?

2 A. No, he never said we may charge you with perjury.

3 Q. The Grand Jury -- we may ask the Grand Jury to return an  
4 indictment for perjury?

5 A. He did not word it like that either.

6 Q. He worded it, the Grand Jury may ask that perjury charges  
7 be brought against her and her husband?

8 A. That's more accurate.

9 Q. Now, you got records at some point I think you said -- you  
10 got records from Mr. Corrina and Ms. Larson's checking  
11 account?

12 A. We did.

13 Q. You got records from her discover card?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. You reviewed all the canceled checks from their account  
16 from 2001; is that right?

17 A. I don't know that we had copies of every single canceled  
18 check, but I reviewed a number of canceled checks.

19 Q. Is it fair to say that there were records of checks that  
20 Ms. Waters, that were written to Ms. Waters as well, right?

21 A. I'd have to review the checks to know that for sure.

22 Q. Well, let's move on. Why don't you take a look at what's  
23 been marked for identification as A-206.

24 A. It appears to be a photocopy of a check written by Kara  
25 Larson and Robert Corrina's account.

1 Q. It's actually four checks, is that not correct, four  
2 pages?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. I will move for admission of what's been marked for  
5 identification as A-206?

6 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

7 THE COURT: Admitted.

8 (Exhibit No. A-206 admitted.)

9 BY MR. FOX:

10 Q. The first page is a check dated May 19, 2001?

11 A. That is the date on top of the check.

12 Q. It's to Intercity Transit?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. This is May 19, right?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. The second page appears to be what?

17 A. That's a check written to Robert Provasoli on May 21,  
18 2001.

19 Q. Next page?

20 A. A check written to Otto's, on May 21, 2001.

21 Q. That's a restaurant in Olympia?

22 A. I am not familiar with what it is.

23 Q. Robert Provalosi is a chiropractor?

24 A. What was the question?

25 Q. Robert Provalosi, is he a chiropractor?

1   **A.** I do not know that.

2   **Q.** And then finally, the fourth page is a check from May  
3   22nd?

4   **A.** That's correct.

5   **Q.** Also to Intercity Transit?

6   **A.** That's correct.

7   **Q.** It says right on the bottom right corner -- you testified  
8   on direct that you -- that the FBI searched Mr. Rodgers's  
9   home?

10  **A.** That's correct.

11  **Q.** There were a number of things seized in that search,  
12  right?

13  **A.** Yes, there were.

14  **Q.** There's also a lot of political literature laying about  
15  the house, right?

16  **A.** It was a book store that carried a lot of political  
17  literature.

18  **Q.** Turning your attention to what's been marked for  
19  identification as A-204.

20  **A.** That's correct.

21  **Q.** Now, not everything in Mr. Rodgers's residence or  
22  literature was about arson?

23  **A.** That's correct.

24  **Q.** Can you identify what's marked as A-204?

25  **A.** A 204 is a document that's titled "198 methods of

1 nonviolent action."

2 Q. And where was this located, or where did this come from?

3 A. At some place in Mr. Rodgers' home.

4 Q. I would move for the admission of A-204?

5 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

6 THE COURT: Admitted.

7 (Exhibit No. A-204 admitted.)

8 BY MR. FOX:

9 Q. So in addition to items about arsons, you have this  
10 document, methods of nonviolence action, that's correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. So is it fair to say, as you said before, there's lots of  
13 different things in this home?

14 A. There was a large variety of literature in this house.

15 Q. Now, just going back for one second to these checks that  
16 we talked about from Ms. Larson, we talked about a check for a  
17 bus pass on May 22?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And bus pass on May 19?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. There was no record of bus passes on May 20 or May 21, any  
22 evidence of payment for one that you found?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. So is it fair to say, I guess she could have paid cash for  
25 it, right, if she needed one?

- 1   **A.** I believe that's how most people probably paid.
- 2   **Q.** She apparently used a check to pay for bus passes?
- 3   **A.** Yes, on some situations, she did.
- 4   **Q.** On the 19th and 22nd of May; is that correct?
- 5   **A.** That's correct.
- 6   **Q.** And this rental car was picked up I believe on the 19th of
- 7   May?
- 8   **A.** That's correct. Around noon on the 19th.
- 9   **Q.** And checked in on 6:33, checked in, logged in on May 22?
- 10   **A.** That's correct.
- 11   **Q.** So, is it fair -- I will move on. Now on direct you
- 12   talked about a search of Mr. Rodgers' computers, right?
- 13   **A.** That's correct.
- 14   **Q.** And he had a number of computers, right?
- 15   **A.** He had a number of computers and large number of disks and
- 16   different types of media.
- 17   **Q.** And the FBI has a forensic computer laboratory?
- 18   **A.** Yes.
- 19   **Q.** You brought all these things to the 550 -- someone brought
- 20   these to the FBI forensic computer laboratory?
- 21   **A.** Yes.
- 22   **Q.** And they did a whole bunch of searches on these things?
- 23   **A.** Yes, searches were done by the agents associated with the
- 24   case. The laboratory loads it onto a system that allows us to
- 25   look at it in a forensic way.



1 Q. You yourself did some of the searches?

2 A. I did.

3 Q. Is it not correct in all of the computers and digital  
4 media that you seized, that the FBI seized from Mr. Rodgers's  
5 house, there's only one mention of Briana Waters?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And actually the mention of Ms. Waters comes in the  
8 context of some academic article about the environmental  
9 movement, right?

10 A. Yes, it's in a bibliography concerning a thesis on Earth  
11 First.

12 Q. The article mentions Ms. Waters' film?

13 A. That's referenced in the bibliography.

14 Q. That's the only reference on all of Mr. Rodgers' computer  
15 media to Ms. Waters?

16 A. When I searched Ms. Waters --

17 Q. Mr. Rodgers?

18 A. Mr. Rodgers' computer media I only found reference to  
19 Ms. Waters in that instance. I found one reference to Joseph  
20 Di bee, one reference to Ms. Kolar and an article by Lacey  
21 Philabaum. I did not find any connections to any of the  
22 other defendants in this case or the Portland arsons.

23 Q. But the only reference was someone -- a footnote in an  
24 academic article about the environment?

25 A. That's correct.

1           THE COURT: All right. Let's take the break at this  
2 point. Give you your morning recess. As always, don't  
3 discuss the case. Take advantage of the break. Leave your  
4 books on the chair. I will have you back in here in about 15  
5 minutes.

6           (Jury not present.)

7           THE COURT: All right. If nothing else, we will take  
8 the morning recess.

9           THE CLERK: All rise, Court is in recess.

10          (Morning recess.)

11          (Jury not present.)

12          THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

13          Are we ready to continue?

14          MR. FOX: Yes, Your Honor.

15          THE COURT: Bring in the jury.

16          (Jury present.)

17          THE COURT: You may be seated.

18          Mr. Fox.

19 BY MR. FOX:

20 Q. Resuming where we were, Agent Halla, we were talking about  
21 going through Mr. Rodgers' computers?

22 A. Yes. That's correct.

23 Q. I believe that we've seen a number of copies of the manual  
24 "Setting Fires With Electrical Timers"; is that correct?

25 A. That's correct. I believe we've seen a copy from

1 Mr. Rodgers' computer, and one from the ELF website.

2 Q. Turning your attention to that manual and the page out of  
3 it that's been admitted as Exhibit 402. There's a section on  
4 creating a clean room, is there not?

5 A. There is.

6 Q. Isn't it correct that it says, "To set up a clean room,  
7 choose a location where your hair and skin flakes are not  
8 already floating around." Is that right?

9 A. That's what the text says.

10 Q. Basically, this manual is like a how-to manual on how to  
11 build these things?

12 A. It gives advice on those matters.

13 Q. Sure. One of the things that Mr. Rodgers -- is it  
14 Mr. Meyerhoff who also coauthored this with Mr. Rodgers?

15 A. He did contribute to portions of it, I understand.

16 Q. One of their pieces of advice is that you are supposed to  
17 do this some place other than where you live, right?

18 A. That is what the manual says, but we know they didn't  
19 always do that. The clean room for the Susanville arson was  
20 at Mr. Dibee's residence.

21 Q. But according to the manual, you are not supposed to do it  
22 that way, right?

23 A. I believe the manual describes ideal situations, yes.

24 Q. Didn't Ms. Kolar testify yesterday that she and Mr. Dibee  
25 didn't know there was going to be an arson -- testify on

1 Thursday -- that they didn't know there was going to be an  
2 arson until they got down to Susanville? Is that your memory?

3 **A.** I believe that was Ms. Kolar's testimony, it was her  
4 understanding that her and Mr. Dabee did not know that.

5 **Q.** Then also on Mr. Rodgers' computer there was something  
6 that's been admitted into evidence as 5151 and that is a  
7 document called, "Planning the Raid," right?

8 **A.** That's correct.

9 **Q.** That also was like a how-to manual on how to do these  
10 types of actions, right?

11 **A.** I don't know what Mr. Rodgers' intent was but --

12 **Q.** That's what it appears to be?

13 **A.** That's what it appears to be.

14 **Q.** Again, you didn't find any of these manuals at Briana  
15 Waters' house, right?

16 **A.** I think I have stated that we did not search Ms. Waters'  
17 house.

18 **Q.** They weren't laying out on the coffee table when you were  
19 in the house?

20 **A.** I was never inside her house.

21 **Q.** They weren't in the back yard by the garden chairs?

22 **A.** I did not see them outside.

23 **Q.** Now, this book "Planning the Raid," it actually recommends  
24 to make a timeline, right?

25 **A.** It does.

1 Q. The manual talks about, does it not, "Electrical timers  
2 and igniters should be built the week before the action so  
3 there is no rush to assemble them," right?

4 A. That's what this says.

5 Q. It also says, "Plan to have all preparations done at least  
6 24 hours before the raid," right?

7 A. That's what this says.

8 Q. It also says, "This includes getting the vehicle ready,  
9 wiping everything free of fingerprints and getting the radio  
10 in working order," right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. The final 24 hours are supposed to be for sleeping in  
13 late, right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Eating a relaxed dinner together, right?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And reviewing the plan one last time, right?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. So Mr. Rodgers' how-to manual says to get the car ready  
20 before the day of the action, right?

21 A. Yes. What I found significant about these two documents,  
22 Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 that's been entered into evidence, is  
23 that, according to the computer forensics, it was created  
24 after the UW arson.

25 It was created between July 14th and July 17th. Some of

1 the portions in this I thought were significant because  
2 understanding -- and the way I read it is that I think  
3 Mr. Rodgers -- I suspect Mr. Rodgers learned some things from  
4 the UW arson and incorporated it into this text. Earlier  
5 versions of these chapters do not have some of this material  
6 that's in these.

7 Q. You don't know what he may have written out by hand and  
8 destroyed?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. The next page has a suggested timeline, does it not?

11 A. That's correct. That was one of the things I found  
12 significant in this chapter was this timeline and the idea of  
13 eating dinner so late at night, which is -- Ms. Kolar and  
14 Ms. Phillabaum talked about when they meet at Greenlake Bar &  
15 Grill. I have not seen this timeline in any other version of  
16 the manual that was written before the UW arson.

17 Q. Sure. Well, the point is that if you follow this timeline  
18 of the ideal arrival time as 1:00 a.m., the hangout time at  
19 the beginning starts at 5 p.m.?

20 A. That's what the manual says.

21 Q. Sure. And this includes a 90-minute drive, right? A  
22 hypothetical driving time of 90 minutes, right?

23 A. That's what the text says.

24 Q. The next section, "Getting the Vehicle Ready" says, "Get  
25 the vehicle ready 36 hours in advance," right?

1 **A.** That's correct. And I found this significant also because  
2 I did not see this referenced, the 36 hours, in earlier  
3 chapters that, according to the forensics, were created prior  
4 the UW arson.

5 **Q.** But that's what his manual recommends, 36 hours in  
6 advance?

7 **A.** Yes.

8 **Q.** There's also -- in 502, there was another document that  
9 was admitted, 515-D. One of the pages of the document says,  
10 "Beware of automatic vehicle locators," right?

11 **A.** That's correct.

12 **Q.** And it also talks about Onstar systems, right, which are  
13 tracking systems, right?

14 **A.** Yes, Onstar is associated with GM vehicles.

15 **Q.** In fact, sometimes in rental cars -- they have tracking  
16 devices on rental cars, right?

17 **A.** I have no personal knowledge of that. That may be true.

18 **Q.** Is it fair to say that Olympia is about 60 miles from  
19 Seattle?

20 **A.** That's an approximation.

21 **Q.** The Greenlake Bar & Grill is on the north side of Seattle,  
22 right?

23 **A.** That's correct.

24 **Q.** Is it fair to say that the center of Olympia might be  
25 Capitol Way South and Fourth Avenue West?

1   **A.**   I wouldn't know where the center of the city is.

2   **Q.**   It's kind of the center of downtown, right?

3   **A.**   That's correct.

4   **Q.**   So if you go from the center of Olympia to the north side  
5 of Seattle, what's your estimate about mileage?

6   **A.**   I drove a route leaving from Mr. Corrina's house, directly  
7 to the Greenlake Bar & Grill, drove directly to the UW  
8 horticulture center to 44th Street, where we believe the  
9 vehicle was parked.

10       I then drove back to Greenlake Park, and then drove back  
11 to Mr. Corrina's house, driving the most efficient route that  
12 I could come up with, and it came out to 129 miles.

13   **Q.**   What's the distance from Mr. Corrina's house to the Center  
14 for Urban Horticulture?

15   **A.**   I didn't drive that exact distance. I drove the route and  
16 just recorded the total distance.

17   **Q.**   So do you know what each part of that trip took, how long?

18   **A.**   I didn't time it, no.

19   **Q.**   Let's say hypothetically that it was 60 plus miles from  
20 the center of Olympia, from the center of downtown, to the  
21 Greenlake Bar & Grill.

22       MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor. He's indicated  
23 what he knows. Clearly, if it was 65 miles, it would be over  
24 what he's just described the route he took. So we are going  
25 to get into a hypothetical that he has no basis on.



1           MR. FOX: I will move on. I will ask another  
2 question.

3 BY MR. FOX:

4 Q. Why don't you look at what's been marked for  
5 identification as A-209.

6 Can you identify that?

7 A. This is a Mapquest written from Capitol Way South and  
8 Fourth Avenue to 3501 NE 41st Street.

9 Q. The second address, 3501 NE 41st Street, that's the  
10 address for the Center for Urban Horticulture?

11 A. I don't personally know that.

12 Q. Well, look at A-208. Let's go back. Hypothetically, if  
13 3501 NE 41st is the address for Center for Urban Horticulture,  
14 how much distance is there between Capitol Way and Fourth  
15 Avenue West?

16 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. He's indicated he doesn't  
17 know the distances. He's indicated what he does know. He  
18 can't talk about hypotheticals on guessing what mileage is.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Fox, let me handle this. I don't  
20 know what you are getting at. Are you getting at -- he's  
21 given you what he drove it to be.

22 MR. FOX: Well, I am inquiring as to what the  
23 distances between Olympia and Center for Urban Horticulture  
24 are.

25 THE COURT: It's not a hypothetical. If he knows

1 exactly, he can give you that.

2 MR. FOX: Sure. Okay. I would offer, by the way,  
3 A-209 for illustrative purposes only.

4 MR. BARTLETT: It isn't for illustrative. He wants  
5 the Mapquest information in, Your Honor, and he can get it in.  
6 It's just not through this witness. It's irrelevant through  
7 this witness.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Bartlett, let me have you go at that  
9 another way.

10 MR. FOX: Okay.

11 BY MR. FOX:

12 Q. Did you ever clock the mileage between Olympia and the  
13 Center for Urban Horticulture?

14 A. No, I did not.

15 Q. What is your best estimate as to the distance?

16 A. I would estimate 60 miles, but I have no reason to know  
17 whether that would be correct or not.

18 Q. Is it fair to say that the Greenlake Bar & Grill is north  
19 of the Center for Urban Horticulture?

20 A. It's approximately northwest.

21 Q. How far, based upon your best estimate?

22 A. Best estimate, three to four miles.

23 Q. So, under normal traffic conditions, driving from Olympia  
24 to the Center for Urban Horticulture, if you go the speed  
25 limit, how long do you think it would take on a normal -- with

1 normal traffic?

2 **A.** I don't know what normal traffic from one day to the next  
3 is. I would be sitting in traffic for an hour, so I don't  
4 know what normal traffic is.

5 **Q.** Let's say you drove it 55, 60 miles per hour -- let's say  
6 you drove a little under the speed limit, how long would it  
7 take to go from Olympia to the Center for Urban Horticulture?

8 **A.** I would guess approximately an hour-and-a-half.

9 **Q.** May is boating season, right?

10 **A.** I don't know when boating season is.

11 **Q.** If you take the most efficient route from Olympia to the  
12 Center for Urban Horticulture, first of all, you have to go  
13 through Tacoma, correct?

14 **A.** Yes.

15 **Q.** You go on I-5, right?

16 **A.** That would be the most efficient route.

17 **Q.** There might be -- possibly there might be traffic outside  
18 the Tacoma Dome? Don't know?

19 **A.** Fort Lewis, Tacoma Dome, yes.

20 **Q.** You have to go through downtown Seattle, right?

21 **A.** That's correct, unless you came in from the east side.

22 **Q.** Okay. But the most efficient route would be through  
23 downtown or through the east side?

24 **A.** Through downtown.

25 **Q.** There might be traffic in downtown Seattle, right?

- 1   **A.**   That's correct.
- 2   **Q.**   You have to go on 520, right?
- 3   **A.**   That's not how I would go.
- 4   **Q.**   How would you go?
- 5   **A.**   I would take 45th and go straight, east on 45th.
- 6   **Q.**   Okay. There might be some event in the University  
7   District, right?
- 8   **A.**   Possible.
- 9   **Q.**   There might be traffic there. With traffic, it could be  
10   more than an hour-and-a-half?
- 11   **A.**   Absolutely.
- 12   **Q.**   So if you were going from Olympia to the Center for Urban  
13   Horticulture and taking bags of fuel and putting them by a  
14   dumpster, can you time how long it would take to carry the  
15   bags of fuel to the dumpster we saw the other day?
- 16   **A.**   From what starting point?
- 17   **Q.**   From wherever -- if you end up at the Center for Urban  
18   Horticulture, in that area, and you wanted to walk or drive to  
19   where that dumpster was, where Ms. Phillabaum said they had  
20   stored some of the fuel ahead of time, do you know how long  
21   that would take to carry that fuel?
- 22   **A.**   No, I don't.
- 23   **Q.**   Would it be fair to say it could take 15 minutes or so?
- 24   **A.**   It's possible. I have no idea how long it would take.
- 25   **Q.**   Then you have to drive from the Center for Urban

1 Horticulture to the Greenlake Bar & Grill?

2 A. If that's your destination, yes.

3 Q. It might be easy-going, there might be traffic, right?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Actually, if you drive across Seattle, Seattle sometimes  
6 is difficult to go east to west?

7 A. It's difficult to go many directions in Seattle.

8 Q. It would take what another 10, 15 minutes to get to the  
9 Greenlake Bar & Grill?

10 A. I have no way to estimate what it might be.

11 Q. You've never driven from the Center for Urban Horticulture  
12 to the Greenlake Bar and Grill?

13 A. I did on two occasions.

14 Q. Do you remember how long it took you?

15 A. I wasn't timing it, but I would estimate 10, 15 minutes.

16 Q. If it was an hour-and-a-half in good traffic, two hours in  
17 heavy traffic to the Center for Urban Horticulture, another 15  
18 minutes or so, 10, 15 minutes to go to the dumpsters, and then  
19 another 10 minutes to the Greenlake Bar & Grill, we are  
20 talking two-and-a-half hours or so?

21 A. That's true. I don't know that we know at what time the  
22 fuel was dropped off by those containers. If it was earlier  
23 in the day on a previous day, we don't know.

24 Q. We don't know. But let's say this had taken place; we are  
25 talking maybe two hours, two-and-a-half hours?

1 A. It's possible.

2 Q. So if your target to get to the Greenlake Bar & Grill was  
3 approximately 8:00, what time do you approximately have to  
4 leave Olympia?

5 A. I don't know that that was a target time that they were  
6 looking to get there. My understanding was late in the  
7 evening. That could be any time.

8 Q. Sure. Wasn't there testimony that it was 8:00 maybe, I  
9 think is what Ms. Kolar said?

10 A. I'd have to review the transcripts.

11 Q. You don't remember? Yesterday, you testified that you got  
12 Ms. Kolar's bank records from the Washington State Employees  
13 Credit Union, right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. You noticed that there were two transactions on her credit  
16 card statement that were listed as being May 21st, right?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. But one was a Kinko's transaction and that actually took  
19 place on May 19th, right?

20 A. Yes. A little over midnight, past midnight.

21 Q. Do you have A-170 in front of you?

22 A. What was the number?

23 Q. A-170. Can you please identify that?

24 A. This is a letter from FedEx/Kinko's Company in response to  
25 a subpoena that was sent to them.

1 Q. There are some records attached to that as well?

2 A. Yes, as a certification of business records and what looks  
3 to be a computer screen printout.

4 MR. FOX: I would offer A-170.

5 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

6 THE COURT: Admitted.

7 (Exhibit No. A-170 admitted.)

8 BY MR. FOX:

9 Q. This is the document that you referred to, to find out  
10 that the credit card or the ATM transaction took place on May  
11 19th at 12:10 a.m.?

12 A. Yes, that's correct.

13 Q. Even though the bank bill or bank statement says it was  
14 posted on the 21st, right?

15 A. Yes, the bank statement is somewhat vague. It doesn't  
16 tell us when it was posted or what the transaction date was.

17 Q. You also testified, did you not, that you attempted to  
18 find out the timing of the other transaction that was posted  
19 on May 21st, right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. This was a transaction with Ralph's Thriftway in Olympia?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. A grocery store in Olympia?

24 A. Yeah, it's a grocery store that's a couple blocks from  
25 Mr. Rodgers' house.

1 Q. Okay. You said that you had some difficulties finding the  
2 exact -- difficulties finding the records for that  
3 transaction?

4 A. Yes, the store, because of the change in their computer  
5 systems, was not able to tell us what time the transaction had  
6 taken place. They had given us a data tape that they  
7 indicated may have had some backup information, but it turned  
8 out to be a corrupt tape and we weren't able to pull any data  
9 off it.

10 Q. Isn't it correct that Ralph's actually does have  
11 information about the timing of that transaction?

12 A. I am not aware of any.

13 Q. Well, I will hand you what's been marked for  
14 identification as A-212. Take a look at that for a second and  
15 identify it. Can you identify that for the record?

16 A. This is a response to a subpoena from Ralph's Thriftway.

17 Q. What else does it contain?

18 A. It has Ralph Thriftway's -- it looks like register  
19 records.

20 MR. FOX: I would offer what's been marked for  
21 identification as A-212.

22 MR. BARTLETT: Just for the record to clarify, Your  
23 Honor. It's a subpoena from Mr. Fox. It isn't a subpoena  
24 that Special Agent Halla issued.

25 MR. FOX: I would offer these records.



1 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

2 THE COURT: Admitted.

3 (Exhibit No. A-212 admitted.)

4 BY MR. FOX:

5 Q. Turning your attention to the third page -- let's back up  
6 for one second.

7 The last two pages of that document. Why don't you take a  
8 look. This appears to be a duplicate of the bank records that  
9 were introduced yesterday?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And that's for account number 2633428?

12 A. It's blocked on the screen. I can't see the account  
13 number. There you go, 2633428.

14 Q. And then the second page, or the next page, references the  
15 transactions we were talking about yesterday; is that correct?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. May 21st, \$13.91?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. \$13.91, right there, May 21st, right?

20 Now, let's turn back to the third page of this document,  
21 and this appears to be a listing of all the swipes, customer  
22 detail by lane reports at Ralph's Thriftway, right?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. If you go down to the highlighted portions, it says debit,  
25 5-20-01, May 20, 2001?

- 1   **A.**   That's correct.
- 2   **Q.**   And the timing is 19:12? That's military time?
- 3   **A.**   Correct.
- 4   **Q.**   That's what time on standard time?
- 5   **A.**   It would be 7:12 p.m.
- 6   **Q.**   There's a transaction for \$13.91?
- 7   **A.**   That's correct.
- 8   **Q.**   Then the card number is 2633428; is that correct?
- 9   **A.**   That's correct.
- 10  **Q.**   That's the same account that Briana Waters' card is on
- 11  from the Washington State Employees Credit Union?
- 12  **A.**   I don't know her card number offhand.
- 13  **Q.**   Well, it was the number you just read before.
- 14  **A.**   It contains that portion of her account number.
- 15  **Q.**   Ms. Waters' debit card was used at 7:12 p.m. on May 20,
- 16  2001; is that correct?
- 17  **A.**   That's what these records say.
- 18  **Q.**   Is it fair to say that it would be very difficult for her
- 19  to be in Seattle at 8 p.m. at the Greenlake Bar & Grill if she
- 20  drove the speed limit?
- 21  **A.**   If they met at 8 p.m. that would be a hard time to reach.
- 22  **Q.**   Sure. Even if it was 8:15 p.m. it would be hard?
- 23  **A.**   That's correct.
- 24  **Q.**   Even if you made a stop at the dumpsters, or especially if
- 25  you made a stop at the dumpsters; is that correct?

1   **A.** That's correct.

2               MR. FOX: I have no further questions.

3               MR. BARTLETT: Just a few.

4                               REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BARTLETT:

6   **Q.** Special Agent Halla, why don't you tell the members of the  
7 jury, what did you try to do to find these records?

8               You have never seen these records before, correct?

9   **A.** I have not. I talked to the owner of Ralph's Thriftway  
10 and explained to him what we were looking for. I actually met  
11 with him on two occasions. The second time is when they  
12 pulled out these data tapes that they thought would have had  
13 the register receipts.

14   **Q.** So it isn't something that you had this record and you've  
15 been hiding it from anybody?

16   **A.** Absolutely not. I searched hard for these records and I'm  
17 a little perturbed that I did not find out about them.

18   **Q.** I want to ask, just because it was a little confusing in  
19 my mind. During cross-examination, there was some discussion  
20 about the letter that you found when you went into Justin  
21 Solondz' cabin at the time of the search.

22               Do you remember those questions?

23   **A.** Yes, I do.

24   **Q.** We read this letter, and as part of this letter it talks  
25 about this -- kind of the first bullet point, there are

1 garbage bags of your clothes in the attic space above the  
2 living room, which is accessed by way of the sleeping loft; do  
3 you see that?

4 **A.** Yes, I do.

5 **Q.** You actually introduced and went through garbage bags of  
6 clothes. Is this the same garbage bags of clothes?

7 **A.** No, it's not. When I talked to Tonie Woodman, she was  
8 very specific about where her personal belongings were, and we  
9 did not want to search her personal belongings, and she had a  
10 loft, and we looked up there and, based on what we saw from  
11 peripheral view, it looked like her items. So we did not  
12 search the loft as that was not -- our search warrant wasn't  
13 to cover her items.

14 Where we found the bag that had the clothes and the shower  
15 caps was in a back room on the first level where we were  
16 finding other items that belonged to Justin Solondz.

17 **Q.** During cross-examination, there was a discussion about the  
18 interview with Jennifer Kolar that occurred on March 6th of  
19 2006. Do you recall that?

20 **A.** That's correct.

21 **Q.** That was the first interview after December 16th where you  
22 discussed in depth the University of Washington arson; is that  
23 correct?

24 **A.** That's correct.

25 **Q.** Was anything happening the following week that prompted

1 you to bring in Ms. Kolar at that point in time?

2 **A.** We were going to be going to the Grand Jury and present  
3 evidence regarding Ms. Waters.

4 **Q.** There was a number of questions about Capitol Hill Girl,  
5 her punk boyfriend, Crazy Dan, and your questions or lack of  
6 questions with regard to follow-up discussions with Ms. Kolar.

7 Do you remember those questions during cross-examination?

8 **A.** I do.

9 **Q.** Can you explain to the members of the jury what was going  
10 on with regard to your investigation? Not just kind of the  
11 narrow view; the overall view of the investigation.

12 **A.** We were investigating, you know, every name that was given  
13 to us, to find out who they were and determine if they had any  
14 involvement in any of these crimes, whether it was  
15 specifically at the University of Washington arson, if they  
16 were involved in some of the book club meetings. So we were  
17 chasing down every lead that we could.

18 We determined that Crazy Dan was likely Jake Ferguson, who  
19 we had interviewed numerous times earlier through 2004, 2005.  
20 He had been involved in one reconnaissance trip with the  
21 Jefferson Poplar arson but had no information --

22 **MR. FOX:** I object on hearsay.

23 **THE COURT:** He's saying what he was doing. You asked  
24 the question as to why.

25 **MR. FOX:** But what Jake Ferguson says is hearsay.

1 THE COURT: Don't go into what he said, but what you  
2 were doing.

3 BY MR. BARTLETT:

4 Q. Did you have direct discussions with Jake Ferguson as to  
5 what actions he was involved in?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. With regard to his -- the information he provided to you,  
8 did you make at least a tentative determination on whether or  
9 not he was involved in the University of Washington arson?

10 MR. FOX: Again, Your Honor, it calls for hearsay.

11 MR. BARTLETT: It doesn't.

12 THE COURT: He can say what he did.

13 MR. BARTLETT: What's in his mind.

14 THE COURT: You can say what you did.

15 A. I determined that Jake Ferguson was not involved in the UW  
16 arson.

17 BY MR. BARTLETT:

18 Q. Ms. Kolar testified and you described that at some point  
19 in early January, she identified a picture of Suzanne Savoie  
20 as being Capitol Hill Girl. Do you remember that?

21 A. Yes. She associated with Horace the butcher, the daughter  
22 who we associated with Capitol Hill Girl.

23 Q. With regard to Suzanne Savoie, was she the subject of any  
24 investigation in the District of Oregon?

25 A. Yes. The District of Oregon was investigating her role in

1 the Jefferson Poplar arson and, I believe, the arson of  
2 Superior Lumber. At the Jefferson Poplar arson --

3 Q. Without going into -- the District of Oregon was  
4 investigating -- and I believe you described that on  
5 approximately January 20th of 2006, the District of Oregon  
6 actually returned an Indictment?

7 A. They did. They did indict.

8 Q. After that Indictment, was Ms. Savoie arrested and in fact  
9 made the decision with regard to cooperation or lack of  
10 cooperation?

11 A. Yes, Ms. Savoie decided to cooperate.

12 Q. And before the end of -- before the beginning of February,  
13 were you aware of the contents of her cooperation, the subject  
14 matter that she had disclosed to the investigators in Oregon?

15 A. I did.

16 Q. As a result of those other leads, were you able, in your  
17 mind, to answer questions with regard to Crazy Dan, Capitol  
18 Hill Girl, her punk boyfriend, without directly talking with  
19 Ms. Kolar?

20 A. I did.

21 MR. BARTLETT: Nothing else, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Anything further?

23 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. FOX:

25 Q. The bag of clothing that you say you found in the back

1 room, Agent Halla?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. It was just left there, right?

4 A. The back room -- there was a back room and then kind of  
5 what I would describe as a closet space off in -- there was a  
6 bunch of storage tubs, garbage bags, boxes. It was literally  
7 up to our waist, and we had to pull each one out separately to  
8 search it.

9 Q. It wasn't buried in the backyard in the dirt?

10 A. No, it was not.

11 MR. FOX: I have no further questions.

12 MR. BARTLETT: Nothing further, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right, you may step down.

14 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, at this time the United  
15 States rests.

16 MR. FOX: Your Honor, we have some matters we should  
17 take up outside of the presence of the jury.

18 THE COURT: Let me have you just step out for a  
19 minute. Leave your books on the chair and don't discuss the  
20 case.

21 (Jury not present.)

22 THE COURT: You may be seated.

23 Mr. Fox.

24 MR. FOX: Your Honor, we would make a motion to  
25 dismiss based on lack of sufficiency of the evidence. There's



1 a couple parts to this.

2 At least for Count 1, the conspiracy count, we believe  
3 that the Government's proof differs materially from the  
4 allegation of conspiracy as alleged in the Indictment, in the  
5 Fourth Superseding Indictment, and that they haven't proven  
6 that Ms. Waters was part of the conspiracy as they alleged in  
7 the Indictment.

8 They may have offered some evidence that she was part of  
9 another conspiracy, but as for the conspiracy count alleged,  
10 they have simply failed to prove that. So we believe that as  
11 regards to Count 1, there's a lack of sufficient evidence.

12 With regards to the other counts, we also believe there's  
13 insufficient evidence, and we would ask that the Court dismiss  
14 this Indictment to this point.

15 All right.

16 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, with regard to the  
17 conspiracy, I think the evidence is fairly compelling. The  
18 fact that Ms. Waters is a member of this conspiracy after it  
19 begins and even before it ended is of no moment to the Ninth  
20 Circuit and the Supreme Court.

21 In addition, I would direct the Court's attention to  
22 actually an answer given by Ms. Kolar on cross-examination,  
23 when Mr. Fox was asking her about whether she really believed  
24 in genetic engineering, and in substance her answer was: "As  
25 a scientist, I was actually kind of mixed about it, but what I

1 believed in was in this movement's ability to have a  
2 successful operation, and that's why I went on the UW arson,  
3 and that's why I went to Susanville, because I believed in the  
4 overall goal of this group's activities, and that's what I  
5 wanted to support, and that's why there's one conspiracy in  
6 this case, because that's how everybody felt."

7 If you look at the communiqués that are issued after each  
8 and every one, they are dependent upon each other. The  
9 University of Washington communiqué referenced in the  
10 Jefferson Poplar, the Superior Lumber communiqué references  
11 other actions being done, and other -- by ELF and ALF.

12 The entire ability of ELF and ALF to impact, at least in  
13 their mind, was dependant on multiple actions over multiple  
14 times over multiple locations. It is a single conspiracy.

15 THE COURT: All right. Anything else to add?

16 MR. FOX: Only if Your Honor can go back and look at  
17 the Indictment. It is very different from the type of  
18 evidence that's been presented in this case. It's a  
19 completely different set of charges. There's some overlap for  
20 one action, but other than that, the Government has not shown  
21 any evidence that puts Ms. Waters in the conspiracy that they  
22 alleged in the Fourth Superseding Indictment.

23 THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court has heard the  
24 evidence overall in terms of the conspiracy and, of course,  
25 the testimony of witnesses as to Ms. Waters' involvement.

1 What the Court is facing here is a motion as to whether or not  
2 a prima facie case has been made as to whether this matter  
3 should go forward and whether the jury that's here should be  
4 the ones to determine whether these matters did in fact take  
5 place.

6 The Court has already ruled on the conspiracy matter as to  
7 the one versus numerous, and the Court is not going to change  
8 the ruling on that because my conclusion was that it was a one  
9 conspiracy thing, and the various acts that have been talked  
10 about tend to show or -- it doesn't show, but it raises the  
11 question as to her involvement and, if so, when. But as to  
12 what the intent, if you will, of the movement -- call it what  
13 you will -- was, the Court is satisfied that this matter  
14 should go forward, so I am denying the motion.

15 MR. FOX: Your Honor, two other points. The Court  
16 had made earlier rulings about the definition of destructive  
17 devices, and the Court, in its pretrial orders, had made a  
18 ruling as to what the Court is going to instruct the jury on  
19 about destructive devices.

20 I would ask the Court, based upon the testimony that the  
21 Court has heard from the scientists involved in this case as  
22 opposed to the law enforcement officers' opinion, that the  
23 Court change the ruling that it previously made based upon the  
24 evidence that we've heard.

25 On that basis, if the Court changes the definition that it

1 says it is going to give, I would submit that there's  
2 insufficient evidence that these are destructive devices, and  
3 I would ask the Court to find, as a matter of law, that these  
4 are not incendiary bombs and, therefore, dismiss the 924(c)  
5 charge.

6 THE COURT: No, I think it comports with the -- the  
7 jury will have to make the final decision as to whether or not  
8 it fits that definition. The definition in the statute will  
9 be set forth telling them what an incendiary bomb is, and they  
10 either have to find it fits within that or it does not.

11 MR. FOX: Well, I am asking you to change the ruling  
12 that you made saying what the definition is to comport with  
13 the evidence that we've heard, which I respectfully submit is  
14 different than what the Court said earlier.

15 THE COURT: It's noted, but I am going to let my  
16 ruling stand.

17 MR. FOX: The final issue, and we might as well  
18 address the motion I filed last night on those two exhibits  
19 because part of my motion involves a motion for a mistrial, as  
20 well as striking the exhibits or a curative instruction.

21 I don't know if the Court wants to address it at this  
22 time.

23 THE COURT: You are talking about 402 and 612?

24 MR. FOX: Right. We objected to those exhibits  
25 coming in at all. The inflammatory nature of those exhibits

1 is so great to talk about in the context of this case, after  
2 hearing the evidence about University of Washington and  
3 Susanville, to start introducing or to underscore to the jury  
4 repetitively things about Disneyland and the Statue of Liberty  
5 written by someone who isn't in court, has never been charged,  
6 based upon some fine print articles and a collection of  
7 articles that Ms. Kolar claims were given to her by Ms. Waters  
8 but were never read, it's so inflammatory, so prejudicial,  
9 that we would ask the Court first to declare a mistrial.

10 Secondly, to strike those portions of those articles as  
11 being irrelevant and being overly prejudicial under 403 as  
12 being hearsay, as we've argued before or, in the alternative,  
13 to give a curative instruction to the jury in the manner I set  
14 out.

15 I don't want this trial to be about Disneyland. The trial  
16 is about the University of Washington and whether Ms. Waters  
17 was involved, and the jury is going to have the testimony of  
18 Ms. Kolar, and they have to judge her credibility, and  
19 Ms. Phillabaum's, but to parade around as the prosecutor has  
20 done the other -- something that someone who's not on trial  
21 wrote is really misleading to the jury and prejudices them in  
22 this post-911 climate.

23 THE COURT: All right. Respond to that.

24 MR. BARTLETT: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Bloom is  
25 complaining about the selections that his own client made --

1 excuse me, Mr. Fox, I apologize -- Mr. Fox is complaining  
2 about the selections his own client made to provide to her  
3 coconspirator. She made the bed that she's now lying in. She  
4 made the choices. She chose these articles. She provided  
5 them to Jennifer Kolar. That is the reality.

6 This isn't a case where we went to somebody's home, where  
7 they had thousands and thousands of books and we chose three  
8 or four to misrepresent what they thought was important. She  
9 has already told us she thought these items were important.  
10 She's already told us that she wanted a coconspirator to read  
11 them so they could discuss them together.

12 There's nothing at all either wrong or -- in fact, there's  
13 nothing that could be more probative of what is in Briana  
14 Waters' mind during this exact time period than these articles  
15 and the words that are expressed within them.

16 With regard to what was on the ELF/ALF website is relevant  
17 for two reasons. It shows what was in the mind-set of the  
18 organization that was claiming credit for this arson, and in  
19 addition, it shows the mind-set of ELF was exactly the  
20 mind-set of this defendant at that exact point in time.

21 THE COURT: Well, let me put it this way. The Court  
22 has already ruled on the exhibit, and I admitted the exhibit  
23 and I admitted it because of the way it came, because the  
24 evidence was showing that the Defendant gave this to the  
25 witness. That was one of the reasons.

1        Now, as to the cautionary -- so I am going to let my  
2 ruling stand. It will either be sufficient -- the Ninth  
3 Circuit should look at it -- or it won't be sufficient. I  
4 don't see any basis for that to grant any mistrial at this  
5 point on anything that I have heard.

6        If the Ninth Circuit would see things different than I  
7 have seen, of course they know what they can do with the whole  
8 case. I have to call them as I see them, and they have to do  
9 their job as they see it.

10       The other thing that's brought up here is about giving a  
11 cautionary instruction. I don't see at this point the need to  
12 give a cautionary instruction. My instruction would intend to  
13 cover how they are to look at these folks that testified  
14 against this Defendant and how to view the evidence. That's  
15 their job.

16       So I am not going to filter it through and through as we  
17 go through this case. I am going to let my ruling stand, and  
18 we'll move forward with that explanation. You've made your  
19 record. I guess we are talking about when we are ready to get  
20 going here. We have about 25 minutes. I don't know who you  
21 are going with first.

22                MR. BLOOM: We are ready to get going with Agent  
23 Torres, but also we have two very short witnesses who are  
24 going to meet us at lunch time, and I would like to ask to  
25 interrupt Agent Torres in order to put them on.

1 MR. BARTLETT: If I could be briefly heard, Your  
2 Honor. I am scratching my head as to the relevance of Special  
3 Agent Tony Torres' testimony.

4 Prior to trial, in their -- I believe it was their trial  
5 brief or maybe their motion in limine, the defense indicated  
6 that no witness could be called strictly to be impeached. I  
7 understand that. So I am merely asking for an offer of proof  
8 as to what is Special Agent Tony Torres being called to  
9 testify regarding.

10 THE COURT: Well, you can speak to that. I don't  
11 want to assume anything.

12 MR. BLOOM: You are asking me to provide an offer of  
13 proof?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 MR. BLOOM: He's going to testify about the contacts  
16 with Ms. Phillabaum, Ms. Kolar, Mr. Corrina, and other matters  
17 that are not about impeaching Mr. Torres. There may also be,  
18 depending upon what his testimony is -- I may want to impeach  
19 him, but that's not the purpose of calling him. I frankly --

20 THE COURT: Is this about the 302 mainly, is that  
21 what we are talking about?

22 MR. BLOOM: There may be some discussion about his  
23 preparation of the 302, but that's not the import of it. But  
24 I do want to point out, at no point did we ask for an offer of  
25 proof as to any of their witnesses. I am very troubled by



1 their asking for that, A, and the Court requiring it.

2 I have given it because the Court has asked me to do it,  
3 but we've had enough problems. We are trying to get witnesses  
4 here. Ms. Waters is indigent. Because of the fact that she's  
5 indigent, we have had to go through the Court. For example,  
6 with Mr. Carr, the New York Times reporter. If she had money,  
7 he'd have been here testifying. We wouldn't have had to talk  
8 to the Court at all about it.

9 THE COURT: Well, I would still have to deal with the  
10 nature of the testimony. I told you that was not the issue as  
11 to what witnesses she should call. I had made a ruling on  
12 this, and that's why I said I wasn't going to issue that.

13 MR. BLOOM: No, what I was pointing out was that the  
14 Court would not have had to make a ruling at all had  
15 Ms. Waters not been indigent, that we would have just called  
16 him as a witness, and if there was an objection during his  
17 testimony --

18 THE COURT: Well, we are going far afield now. Let's  
19 get back to Mr. Torres. We are ready to proceed with him?

20 MR. BLOOM: I am.

21 THE COURT: Then you may call him.

22 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, I guess I am a little  
23 confused. He says that he wants to call him about contacts.  
24 My understanding is there's no contact between Tony Torres and  
25 any of the individuals that he's mentioned, outside the

1 context of the 302 interview.

2 MR. BLOOM: It's in the context of an interview.  
3 It's not a 302 interview. It's an interview, and if there's a  
4 302 involved as well --

5 THE COURT: Let's have Mr. Torres. Are we ready to  
6 proceed with him?

7 MR. BLOOM: I think so, if he's here.

8 THE COURT: All right. Bring him in.

9 (Jury present.)

10 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

11 The Government has rested as you now know. The defense  
12 will begin their case.

13 MR. BLOOM: We call as our first witness, Special  
14 Agent Anthony Torres.

15 THE COURT: Let Mr. Torres -- let me have you come  
16 forward and be sworn.

17 ANTHONY TORRES, called as a witness, duly sworn.

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. BLOOM:

20 Q. Could you please state your name and your employment and  
21 -- good morning.

22 I am sorry. Good morning to you first.

23 A. Good morning. My name is Anthony Torres. I am a  
24 supervisory agent with the FBI.

25 Q. How long have you been with the FBI?

1   **A.** Just over 11 years.

2   **Q.** Have you and I ever met or spoken in any way?

3   **A.** No, we have not.

4   **Q.** Was it communicated to you at some point that we would  
5 like to speak to you?

6   **A.** Yes.

7   **Q.** Did you agree to do that or not?

8   **A.** I agreed to come here and speak with you here today.

9   **Q.** I am sorry?

10   **A.** I agreed to come and talk to you here today.

11   **Q.** You agreed to come testify?

12   **A.** That's correct.

13   **Q.** Was there a previous time where it was communicated to you  
14 that we would like to interview you some months ago?

15   **A.** Yes, that's correct.

16   **Q.** As to that request, did you agree to that or not?

17   **A.** No, I preferred to talk to you under oath in front of a  
18 jury in a courtroom. That was my preference.

19   **Q.** So you did not agree to talk to us?

20   **A.** That's correct.

21   **Q.** Now, the University of Washington arson on May 20th, 21st,  
22 of 2001, you are familiar with that?

23   **A.** Yes, I am.

24   **Q.** If it's more convenient, maybe if you put the microphone  
25 in front of you, whatever. Just make yourself comfortable so

1 you will be able to speak through the microphone. Thank you.

2 Now, when did you begin work on the -- well, let me go  
3 back. Were you in the Seattle office as of May of 2001?

4 A. I was.

5 Q. Were you a supervising agent at that time?

6 A. No, I was not.

7 Q. When did you become a supervising agent?

8 A. I became a permanent supervisory Special Agent in February  
9 of last year.

10 Q. There was obviously some temporary status?

11 A. Yes. I have been, since fall of 2002, a temporary or a  
12 relief supervisor for my squad -- my squads that I worked on.  
13 I was an acting supervisor for about six months in 2006.

14 Q. Now, Agent Halla has testified that although you don't  
15 really have partners in FBI work, that essentially in working  
16 on this case you were more or less partners?

17 A. I think that's fair.

18 Q. Is that a reasonable description?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Do you remember what day of the week May 21, 2001 was?

21 A. May 21, 2001, was a Sunday -- Monday, so Monday.

22 Q. The 21st was a Monday?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. When did you personally get involved in the investigation  
25 of that incident?

1 A. I personally became involved in the investigation in the  
2 fall of 2002.

3 Q. Is there a reason -- were you doing something else between  
4 the time of the incident and fall of '02?

5 A. At the time of the arson, I was assigned to our drug and  
6 organized crime squad. It's a separate squad within the  
7 Seattle division.

8 Q. So you were aware that the agency, the Seattle office of  
9 the FBI, was involved almost from the beginning; is that  
10 correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. Was it Agent Halla who was what's called the case agent?

13 A. At the time of the arson?

14 Q. Who became the case agent at the time of the arson.

15 Well, who did? Was there a case agent?

16 A. At the time -- well, I wasn't assigned to the squad at the  
17 time, but I believe the original case agent, when the arson  
18 happened, was either Jane Stephan or Lee Yates, but I am not  
19 certain on that.

20 Q. Did there come a time, to your awareness, that the U.S.  
21 Attorney's Office became involved in the investigation?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And when would that have been?

24 A. I don't know exactly when they became involved in the  
25 investigation.

1 Q. That would be before your own personal involvement?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. The U.S. Attorney is actually a part of the branch of the  
4 United States Department of Justice; is that correct?

5 A. Yes, that's correct.

6 Q. As of the year 2001, I think the Attorney General was  
7 Ashcroft; is that correct?

8 A. I believe that's correct, yes.

9 Q. Then there came a time when he was replaced by Alberto  
10 Gonzalez?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Is it fair to say that by the time you got involved in the  
13 fall of '02, and the time the arrests were made on the Oregon  
14 Indictment in December, what year was it, December of '05?

15 A. December of '05.

16 Q. By that time in December of '05, some four-and-a-half  
17 years had gone by more or less with this crime unsolved; is  
18 that correct?

19 A. More or less, yes.

20 Q. Is it fair to say that it was a very important -- it was  
21 important work for you to try to solve this crime; is that  
22 correct?

23 A. Yes, all of our investigations are important.

24 Q. Well, this one was a celebrated arson; is that correct?  
25 Highly publicized?

1 A. There was a lot of publicity regarding this arson, yes.

2 Q. And it was your investigation, and the lack of information  
3 was a little bit frustrating; is that fair to say?

4 A. It was -- well, I don't know if I would classify it as  
5 frustrating. We didn't have much information in terms of  
6 being able to solve the crime in the first couple of years.

7 Q. There were several crimes, a number of arsons, maybe 17 or  
8 so arsons, for which the Earth Liberation Front took credit in  
9 a period between 1996 and 2001; is that correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. That was -- this was part of the effort -- trying to solve  
12 this crime was part of the effort trying to solve those  
13 crimes; is that correct?

14 A. Yes, that's correct.

15 Q. There came a time in 2004 --

16 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, objection. This isn't  
17 cross-examination. This is actually direct examination, and I  
18 have let it go on quite a bit, but the questions should not be  
19 leading.

20 THE COURT: He's calling, I believe, this witness as  
21 his witness.

22 MR. BLOOM: That's correct. Certainly, this is a  
23 preliminary matter. Secondly, I am allowed to lead a witness  
24 who would be regarded as an adverse witness.

25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

1 BY MR. BLOOM:

2 Q. You work for the FBI and I am the lawyer for Briana  
3 Waters. We have adverse positions, don't we?

4 A. I wouldn't classify it as an adverse position. We have  
5 different roles in the Government.

6 Q. Now, in 2004, there was a break -- Jake Ferguson, correct?

7 A. A break in the overall conspiracy? There was a break in  
8 the case.

9 Q. The overall conspiracy.

10 A. Yes, there was.

11 Q. It was -- you got ahold of Jake Ferguson and he agreed to  
12 cooperate; is that correct? The FBI did, not you personally,  
13 the FBI?

14 A. Not me personally, but yes, he did agree to cooperate with  
15 the FBI.

16 Q. By that time, 2004, you were actively involved in the UW  
17 investigation; is that correct?

18 A. I was the co-case agent in the investigation, yes.

19 Q. With Agent Halla?

20 A. With Agent Halla, correct.

21 Q. Now, did there come a time when you understood that a  
22 woman named Jennifer Kolar was going to come and talk to you?

23 A. Talk to me, yes.

24 Q. Talk to you, to Mr. Friedman and to Agent Halla; is that  
25 correct?



1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Did you come to learn that she had been contacted -- well,  
3 did you see her on December 16th of 2005?

4 A. I interviewed her. I participated and interviewed her on  
5 December 16th, yes.

6 Q. Did you come to learn that she had in fact been contacted  
7 about five or six or even seven days before that by Agent  
8 Quimby, a woman from the Denver office; is that correct?

9 A. Yes, that would be agent Jane Quimby.

10 Q. You understood that Ms. Kolar had been contacted and  
11 either a message left or a communication that the FBI would  
12 like her to get a lawyer and cooperate?

13 A. Yes. I don't know what the exact message was, but that  
14 was essentially the message.

15 Q. To that effect?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. So it was your understanding, as you saw her on December  
18 16th, that here was a woman who had had at least a week to  
19 think about what she was going to do, what she was going to  
20 say?

21 A. Well, I don't know what she was thinking prior to that  
22 meeting.

23 Q. No, I am just talking about the timing. I am not  
24 suggesting you would know what she was thinking, but in terms  
25 of her being made aware of the fact that she had to think

1 about what she was doing with regard to her activities, she  
2 had about a week to think about it, at least; is that correct?

3 A. There was about a week from the time Agent Quimby  
4 contacted Jennifer Kolar until she came and talked to us.

5 Q. Once you came to meet with Jennifer Kolar, and you met  
6 with her several times; is that true?

7 A. I met with her three or four times.

8 Q. Isn't it fair to say you understood her to be a highly  
9 intelligent person?

10 A. Before she came into the meeting?

11 Q. No, once you met her.

12 A. I would characterize her as an intelligent person.

13 Q. Do you know of her background? She's --

14 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, this is leading. This is  
15 direct examination. He is not an adverse witness. They  
16 called him. The questions should be nonleading, open-ended  
17 questions.

18 MR. BLOOM: All right.

19 BY MR. BLOOM:

20 Q. Did you come to learn about her background?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What did you learn about her academic background?

23 A. I learned that she graduated from high school in Spokane.

24 I learned that she attended the University of Colorado in  
25 Boulder. I believe she received a degree in applied

1 mathematics and was a Ph.D. candidate, at some point was a  
2 Ph.D. candidate.

3 Q. When you spoke with her, did you have an impression of her  
4 intelligence?

5 A. Well, based on her education and based on her position in  
6 the community, I thought she was an intelligent person.

7 Q. How about based on how she spoke with you?

8 A. She was coherent, articulate.

9 Q. Now, did you come to understand, was she there with an  
10 attorney on December 16th?

11 A. She was with her attorney.

12 Q. Would that have been Michael Martin?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Was it your understanding that she was going to speak  
15 about the incident at the University of Washington?

16 A. At some point I became aware that she was going to talk  
17 about that arson, yes.

18 Q. Was it your understanding that she was going to confess to  
19 that crime and perhaps others?

20 A. It was my understanding just prior to the meeting that  
21 that's what she was going to confess to.

22 Q. What is one of the FBI regulations called? Something like  
23 MIOG?

24 A. There's MAOP and there is MIOG.

25 Q. What are those? Could you please tell the jury what those

1 are?

2 **A.** Sure. They are administrative procedures or guidelines  
3 which guide our investigations or which guide administrative  
4 duties. It's basically a policy or a guideline book for the  
5 FBI. There's two of them.

6 **Q.** Are there provisions in those guidelines -- is it fair to  
7 describe them generally as guidelines? Is that a fair  
8 description?

9 **A.** Yes, that's fair.

10 **Q.** Are there provisions in those guidelines for recording --  
11 using either digital or tape recorder -- when you are speaking  
12 to witnesses or suspects?

13 **A.** It's not our policy to record interviews or confessions.

14 **Q.** Well, let me just try to answer that question. Are there  
15 provisions in the guidelines about doing that?

16 **A.** There are some provisions.

17 **Q.** When you say it's not your policy, it's not the FBI's  
18 policy or not your policy?

19 **A.** No, it's not the FBI's policy to record interviews or  
20 confessions.

21 **Q.** Now, are there provisions for doing so?

22 **A.** What do you mean by provisions?

23 **Q.** Well, let's mark this next exhibit. I don't have any  
24 copies. I would ask you to look at it to refresh your  
25 recollection, if we could mark it for identification, please.

1 I will make copies so the Court has it and the prosecutor  
2 has it.

3 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to see that?

4 MR. BARTLETT: I have no idea what it is.

5 THE COURT: Share that with the Government.

6 MR. BLOOM: I will.

7 THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's take the noon  
8 recess at this time, and we'll clear this up over the noon  
9 recess. Have yourself a nice lunch. Keep your books on your  
10 chair. Don't discuss the case when you are back in the  
11 building, in the jury room. See you around 1:00.

12 (Jury not present.)

13 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. Is it  
14 something -- why don't we do it this way. Let me let you two  
15 get together. If copies need to be made, do that. If there's  
16 an issue on it, let me know so I can take it before 1:00.

17 MR. BLOOM: Okay. Could I now make the request that  
18 if my two brief witnesses show up, that we can interrupt?

19 THE COURT: Any problem with that?

20 MR. BARTLETT: Who are they?

21 THE COURT: I don't know.

22 MR. BLOOM: One would be Haila Silvertrees and the  
23 other would be Lavern Troxel.

24 MR. BARTLETT: I have no objection to that, Your  
25 Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MR. BLOOM: Thank you.

3 THE COURT: We'll be at recess.

4 MR. BARTLETT: You can talk, but not about the case.

5 THE COURT: Yes. Don't discuss the case.

6 (Luncheon recess.)

7 (Jury not present.)

8 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

9 Now, the exhibit we are talking about, I understand that's  
10 all taken care of.

11 MR. BLOOM: Yes, the marking of the document. I left  
12 a -- it was a portion of the FBI regulations. That was marked  
13 --

14 THE COURT: This is A-213?

15 MR. BLOOM: 212. No, it must be 213.

16 THE CLERK: A-213.

17 THE COURT: Ready for the jury?

18 MR. BLOOM: Would you please tell the jury that the  
19 Court has agreed to let us call two witnesses out of order.

20 THE COURT: All right. The first one is?

21 MR. BLOOM: Haila Silvertrees. The second person  
22 will be Lavern Troxel.

23 (Jury present.)

24 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

25 Instead of continuing now with Mr. Torres like we have, there

1 will be a witness now called out of turn. That's, of course,  
2 approved by the Court.

3 MR. BLOOM: Thank you for accommodating the  
4 schedules. I would like to call Haila Silvertrees.

5 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Silvertrees, would you  
6 just come forward, ma'am, and raise your right hand and be  
7 sworn?

8 HAILA SILVERTREES, called as a witness, duly sworn

9 THE COURT: All right. Just come around and take the  
10 witness chair right there.

11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. BLOOM:

14 Q. Thank you for coming.

15 A. Uh-huh.

16 Q. Could you fix the microphone in front of your face and  
17 speak into it, please.

18 Could you please --

19 A. Is this good?

20 Q. That sounds good, yes, thank you.

21 Have you ever testified before?

22 A. Gosh, I think maybe years ago.

23 Q. Please tell the jury your name.

24 A. Haila Silvertrees.

25 Q. What city do you live in?

1   **A.**   Olympia.

2               THE COURT:   Have her spell the last name for the  
3   record.

4   **Q.**   Please spell your last name.

5   **A.**   S-I-L-V-E-R-T-R-E-E-S.

6   **Q.**   Maybe spell the first name too, if you would.

7   **A.**   H-A-I-L-A.

8               MR. BARTLETT:   For the record, I couldn't hear her  
9   answer with regard to have you ever testified before?

10  **A.**   I believe I did, yeah, years and years and years ago.

11  BY MR. BLOOM:

12  **Q.**   How long have you lived in Olympia?   What year did you  
13  move to Olympia?

14  **A.**   In 2001.

15  **Q.**   How old are you?

16  **A.**   65.

17  **Q.**   Where did you grow up?

18  **A.**   Oh, gosh, Midwest mostly.

19  **Q.**   Can you speak a little bit louder?

20  **A.**   Midwest.

21  **Q.**   Did there come a time when you moved out to the west?

22  **A.**   Yes.

23  **Q.**   Did you at some point move to Washington State?

24  **A.**   Yes.

25  **Q.**   Do you remember what year that was?



- 1   **A.** Oh, I think it was '85, 1985.
- 2   **Q.** Where did you move to, what city?
- 3   **A.** Edmonds.
- 4   **Q.** Where is Edmonds in relation to Tacoma?
- 5   **A.** It's a little bit north of Seattle.
- 6   **Q.** Do you have any children?
- 7   **A.** Yes.
- 8   **Q.** How many do you have?
- 9   **A.** Two.
- 10   **Q.** Is one of your children named Ocean?
- 11   **A.** Yes.
- 12   **Q.** Did there come a time -- was he born with the name Ocean?
- 13   **A.** No.
- 14   **Q.** Did there come a time when he changed his name officially
- 15   to Ocean?
- 16   **A.** Yes.
- 17   **Q.** Do you remember how old he was then?
- 18   **A.** I think he was 18.
- 19   **Q.** Did there come a time that he moved to the Olympia area?
- 20   **A.** Yes.
- 21   **Q.** Do you remember about when that was?
- 22   **A.** I think it was '88 or '89.
- 23   **Q.** Okay. I want to take you back to a time that was some
- 24   trouble for you. Did there come a time when Ocean was
- 25   involved in a serious automobile accident?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do you remember what year that was?

3 A. 2000.

4 Q. As a result of that accident, what were the physical  
5 injuries to him?

6 A. He's a quadriplegic.

7 Q. Does he use a wheelchair?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. In the year 2000, after his accident, was he in rehab for  
10 some time?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you know what state this accident was in?

13 A. I believe it was Pennsylvania.

14 Q. Did there come a time when he was able to come back to the  
15 Olympia area?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Sometime in the year 2001 -- well, let me ask you, was it  
18 in the year 2001, or was it 2000?

19 A. It was in 2000.

20 Q. Was he married at the time?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. To whom was he married?

23 A. Heather Moore.

24 Q. Did they have any children?

25 A. They have now, yeah.

1 Q. That is they together had a child?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Is that a daughter?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And her name?

6 A. Karen.

7 Q. In the year 2000 when he moved to Olympia, what kind of  
8 place was he living?

9 A. A friend of his owned a house, and she arranged to have a  
10 ramp built to fix the house so he could live there.

11 Q. I assume this is not easy for you to talk about?

12 A. No.

13 Q. It's painful? Did there come a time when you decided that  
14 you should move to where he was going to be?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Was it clear that he was going to stay in Olympia, or was  
17 that not clear at the time?

18 A. I wasn't sure, right when he first moved back to Olympia,  
19 I wasn't sure that they were going to stay in Olympia. I  
20 think they mentioned possibly moving to Portland. I am not  
21 sure.

22 Q. So the place where he first moved when he came back to  
23 Olympia, you say it was a place fitted to accommodate the  
24 wheelchair and his condition; is that correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Now, did there come a time when you came down to Olympia  
2 to look for a place to stay for yourself?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Do you remember about when that was?

5 A. It was the end of March, beginning of April of 2001.

6 Q. As a result of the accident, was there some sort of  
7 insurance settlement?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Was there any decision made regarding buying a house --

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. -- with that money? Was a house purchased --

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. -- for him to live in?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Were you -- first of all, do you remember the month and  
16 the year that that happened?

17 A. The settlement was towards the end of March, and I believe  
18 the house was bought at the same time, right immediately,  
19 almost immediately.

20 Q. Did you have any official role in the purchase of the  
21 house?

22 A. Well, I am the trustee of his special needs trust, and I  
23 just transferred funds from the insurance settlement.

24 Q. Now, when you came down, did you say you came down from  
25 Edmonds, is it, to look for a place to stay?

1   **A.**   Yes.

2   **Q.**   Where were you staying when you first got here?   When I  
3   say here, when you first got to Olympia.

4   **A.**   To Olympia?   Well, I stayed in a motel.

5   **Q.**   When the house was purchased, was it Conger Avenue?

6   **A.**   Yes.

7   **Q.**   Did there come a time when you met somebody named Briana  
8   Waters?

9   **A.**   Yes.

10  **Q.**   Do you see her in the courtroom?

11  **A.**   Yes.

12  **Q.**   Could you point her out, please?

13  **A.**   (Indicating.)

14  **Q.**   Do you remember the circumstances of meeting her?

15  **A.**   Yes.

16  **Q.**   Could you please tell the jury.

17  **A.**   Sure.   One of the times that I came down to Olympia --

18  **Q.**   Could you speak into the microphone.

19  **A.**   Sorry.   One of the times that I came down to Olympia  
20  specifically to look for a home because I wanted to move close  
21  to my son, I met Briana and somehow it came up that I was  
22  staying in a motel, and she said she was going to be out of  
23  town for a few days, and she said, don't spend money on a  
24  motel, stay in my room while I'm gone.

25  **Q.**   Let me interrupt you.   Where was her room?

1 A. She was staying in a building that was behind Ocean's  
2 house.

3 Q. How would you describe that building?

4 A. Well, it was just a building that was about the size of a  
5 two-car garage, just a one-room.

6 Q. Did you actually stay?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. For about how long did you stay?

9 A. Two or three nights, I think.

10 Q. Could you describe the furnishings in that one room?

11 A. There was a bed.

12 Q. Do you want some water?

13 A. No, I'm fine, thank you.

14 There was a bed, carpet, rug, book shelves, book shelf  
15 that had books, and some clothes folded on it.

16 Q. Was it clear to you that a person was living there?

17 A. Yeah, it was a bedroom.

18 Q. When you stayed there for, I think you said, two nights or  
19 three?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What was happening -- was there a main house?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Was that the house -- what was happening with that house?

24 A. Well, there was construction going on in the main house to  
25 fit it for Ocean, a ramp being built and moving walls and

1 plumbing, and doorways had to be expanded.

2 Q. Was that house livable at that time?

3 A. Oh, no.

4 Q. In particular, the kitchen of that house, the main house,  
5 was that under construction?

6 A. I think there was something going on with the stove or the  
7 electricity in there.

8 Q. Was there anything going on with regard to counters,  
9 changing the level of counters?

10 A. That was changed, yes.

11 Q. Did you -- in the back -- in the back house --

12 A. Uh-huh.

13 Q. -- in the back room where you stayed, where Briana had  
14 stayed -- by the way, did she come back and then stay there?

15 A. No.

16 Q. When you left, did she come back and stay --

17 A. Well, I assume she did. I don't know. I wasn't there  
18 when she came back.

19 Q. Now, was there running water in the back?

20 A. No.

21 Q. If you needed water, what did you do?

22 A. There was a bathroom right by the back door.

23 Q. Back door of what?

24 A. Of the house.

25 Q. Did you get water there to wash up and drink?

1   **A.**   Yeah.   There was a shower, yes.

2   **Q.**   Now, did there come a time that Ocean actually -- that the  
3   construction was done to the point where Ocean was able to  
4   move into Conger Avenue?

5   **A.**   Yes.

6   **Q.**   Do you remember what month that was?   Would you remember  
7   what season it was?

8   **A.**   It was in the summer.

9   **Q.**   What year would that have been?

10  **A.**   That was all in 2001.

11  **Q.**   That same year?

12  **A.**   Yes.

13  **Q.**   Prior to his actually moving in, in the summer of 2001,  
14  was he able to live there at any time given the state of the  
15  house?

16  **A.**   No.

17  **Q.**   So if a person went to see him at the house living there,  
18  it would have to be in the Summertime, as you best remember?

19  **A.**   Yes.

20  **Q.**   Now, did you come to know Briana Waters at all during that  
21  period?

22  **A.**   A little.

23  **Q.**   What was your impression of her?

24  **A.**   She was very, very kind to me in a time that was very  
25  difficult for me.



1 Q. Do you have a sense of her that you can tell the jury  
2 about whether she was a person of peace or, on the other hand,  
3 a person of violence?

4 A. Oh, absolutely peaceful, kind, warm.

5 Q. As you sit there now, you know she's accused of having  
6 been involved in an arson?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Tell the jury what you think of that.

9 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 MR. BLOOM: I have no further questions.

12 MR. BARTLETT: Nothing, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. You may step down.

14 Next witness.

15 MR. BLOOM: LaVerne Troxel. I will go get her.

16 THE COURT: Raise your right hand to be sworn.

17 LAVERNE TROXEL, called as a witness, duly sworn.

18 THE COURT: Just take the witness chair

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BLOOM:

21 Q. Thank you for coming. Would you use the microphone?

22 A. Yes, I will. Is this okay? Can you hear me?

23 Q. Yes, we can. Thank you.

24 Could you tell the jury your whole name and spell it for  
25 us, please?

1   **A.**   Yes.   It's LaVerne Adele Troxel.   That's L-A, capital V,  
2   E-R-N-E, Adele, A-D-E-L-E, Troxel, T as in Tom, R-O-X-E-L.

3   **Q.**   Where do you live?

4   **A.**   I live in Randle, Washington, which is a little town up in  
5   the Cascades.

6   **Q.**   About how far from here is that?   How long a drive is  
7   that?

8   **A.**   It's 90 miles to Olympia, so however far it is from  
9   Olympia to here on top of that.

10   **Q.**   When did you and I first meet?

11   **A.**   We met, oh, probably an hour ago out in the hall in the  
12   main lobby.

13   **Q.**   Have we spoken on the phone?

14   **A.**   Yes, very briefly.

15   **Q.**   Could you tell us -- how old are you?

16   **A.**   63.

17   **Q.**   Could you tell us what you do or have done for a living?

18   **A.**   Yes.   For almost 40 years, I was a flight attendant, a  
19   stewardess before then and then they changed the term, and my  
20   final about 10 years, I was an on-board service coordinator or  
21   later changed to on-board service leader, flying basically  
22   international flights.

23   **Q.**   What particular airline?

24   **A.**   I started out with National, which merged with Pan  
25   American, and then Delta bought part of Pan American and took

1 personnel , and I went to Del ta. So I finished my last 10  
2 years with Del ta.

3 Q. Have you -- how do you spend your time now?

4 A. I am basically retired, but I say I am going to have to  
5 get a job so I can rest because I have several businesses, and  
6 I have a farm, which is my greatest love, and I raise horses.

7 Q. Is that in Randle?

8 A. Yes, it is.

9 Q. Is there a mountain in the area of Randle called Watch  
10 Mountain?

11 A. Yes, there is.

12 Q. Could you tell the jury -- I don't know if it's called the  
13 Watch Mountain Project, but could you tell the jury what  
14 happened with regard to Randle and Watch Mountain and Plum  
15 Creek.

16 A. Yes, I would be glad to.

17 When I first moved to Randle, I thought it was a very  
18 beautiful , scenic and a great place to raise my child. I had  
19 no idea about the dangers that existed in that area. I am  
20 still very happily entrenched there, and I love it there, but  
21 we have massive landslides and we have a lot of flooding.

22 We are in part of Lewis County which you may have heard  
23 about the flooding that has taken place just recently there.  
24 We are in a valley, and there's a river that runs through the  
25 valley, and there is a mountain that sits above the entire

1 town called Watch Mountain.

2 Watch Mountain is basically comprised of volcanic ash  
3 which is very unstable. If you pick up the soil, it almost  
4 looks like little glass ball bearings. When you have any  
5 rain, which is all winter long, we have quite a few landslides  
6 that come down off that mountain.

7 In fact, a few years ago we had a landslide that was so  
8 phenomenal, it cost almost \$14 million to clear that  
9 landslide, and we were trapped in the town for 10 days. It  
10 went across the road and they had trucks and cranes clearing  
11 the highway 24 hours a day, and they finally had to move the  
12 road over because it was still coming down.

13 Well, I was on vacation and I came home in '95, and there  
14 was a group of young people that had come into our town in the  
15 two or three weeks that I had been gone, and they had  
16 established a tree sit in the mountains up on Watch Mountain.

17 At that time, I learned that Watch Mountain was slated to  
18 be traded off in a land exchange, which is something -- I  
19 don't know if you call it a law or a policy or whatever that  
20 can be done with Forest Service land.

21 And what happened was the people in Seattle had approached  
22 the Sierra Club and they had given them donations and they  
23 wanted no more clear-cuts along the I-90 corridor in their  
24 backyard, and they wanted an Alpine park and they were going  
25 to trade Forest Service land in different parts of Washington

1 for this I-90 corridor land to create this Alpine park, and  
2 the land was basically owned by Plum Creek Timber Company.

3 Plum Creek Timber Company has been called the Darth Vader  
4 of the timber industry and has no replanting policies. They  
5 just strictly clear-cut everything they do, and they are no  
6 longer allowed to even log in Maine because of the destruction  
7 they caused there.

8 So Plum Creek was initiating the exchange for old growth  
9 timber for this stumped Alpine along I-90, and part of the  
10 exchange was the land called Watch Mountain which goes over  
11 the entire town of Randle, and the town sits underneath this  
12 mountain, and we have landslides off that mountain all the  
13 time with trees. Without trees, it would have been  
14 devastation.

15 Well, normally, when you do something like this, and you  
16 do these land exchanges, they have to go through a series of  
17 government processes. One, I think is called a NEPA process  
18 where they evaluate safety issues.

19 The timber company was getting anxious to get its hands on  
20 this land, and they knew that it would not pass through the  
21 safety issues, so they asked senator Slade Gorton, who they  
22 had contributed greatly to his re-election campaign, to help  
23 them, and he took and put a rider on the omnibus bill, the  
24 appropriations bill, in the last day of Congress, to legislate  
25 an exchange of this land and bypass all the legal processes,

1 which included the input of the town meetings and the input of  
2 the people that lived below this mountain.

3 We knew nothing about it. In the town we had heard  
4 whispers and rumors, but we all figured there would be  
5 meetings and it could be discussed and at that time the safety  
6 issues would be brought up and this would never happen.

7 Well, because it was legislated, our voice was completely  
8 removed, and we would not even have known that this had gone  
9 through until they started cutting that mountain, except that  
10 these young people -- a great deal of them from Evergreen  
11 College, including Briana -- came to our town and told us what  
12 was going on.

13 And where they were able to do this, the exchange sort of  
14 got thrown on the table because there was other parcels  
15 involved. One of the parcels had Purple Martin, which is an  
16 endangered species, and when the timber company realized that  
17 they were not going to be able to log that piece of land  
18 because of the Purple Martin in it, they decided to throw  
19 everything back on the table and they wanted another piece of  
20 property for the piece with the Purple Martin.

21 When that happened, it opened up the entire exchange for  
22 argument. So these young people showed up and they set up  
23 tree platforms. And you have to realize that Randle is a  
24 logging town, and people that come and set up tree platforms  
25 are called tree huggers, and basically they are in danger in a

1 logging town. You've got men that walk around with suspenders  
2 and cork nail boots that would knock down a tree hugger in a  
3 second and not give it a second thought.

4 So these kids were really putting themselves in harm's way  
5 to some extent in this town. I love my town, but nevertheless  
6 we do have some shortcomings in this way.

7 Well, the kids -- and I call them kids because I am 63 --  
8 these young people started going door to door, and they  
9 started telling people about this imminent danger in our town  
10 and what was going to happen, and they took a lot of guff.  
11 They really did.

12 I saw them take guff in some instances, but they were  
13 determined, and they were so diplomatic and they were so quiet  
14 and respectful to the townspeople that the entire town, with  
15 the exception of a few hard noses who really didn't know the  
16 facts, did a total about face, and I was never -- I came from  
17 Florida to Washington, so I am not involved in the timber  
18 industry, certainly wasn't at that time.

19 I had to read and get up to speed to find out what was  
20 going on because I was concerned about my town. But  
21 apparently, it's almost unheard of for there ever to be a  
22 cooperation between the timber community and quote unquote  
23 tree huggers.

24 In this case, there was a very unusual situation, where  
25 the townspeople and these young people joined forces to

1 protect this piece of land above our town.

2 The town was -- it was a double-edged sword. The  
3 townspeople were fighting to preserve the town from this  
4 terrible danger because if this mountain had been logged, the  
5 whole thing would have slid down on us and buried us, and we  
6 had soil experts that confirmed that and said not "if," it's  
7 just a matter of time.

8 So here you have loggers in their suspenders that said  
9 loggers on them, and you had kids that were quote-unquote tree  
10 huggers, and they were sitting together around campfires  
11 planning strategy, and it was the most amazing thing.

12 But the reason that it was so successful, and the reason  
13 that these young people were able to get as far as they did in  
14 this logging community with this tradition -- I mean, many,  
15 many, years of tradition of logging economy -- was because  
16 they were so respectful, and they conducted themselves in such  
17 a wonderful manner, and they even went to the food bank and  
18 helped pass out food. They got involved in community projects  
19 at the time they were there. They did all kinds of things.

20 Briana was their film editor. She was doing a documentary  
21 at the time, and she filmed all the different phases of what  
22 was going on, and she was very conscientious and very  
23 diplomatic dealing with some of these older people in this  
24 town.

25 And frankly, I've dealt with people all my life, that's



1 what my job has been is dealing with people, and I was so  
2 impressed. I was impressed with them as people, but I was  
3 impressed with them doubly because of their youth.

4 I cannot imagine that -- and another thing that was so  
5 great was there was no violence in this thing. This was right  
6 above my town, and it could have really heated up. But it  
7 stayed so calm because of the diplomacy of these young people  
8 and the way they handled themselves. I was proud to be a part  
9 of this, and I just cannot imagine that somebody involved in  
10 this, with the depth of dedication that Briana had, could  
11 completely do an about face on her personality and do some of  
12 the things that she's being accused of here. It just doesn't  
13 follow.

14 This woman was a -- I had a chance to observe her over  
15 many months. I think I am a very good judge of character.  
16 That's what I built my life on. That's what my profession has  
17 been, working with people, and I think her character is  
18 exemplary.

19 MR. BLOOM: I think I have run out of questions.

20 BY MR. BLOOM:

21 Q. Was it called the Watch Mountain Campaign?

22 A. They called it the Watch Mountain Campaign in some places,  
23 and it just depended. You saw it, but the Watch Mountain  
24 Campaign, the Watch Mountain Issue, the Watch Mountain  
25 Exchange.

1 Q. What was the result, by the way?

2 A. The result was that through the actions of these young  
3 people getting the town motivated, that the young people and  
4 the townspeople worked together, formed an amazing force. We  
5 sent a group to Washington and lobbied. We went to San  
6 Francisco and addressed the Sierra Club.

7 The Sierra Club did a total about face when they found out  
8 the safety issues involved with logging this mountain above  
9 this town and actually fought the exchange.

10 So the whole thing was basically -- Watch Mountain is  
11 still Forest Service land, and we still have our trees which  
12 will aid us in not having future landslides or massive  
13 flooding.

14 MR. BLOOM: Thank you. I don't have any further  
15 questions.

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. BARTLETT:

18 Q. Good afternoon.

19 A. Good afternoon.

20 Q. I am going to show you a picture which has already been  
21 entered into evidence. It's Government's Exhibit 111 and tell  
22 me if you recognize this, ma'am.

23 Do you recognize that, ma'am?

24 A. I don't think so.

25 Q. Try to think back during the Watch Mountain Campaign.

1       When we did a search of this man's house -- his name is  
2 Bill Rodgers. He had a resume that indicated he was a  
3 consultant for the Watch Mountain Campaign.

4       Do you remember seeing him around?

5   **A.** No, I actually don't, but let me tell you, there was a  
6 core group that contributed to the Watch Mountain Campaign of  
7 which Briana was one.

8       There was a number of young people, but we did have a  
9 bunch of other people that came and went. There were no  
10 leaders in this campaign, and some of the people that came and  
11 went were rowdy. There was even some young girls that wound  
12 up there a little bit nude, and the people that were in  
13 Briana's group, sort of -- they agreed that nobody would tell  
14 anybody you can't do this or do that, but they were diplomatic  
15 in telling them to calm down, to leave, to cover up.

16       So with this influx of people coming and going, this  
17 gentleman very well might have been one of the people that  
18 came and went and I just don't recognize him. Also, he has  
19 facial hair and he might have been shaved while he was there.

20   **Q.** As I understand it, the final compromise for Watch  
21 Mountain occurred on November 4, 1999. Does that sound about  
22 right?

23   **A.** I am not sure on that.

24   **Q.** Are you aware that in 1998, Ms. Waters was interviewed,  
25 and at that point in time she was a student at Evergreen

1 College?

2 Have you ever seen that New York Times article with her  
3 interview in it?

4 **A.** No, I don't think so.

5 **Q.** Can you take a look at 740-E?

6 In November 1998, a man named Craig Rosebraugh came up and  
7 spoke at Evergreen State College, and a reporter named Robert  
8 Sullivan was with him. At the end of the presentation by  
9 Mr. Rosebraugh, there was a brief conversation between the  
10 reporter and Ms. Waters.

11 **A.** I would have no knowledge of that.

12 **Q.** Let me have you take a look at just the portion of the  
13 conversation that was reported in the New York Times involving  
14 Ms. Waters, not the whole conversation.

15 Can you look at that, please, for me?

16 In 1998, it was reported that: "The talk was sponsored by  
17 EARN, the Evergreen Animal Rights Network." And one  
18 individual indicated, "'We all pretty much know Craig and the  
19 Liberation Collective,' said Tiffany Tudder."

20 "I" -- referring to the reporter Robert Sullivan -- "asked  
21 the EARN members if they supported arsons and mink-farm  
22 releases."

23 "'As long as people don't get hurt, I totally support  
24 it,' said Briana Waters, a senior."

25 As you read that sentence, "As long as people don't get

1 hurt, I totally support it," it clearly appears that Briana  
2 Waters is referring to arsons, that she totally supports the  
3 work of arson --

4 MR. BLOOM: Objection, the words speak for  
5 themselves, not --

6 THE COURT: Let her read it and say what she gets out  
7 of it.

8 MR. BLOOM: I'm sorry?

9 THE COURT: I said, let her read it.

10 BY MR. BARTLETT:

11 Q. Do you see that?

12 A. I see that sentence. If I had been there, I would have  
13 said to Briana: "Do you mean the release of animals, or do  
14 you mean in particular arson?" And I would have said to her:  
15 "I don't think that that's such a good idea to be doing arson  
16 stuff."

17 But there's really -- this isn't very clear to me exactly  
18 what she would have meant on that, and as far as releasing  
19 animals, I understand something about farm raised animals and  
20 how if you release them, they can't survive in the wild, but  
21 there are other people who don't.

22 Q. The animals can't survive in the wild?

23 A. Right.

24 Q. Like when you have a mink release, I don't think there's  
25 much of a danger of people getting hurt, that's just the

1 animals might not survive. The only thing where individual  
2 people would get hurt would be during an arson, wouldn't you  
3 agree, Mrs. Troxel?

4 **A.** No, I do not agree. Because if you are releasing animals  
5 and somebody -- if someone came and turned my horses loose,  
6 and I happened to be on the farm at the time that they were  
7 doing so, I would make an effort to stop them from turning my  
8 horses loose, and there is a possibility I could be injured,  
9 if they were violent people.

10 So, you know, this is a very good sentence for your case,  
11 but I don't see where it actually makes the point of your case  
12 because it's too -- it's not definite. It's just very  
13 wishy-washy.

14 **Q.** Does it surprise you to see those words there?

15 **A.** No.

16 MR. BARTLETT: No further questions.

17 THE COURT: She can be excused?

18 MR. BLOOM: I have one question.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BLOOM:

21 **Q.** Having read that article, does that in any way change your  
22 opinion of Briana Waters?

23 **A.** No, because you have to take -- you can't take something  
24 like this that is said on the spur of the moment with probably  
25 not a whole lot of thought.

1       A lot of us say things that we don't actually mean when we  
2 are just talking, but when it comes to actual action, there's  
3 a big difference between action and talk, and I saw Briana in  
4 action, and her action was above reproach.

5       So therefore, a couple of sentences in an article are not  
6 enough to convince me that the character of this person is any  
7 different than what I think it is.

8   **Q.** Let me ask this question: Have you ever been a baseball  
9 fan?

10   **A.** Yes and no.

11   **Q.** Have you ever heard the expression when an umpire makes a  
12 questionable call?

13   **A.** Kill the umpire?

14   **Q.** Kill the umpire, yes. How about that? Do you think  
15 that's a killer?

16   **A.** I have been in a baseball field when the stands were up --  
17 the people in the stands were on their feet and they were  
18 screaming "kill the umpire," and I am sure not one person  
19 there has ever killed an umpire.

20               MR. BLOOM: I don't have any further questions.

21               MR. BARTLETT: I have some follow-up, Your Honor,  
22 with regard to Ms. Troxel's last statement.

23                               RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

24   BY MR. BARTLETT:

25   **Q.** Ms. Troxel, you just indicated that from what you know of

1 Ms. Waters, she is beyond reproach.

2 Do you remember making that statement to the jury?

3 **A.** What I said was that what I know of her, and I know of her  
4 personality, is that she is a wonderful person and her general  
5 behavior has been irreproachable. However, she is human.

6 MR. BARTLETT: Could I have the Court Reporter refer  
7 back -- read back and see if the words "above reproach" were  
8 indicated, please?

9 COURT REPORTER: "Question: I have one question.  
10 Having read that article, does that in any way change your  
11 opinion of Briana Waters?"

12 "Answer: No, because you have to take -- you can't  
13 take something like this that is said on the spur of the  
14 moment with probably not a whole lot of thought. A lot of us  
15 say things that we don't actually mean when we are just  
16 talking, but when it comes to actual action, there's a big  
17 difference between action and talk, and I saw Briana in  
18 action, and her action was above reproach."

19 BY MR. BARTLETT:

20 **Q.** Thank you. That was the phrase I was referring to.

21 Do you remember making that statement?

22 **A.** Yes, I do, sir.

23 MR. BARTLETT: If I could approach the witness and  
24 provide a document previously marked as Government's Exhibit  
25 1102.



1           If I could approach, Your Honor.

2           MR. BLOOM: Before the question continues, we would  
3 ask that Mr. Bartlett be required to point out to us what he's  
4 focussing on. There may or may not be an objection. I just  
5 want to know what he's focussing on.

6           THE COURT: The issue is in this document?

7           MR. BARTLETT: I am focussing on the statement that  
8 Briana Waters is above reproach, and I want to point out some  
9 facts to this witness that might make her reconsider her  
10 opinion of Ms. Briana's (sic) character as above reproach.

11          MR. BLOOM: I would like to know what in this  
12 document indicates such action.

13          MR. BARTLETT: I will give an offer of proof, Your  
14 Honor.

15          THE COURT: All right.

16          MR. BARTLETT: In this document --

17          MR. BLOOM: Well, the offer of proof should not be in  
18 front of the jury.

19          THE COURT: Do you want the jury out so we can go  
20 through it? It's your witness, and he has a right to  
21 cross-examine based on her statement.

22          MR. BLOOM: I have no idea where he's going.

23          THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, step out for me.  
24 Leave your books on the chair.

25          Do we want the witness to step out also?

1 Ms. Troxel, we will have you step out and wait in the  
2 room.

3 (Jury not present.)

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 MR. BARTLETT: The offer of proof, Your Honor,  
6 referring to page 3 of this -- first of all, this is from  
7 Ms. Waters' website, SupportBriana.org. It was downloaded on  
8 February 19, 2008.

9 Looking at page 3, the third paragraph -- I will read the  
10 following: "Briana has found two great lawyers, Robert Bloom  
11 of Oakland and Neil Fox of Seattle. They will be working  
12 extremely hard in the coming months as approximately 100,000  
13 pages of discovery will need to be carefully examined."

14 "She" -- referring to Briana -- "and her family are now  
15 asking for your help to raise the fees necessary to pay these  
16 highly-skilled and seasoned lawyers, as well as helping to  
17 offset travel expenses. We have a mountain to climb."

18 My cross-examination will go to the fact that this  
19 statement is totally fraudulent; that in fact, since the day  
20 he walked into court, Neil Fox has been appointed by the  
21 Court, has been paid by the Court, and Ms. Waters has never  
22 given him so much as a nickel.

23 Second, in June of last year, Mr. Bloom changed --  
24 ultimately -- and he had been retained but now he is appointed  
25 by the Court. So she is asking for people to give her money

1 to pay her two attorneys, when in fact that is a lie. That is  
2 fraud. She doesn't pay her two attorneys. The two attorneys  
3 are being paid by the Court.

4 Therefore, I can confront this woman, who said she is  
5 above reproach, with the fact that she is fraudulently trying  
6 to obtain money for her appointed attorneys.

7 MR. BLOOM: Well, Your Honor, it's quite astonishing  
8 to hear this argument.

9 The fact is, we've had to come to this Court to ask for  
10 money to bring witnesses here so that the Court becomes a gate  
11 keeper. She, in fact, needs money. In fact, she did retain  
12 me at first and she ran out of money. We had a fee  
13 arrangement, and she was unable to pay me. So when this was  
14 first -- whenever this was first written, it was absolutely  
15 true.

16 THE COURT: I don't remember when you came to the  
17 case, but that was early on as I recall.

18 MR. BARTLETT: It was always a lie with regard to  
19 Mr. Fox. It was always a lie with regard to Mr. Fox.

20 With regard to Mr. Bloom, she had the obligation to keep  
21 her website up to date. If she doesn't, she can get on the  
22 stand and explain what the big mistake was. But with regard  
23 to cross-examination of a character witness, this is exactly  
24 on point.

25 MR. BLOOM: Tell you what, let him ask.

1 THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury back.

2 MR. FOX: For the record, I have never been paid a  
3 cent by anyone. I have never submitted a voucher.

4 THE COURT: I don't believe Mr. Fox -- he kept saying  
5 Mr. Fox. I don't know if you meant Mr. Fox or not.

6 MR. FOX: I have been appointed, but I have never  
7 been paid a dime in this case.

8 THE COURT: I don't know what that means.

9 MR. FOX: I mean, I expect at some point to submit a  
10 voucher.

11 THE COURT: That's what I thought.

12 MR. FOX: I have never been paid anything.

13 THE COURT: Okay. I think the issue has been  
14 settled.

15 MR. BLOOM: Sure.

16 THE COURT: Bring them in.

17 (Jury present.)

18 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

19 BY MR. BARTLETT:

20 Q. Ms. Troxel?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. Are you aware that Ms. Waters has a website  
23 "SupportBriana.org"?

24 A. No, I am not.

25 Q. Could you take a look at what's previously been marked for

1 i d e n t i f i c a t i o n a s G o v e r n m e n t ' s E x h i b i t 1102 i n f r o n t o f y o u .

2       D o y o u s e e t h a t ?

3   **A.**   Y e s , s i r .

4   **Q.**   I f y o u w o u l d l o o k a t p a g e 3 o f t h a t d o c u m e n t .

5               M R . B L O O M :   M a y I j u s t i n t e r j e c t , f o r t h e r e c o r d , t o  
6 r e f l e c t t h a t w e a r e w i t h d r a w i n g t h e o b j e c t i o n t o a n y o f t h e s e  
7 q u e s t i o n s .

8               T H E C O U R T :   A l l r i g h t .

9   B Y M R . B A R T L E T T :

10   **Q.**   D o y o u s e e a t t h e t h i r d m a j o r p a r a g r a p h o n t h a t p a g e t h e  
11 f o l l o w i n g :   " B r i a n a h a s f o u n d t w o g r e a t l a w y e r s , R o b e r t B l o o m  
12 o f O a k l a n d a n d N e i l F o x o f S e a t t l e .   T h e y w i l l b e w o r k i n g  
13 e x t r e m e l y h a r d i n t h e c o m i n g m o n t h s a s a p p r o x i m a t e l y 100,000  
14 p a g e s o f d i s c o v e r y m a t e r i a l w i l l n e e d t o b e c a r e f u l l y  
15 e x a m i n e d .   S h e a n d h e r f a m i l y a r e n o w a s k i n g f o r y o u r h e l p t o  
16 r a i s e t h e f e e s n e c e s s a r y t o p a y t h e s e h i g h l y s k i l l e d a n d  
17 s e a s o n e d l a w y e r s , a s w e l l a s h e l p i n g t o o f f s e t t r a v e l  
18 e x p e n s e s .   W e h a v e a m o u n t a i n t o c l i m b . "

19       D o y o u s e e t h o s e w o r d s ?

20   **A.**   Y e s .

21   **Q.**   D i d y o u e v e r g i v e M s . W a t e r s m o n e y i n r e l a t i o n t o t h i s ?

22   **A.**   N o .

23   **Q.**   W o u l d i t c h a n g e y o u r o p i n i o n t h a t s h e w a s a b o v e r e p r o a c h  
24 i f y o u w e r e t o k n o w t h a t N e i l F o x , t h e p e r s o n s h e w a s a s k i n g  
25 f o r d o n a t i o n s f o r , i s i n f a c t a n a t t o r n e y a p p o i n t e d b y t h e

1 Court and paid by the Court?

2 Would that change your opinion as to her honesty?

3 **A.** No, sir, because probably this wasn't even written by her.  
4 This is obviously written by another party, and I think you  
5 are grasping at straws. It's just a simple -- maybe a  
6 misstatement. Mr. Bloom is getting paid, and she's a working  
7 person. You have to have money for these things. It costs a  
8 lot.

9 **Q.** Ms. Troxel, could you look at the fourth paragraph where  
10 it says "she and her family are now asking for your help"?

11 Wouldn't you agree that anyone reading that, "she and her  
12 family are now asking for help," would read that as Ms. Waters  
13 herself, not someone on her behalf, but Ms. Waters herself is  
14 asking for donations? Wouldn't you agree?

15 **A.** I would agree that she is, but that doesn't mean she wrote  
16 this, and if I had read this, I would have given her some  
17 money.

18 **Q.** If you were to know a second thing -- if you were to know  
19 that, correct, Mr. Bloom was originally retained in this case,  
20 but in June of 2007, he switched from being retained to where  
21 his fees are also all being paid by the Court, therefore  
22 Ms. Waters isn't paying him anything. Would that change your  
23 opinion as to her character being above reproach?

24 **A.** No. It makes me think much more highly of Mr. Bloom,  
25 though.

1 MR. BARTLETT: No further questions.

2 THE COURT: All right. She can be excused?

3 MR. BLOOM: Let me just ask one question.

4 No, I have no questions.

5 THE COURT: Then you are excused.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 MR. BLOOM: I would like to offer this in evidence.

8 MR. BARTLETT: That section, Your Honor --

9 MR. BLOOM: I would like to offer the entire document  
10 in evidence.

11 MR. BARTLETT: I only asked for that section, Your  
12 Honor. There are other self-serving hearsay statements in  
13 there that I object to --

14 THE COURT: I will look at it if it goes beyond that.  
15 That was only admitted for one issue?

16 All right. We are back to Mr. Torres?

17 MR. BLOOM: Yes, please.

18 THE COURT: All right. We will have him back.

19 Retake the stand. Remember, you are still under oath.

20 ANTHONY TORRES, previously sworn further testified.

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION - CONTINUED

22 BY MR. BLOOM:

23 Q. I think when we left off we were talking about the FBI  
24 regulations, and we were talking about whether or not  
25 taping -- making an audiotape of a person being interviewed

1 was possible.

2 Do you remember? I think that's what we were talking  
3 about.

4 **A.** The recorded conversations, yes.

5 **Q.** Now, you understood on that day -- we are talking about  
6 December 16th of 2005, nine days after the arrests in the  
7 Oregon case?

8 **A.** Correct, 2005.

9 **Q.** Did I say 2005?

10 **A.** I was just confirming for myself, 2005.

11 **Q.** You understood that there was a real possibility that  
12 Ms. Kolar was going to confess to having burned down the  
13 Center for Urban Horticulture; is that correct?

14 **A.** No. Actually, I had no idea what Ms. Kolar was going to  
15 come in and tell us. There was no contract ahead of time.  
16 There was no agreement on what we thought she was going to  
17 say.

18 We were advised by her attorney that she was going to come  
19 in and talk about something to do with the conspiracy. I had  
20 no idea if she was going to confess to something or just give  
21 us some minor details of some involvement.

22 **Q.** In Mr. Friedman's office, the Office of the Department of  
23 Justice, Western District of Washington, United States  
24 Attorney, I assume there are recording devices; is that  
25 correct?



1   **A.** I have no knowl edge of that.

2   **Q.** Your office -- your FBI office is not very far from the  
3   U.S. Attorney's Office at the time?

4   **A.** No, not very far.

5   **Q.** You had such equipment there; is that correct?

6   **A.** We do have recording equipment in our office.

7   **Q.** There are tape recorders that are manageable to carry, not  
8   too big?

9   **A.** I can imagine I could come up with one. I don't know  
10   where one is. I don't keep one in my office, but I am sure if  
11   asked I could come up with a small recording device.

12   **Q.** Now, in the event that there were going to be -- was going  
13   to be a confession, would it be important to be able to  
14   tape-record that confession in order to make sure that the  
15   person confessing -- that there was good documentation of a  
16   confession?

17   **A.** Well, again, it was never a consideration. It never even  
18   crossed my mind to record that conversation with Ms. Kolar. I  
19   never have before. I never considered it before. So I don't  
20   know why I would have considered it this time.

21   **Q.** Well, there are provisions in the FBI regulations for tape  
22   recording an interview; is that correct?

23   **A.** Well, I believe, yes, there are some provisions in  
24   which -- I believe there's -- I don't know if it's a  
25   provision. It's certainly not a criteria, but I could ask,

1 according to the provisions, but it never even crossed my mind  
2 because that's not our policy to do so.

3 Q. Take a look at Exhibit A-213, which was introduced and  
4 marked before you got off the witness stand.

5 If you could look at the first page of that, section 7-8,  
6 recording of interviews. Do you see that?

7 A. 7-8?

8 Q. Yes, the last paragraph on that page.

9 A. Yes, I see that.

10 Q. Does it indicate that the use of recording devices to  
11 record the confessions or interviews of witnesses is  
12 permissible and authorized by the Special Agent in charge or  
13 his or her designees. And then there's a reference to the  
14 specific regulation, the so-called MIOG, is that what it says?

15 A. That's what it says, but it's not our practice, sir.

16 MR. BLOOM: I offer in evidence Exhibit A-213.

17 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

18 THE COURT: Admitted.

19 (Exhibit No. A-213 admitted.)

20 BY MR. BLOOM:

21 Q. This part here, right? That's what I just read, right?

22 A. Let me read it to myself first, so I know what I am  
23 agreeing to. Yes.

24 Q. The idea of the FBI's investigative work is to be as  
25 accurate as possible in recording events that happened; is

1 that correct?

2 **A.** We were as accurate as possible when we were recording  
3 this interview.

4 **Q.** I am sorry, could you say that again?

5 **A.** We were as accurate as possible when we were recording  
6 this interview, when we were taking notes in this interview.  
7 By recording meaning taking notes, I should clarify.

8 **Q.** Let's clarify that. When you say you were as accurate as  
9 possible, was there any dispute at any time between you and  
10 Agent Halla as to what exactly the witness Kolar said on that  
11 day?

12 **A.** There were some disagreements. There was some confusion  
13 between Mr. Halla and myself as to what Ms. Kolar said that  
14 day.

15 **Q.** Had there been a tape recording, there would not have been  
16 any confusion?

17 **A.** Perhaps.

18 **Q.** When you say perhaps, there would not have been any  
19 confusion if her words were on tape; is that correct?

20 **A.** Well, it would have accurately recorded what she would  
21 have said.

22 **Q.** Are there regulations, general FBI regulations about  
23 making sure your notes are accurate?

24 **A.** I don't know, sir. I am not sure -- I can't think of a --  
25 I don't know. I can't think of an actual procedure. If there

1 is one, I am not aware of.

2 What you are showing me here regarding the recording of  
3 interviews, these are the guidelines that I'm aware of for  
4 recording devices, but we strive to be as accurate as  
5 possible.

6 We do our best -- I do my best -- to be as accurate as  
7 possible. If there are guidelines, then perhaps you can show  
8 them to me.

9 Q. Well, you are a supervising agent now; is that correct?

10 A. I am.

11 Q. And you know the guidelines, right?

12 A. Which guidelines?

13 Q. All the guidelines. All the FBI guidelines. You know  
14 them, don't you?

15 A. No, I do not.

16 Q. You certainly know that the guidelines require accuracy in  
17 both your notes and in your 302s, your FBI reports; is that  
18 correct?

19 A. That's not what I am saying, sir.

20 Q. I am asking you --

21 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. First of all, they are  
22 leading. Second, he's not even letting him answer the leading  
23 questions.

24 THE COURT: If you give him a question, let him  
25 answer.

1   **A.** I think my testimony, sir, is I am not aware of a specific  
2 guideline requiring that we are accurate in our interviews. I  
3 try to be as accurate as best as possible. I am not aware of  
4 a specific guideline or policy. It's common sense, sir, that  
5 we would like -- we strive to be as accurate as possible.

6   **Q.** Why would that be? Why would you want to be as accurate  
7 as possible?

8   **A.** To record -- well, to be fair.

9   **Q.** There might be a time when you might have to testify in  
10 court about the interview that you were giving; is that  
11 correct? Or taking, I should say.

12   **A.** Yes, there may be a time when I have to testify.

13   **Q.** Right. So it's important to have an accurate record of  
14 what the person said and the questions that he or she was  
15 asked?

16   **A.** It is important.

17   **Q.** You don't need guidelines to come to that conclusion,  
18 right?

19   **A.** No.

20   **Q.** Now, who was the Special Agent in charge on December 16th  
21 of 2005?

22   **A.** We have had several over the past several years.

23   **Q.** Try to remember --

24   **A.** I am trying, sir. In December of 2005 --

25   **Q.** December 16, 2005.

1   **A.** Right. Possibly Mr. Charlie Mandigo, possibly Mr. Pat  
2   Adams.

3   **Q.** Now, if the Special Agent in charge is out on some mission  
4   somewhere or out to lunch, there is a person who would be the  
5   acting Special Agent in charge; is that correct?

6   **A.** In the absence of the Special Agent in charge, there can  
7   be a designated Special Agent in charge, yes.

8   **Q.** There is always a person to go to if you learn oh, this  
9   witness is going to make a confession, maybe we should tape  
10   it; there's always a person in charge to whom you could go to  
11   get authorization; is that true?

12   **A.** If I needed authorization for any matter from an SAC in my  
13   division, there's always somebody to go to.

14   **Q.** Did there come a time on December 16th -- we are talking  
15   only about Jennifer Kolar -- what happened with her on  
16   December 16th, that's what I am talking about, of the year  
17   2005, her first interview with you. That's the reference  
18   point.

19       Now, did there come a time during that -- the early part  
20   of that interview that you understood that she was going to  
21   confess to one or more crimes?

22   **A.** I remember prior to the interview beginning her attorney  
23   stating to us -- and I don't believe Ms. Kolar was in the room  
24   at the time -- that she was going to give us information on  
25   three arsons. I don't remember if the words "confess" were

1 used, but I know that she was going to give us some  
2 information on three arsons that she had knowledge of.

3 Q. That she what?

4 A. Had knowledge of, personal knowledge of.

5 Q. So explain the timing again. When did you learn this?

6 A. If my memory recalls, it was just prior to, I guess you  
7 would say, the official start of the interview, on which was  
8 the preamble by her attorney, and I don't believe Ms. Kolar  
9 was in the room at the time. I think it was more of an  
10 administrative matter.

11 Q. Did you or Mr. Friedman or Agent Torres say: "Hey, we  
12 better get a tape recorder, there's a confession coming?"

13 A. It never even crossed my mind.

14 Q. That's because if the confession turns out to be something  
15 that you eventually don't go with -- let me withdraw that.

16 Statements of witnesses. You eventually put them in a  
17 302; is that correct?

18 A. The statements of witnesses, what they say, are reports  
19 for the FBI. There's a 302. It's a report.

20 Q. If a person is accused of a crime --

21 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor. There's no  
22 attempt to even give these open-ended questions. It's just  
23 leading, leading, leading. This is direct examination. I  
24 would ask again that Mr. Bloom make an attempt to ask  
25 nonleading questions.

1 MR. BLOOM: Judge Burgess, it's very clear. There's  
2 no question ever, in four years, that the FBI agent involved  
3 in the investigation is an adverse witness and we are  
4 permitted to lead him.

5 THE COURT: Let's see if I can get the two of you to  
6 -- hold down any statements. Ask the question, get a complete  
7 answer and then move on to the next one, because over and over  
8 and over again -- and if you can quickly get there, do that.

9 MR. BLOOM: That's what I am trying to do, and I get  
10 interrupted by Mr. Bartlett.

11 THE COURT: All right. Sometimes I don't know if you  
12 need to preface a question before the question comes. Just  
13 ask the question and get an answer.

14 MR. BLOOM: That's what I am trying to do, but I get  
15 interrupted. I will continue.

16 BY MR. BLOOM:

17 Q. Once you prepare your 302, which is the typed report, am I  
18 correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Is it correct that there's a good likelihood that that  
21 statement will have to be turned over to a defense witness if  
22 there's a criminal prosecution?

23 A. The 302 should be turned over?

24 Q. Yes.

25 A. Yes.



1 Q. So that when you are preparing the 302, one of the FBI  
2 regulations, is it not correct, is that you should be accurate  
3 with that 302, bearing in mind that defense counsel may get a  
4 copy of that?

5 A. Again, I am not aware of any FBI regulations stating that  
6 we must be accurate in our reports or note-taking.

7 Q. Is there any discussion in any FBI regulations to the  
8 effect that -- which reminds you that defense counsel may ask  
9 for the FBI's written reports?

10 A. I don't quite follow the question.

11 Q. Is there a regulation that's entitled, "Reporting  
12 information that may become testimony," wherein you are  
13 instructed that when a Government witness testifies in court  
14 for the prosecution, the defense counsel may ask for the FBI's  
15 written record of the information brought out in the  
16 testimony; the FBI must have such information readily  
17 available for production in court?

18 Does that ring a bell to you as one of your regulations?

19 A. The process and the procedure rings a bell, and that's  
20 what I have always thought in understanding the processes. I  
21 am not sure if I learned that from a guideline or if I learned  
22 it from a manual or just instruction at the academy. I know  
23 that my notes and my 302s are discoverable.

24 Q. Discoverable, that's the word, meaning defense counsel  
25 such as myself and Mr. Fox may or probably will have that

1 document in order to cross-examine Jennifer Kolar?

2 A. I understand.

3 Q. Now, did there come a time during that interview that you  
4 made notes of what Jennifer Kolar was saying?

5 A. The December 16th interview, yes, I made notes.

6 Q. Was Mr. Friedman also making notes?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Was Agent Halla also making notes?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Let me show you an exhibit.

11 Will you look at what should be in front of you A-29?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Are those your notes of the December 16th, 2005 interview  
14 of Jennifer Kolar?

15 A. These are my handwritten notes from that interview on that  
16 day.

17 MR. BLOOM: I move those into evidence.

18 MR. FRIEDMAN: No objection.

19 THE COURT: Admitted.

20 (Exhibit No. A-29 admitted.)

21 BY MR. BLOOM:

22 Q. Could you look at the page with the Bates number on the  
23 bottom right, 15307?

24 A. Yes, 15307.

25 Q. Do you remember Ms. Kolar saying to you that, "I

1 participated in three arsons, the University of Washington,  
2 Cavel West and Susanville"?

3 **A.** That's what my notes reflect. I don't have an exact  
4 recall of her saying those words, but since my notes reflect  
5 that, then I would -- I don't know the exact words, but she  
6 did say something to that effect, yes.

7 **Q.** We would have the exact words if you had a tape recording,  
8 right?

9 **A.** Would we have the exact words of what she -- yes, we would  
10 have the exact words if we had a tape recording.

11 **Q.** But we don't have a tape recording?

12 **A.** My policy is not to have a tape recording. In my 11 years  
13 I have not --

14 **Q.** Why is that? I'm sorry to interrupt.

15 You say that is not your policy. Why is that your policy?

16 **A.** Why is it the FBI's policy?

17 **Q.** Why is that your policy?

18 **A.** I don't know, sir, why that's the FBI's policy not to  
19 record interviews. I have always been taught, since my first  
20 day -- my first days in the academy. It's what I have always  
21 understood from training agents and supervisors. It's our  
22 policy not to. I don't know why that is.

23 **Q.** It seems like you'd want to be able to have a tape  
24 recorder to show a jury who really wants to know what Jennifer  
25 Kolar said exactly on December 16th, right?

1       That would make sense, right?

2   **A.** Well, my testimony and the testimony of agents will tell  
3 the jury and others what she said on that day.

4   **Q.** If there's a conflict in your handwritten notes between  
5 you and the other agent, then a tape recording, needless to  
6 say -- and I won't ask any more questions on this -- there  
7 wouldn't be a problem of a conflict if there were a tape  
8 recording, right?

9   **A.** Perhaps; maybe there would not be confusion to the words  
10 that were spoken.

11   **Q.** Now, as to the words she spoke, she in so many words told  
12 you she participated in three arsons, the University of  
13 Washington, Cavel West and the California, Susanville, right?

14   **A.** Yes, sir.

15   **Q.** She didn't tell you, did she, at that time, that she'd  
16 also tried to burn down the Wray Gun Club in Colorado?

17   **A.** Not at that first interview, no.

18   **Q.** So she said she was involved in three arsons, not four?

19   **A.** During the first interview, I believe that's correct. Her  
20 memory was very fuzzy. She was unsure about a lot of things,  
21 and I believe at the time it was just those three arsons, yes.

22   **Q.** And that fuzziness or uncertainty would be confirmed if  
23 you had a tape recording, right?

24               MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor, asked and  
25 answered.

1           THE COURT: I think you've covered that enough, Mr.  
2 Bloom.

3 BY MR. BLOOM:

4 Q. The fuzziness about what she said about the three  
5 arsons -- let me withdraw that.

6 Did she ever tell you that day anything about a fourth  
7 arson she was involved in?

8 A. I don't recall, no.

9 Q. Now, we did talk earlier about this was clearly a highly  
10 intelligent woman; is that correct?

11 A. I would characterize her as an intelligent woman, yes.

12 Q. Now, did there come a time during that interview that she  
13 told you about what had happened at Susanville?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. If you could look at the page marked 15308.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Your handwriting says -- am I correct -- this is putting  
18 up that page. It says at Susanville; is that correct?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. Was she telling you, at the point that you wrote that, who  
21 were the people who were involved in the Susanville horse  
22 release and arson?

23 A. Well, you have to understand the nature of this interview.  
24 It was all over the place. There was at one point when we  
25 were so confused, we weren't sure -- I wasn't sure -- if she

1 was saying these people were absolutely there, if they  
2 weren't.

3 She was going back and forth from arson to arson. She  
4 was -- I wasn't sure -- I mean, the notes reflect that she  
5 wasn't sure in certain areas, and to my memory, it was just a  
6 lot of confusion, but it does say at Susanville with some  
7 names on it.

8 Q. Look at the top of that page. It says Susanville, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. She told you she went down with Joe to California to do  
11 it, right?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. That was clear, right?

14 A. I don't remember it being clear -- whether it was clear or  
15 not. Just because my notes say Susanville at the top doesn't  
16 necessarily mean that everything below there is going to be an  
17 accurate account of what happened at Susanville.

18 Q. Let's go through this line by line.

19 A. Sure.

20 Q. Did you write the word "Susanville"?

21 A. At the top of the page, I wrote that, yes.

22 Q. Did you write that because now you were talking with her  
23 about Susanville?

24 A. My understanding, at the beginning of this page, that we  
25 were going to talk about Susanville.

1 Q. She was talking -- am I correct -- about going down with  
2 Joe to California to do it? And that would be Joe Di bee,  
3 correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. That was her former boyfriend?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. That was a person who, at the time of Susanville, she was  
8 a close friend?

9 A. I would assume they were close friends, yes. I guess --  
10 yes.

11 Q. And that she told you that Joe owed someone a job, an  
12 animal rights job; is that correct?

13 A. That's what she said.

14 Q. That was clear and you wrote that down, right?

15 A. Well, I mean, that seems to be more clear than anything  
16 else in my notes because it has quotations around it. So that  
17 would tell me those were her exact words. If there's a place  
18 in my notes I put quotation marks, then that's how I remember  
19 later those were her exact words.

20 Q. Then did she tell you that Joe had been asked to cut out  
21 fences and release horses?

22 A. That's what my notes say.

23 Q. And that's what she told you?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Did she tell you she knew Jack, who was dating Chelsea at

1 the time?

2 A. That's what she said.

3 Q. And that's what you wrote?

4 A. That's what I wrote.

5 Q. Did she tell you that she thought they were going down to  
6 release horses?

7 A. Yes, there was -- I can't remember exactly if she  
8 thought -- if she was saying to us, I thought we were going  
9 down to release horses, her and Joe thought. I wrote I  
10 thought we were going down to release horses, so I assume  
11 that's what she told us, yes.

12 Q. Whatever you wrote, you tried to make it an accurate  
13 representation of what she was saying to you and Mr. Friedman  
14 and Agent Halla; is that fair?

15 A. I tried to make an accurate representation of what I  
16 thought she was telling us.

17 Q. Okay. Did she say that when they got down, Jack and Joe  
18 argued about something, a particular thing you wrote down?

19 Why be vague. "When we got down there, Jack and Joe  
20 argued about it now becoming an arson."

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Who is Jack, by the way? Is that Meyerhoff?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. "Jack had built devices, buckets with" -- I can't read  
25 that word. What is that word after "buckets"?



1   **A.** I believe it says "Meyerhoff."

2   **Q.** You've written that under the word "Jack" as an indication  
3 that you --

4   **A.** Possibly, possibly.

5   **Q.** And then you wrote "at Susanville," right?

6   **A.** Yes, I wrote that.

7   **Q.** Then there's a list of names; am I correct?

8   **A.** There is.

9   **Q.** Am I correct that she is telling you her best memory of  
10 who was at Susanville; is that correct?

11   **A.** Again, her best memory is not very good.

12   **Q.** Sir, was she giving you her best memory of who was at  
13 Susanville?

14   **A.** She was trying to.

15   **Q.** Other than your evaluation of it, that's what she was  
16 telling you or trying to tell you?

17   **A.** That's what she was trying to tell me, or us.

18   **Q.** Did she tell you Joe was there?

19   **A.** Yes.

20   **Q.** Did she tell you Chelsea, also known as Josie --

21   **A.** I don't want -- you weren't there. You have to understand  
22 the nature of this interview. She was thinking out loud. She  
23 was confused. At times, she was emotional. I don't want to  
24 commit to myself -- to you right now, if I have this name down  
25 here, that she's absolutely saying these people were there.

1 Q. Well, when she was not sure, she would tell you she wasn't  
2 sure, didn't she?

3 A. Not every time.

4 Q. Take a look. Dan McGowan. Jay, question mark. Not sure.  
5 And you wrote "not sure," did you not?

6 A. I wrote "not sure" then, but I am telling you, I am not  
7 sure of when she told me Joe or Jack or Avalon or Crazy Dan,  
8 if she was absolutely sure or not.

9 Q. But you didn't write -- go down Crazy Dan and Tubbs,  
10 right? Take a look. As to Dan McGowan, Crazy Dan and Tubbs,  
11 you have both a question mark and the words that you wrote,  
12 "not sure."

13 Is that correct?

14 A. What's the question, I'm sorry?

15 Q. I will ask it again. When it comes to Dan McGowan  
16 (Jamie), Crazy Dan, Tubbs, as to each of those three names,  
17 you wrote a question mark and you wrote "not sure."

18 Is that correct?

19 A. That's what my notes say.

20 Q. Is that correct?

21 A. That's what my notes say.

22 Q. In fact, when she was not sure, she told you she was not  
23 sure and you wrote "not sure"?

24 A. Not necessarily, no. There were times when I felt she was  
25 absolutely confused and not sure, just by her nature, by her

1 hesitation, by her being emotional, by the overall confusion.

2 It was obvious to us that she was not sure about certain  
3 things, and whether she told us she was not sure or not --

4 Q. I am saying, this jury has seen this person sitting just  
5 where you are sitting.

6 A. I understand.

7 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor, this is  
8 argumentative.

9 THE COURT: Question.

10 BY MR. BLOOM:

11 Q. When you spoke to this woman, is this a woman that doesn't  
12 have confidence and is not sure? Is that what you are saying?  
13 That that woman, Jennifer Kolar, is not a confident person,  
14 speaking directly on that day?

15 A. During the December 16th interview, she was not confident  
16 and she was not sure.

17 Q. And where she was not sure, that is what you wrote in your  
18 handwriting?

19 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor, asked and  
20 answered.

21 THE COURT: He's answered it, so you will have to  
22 accept the answer.

23 BY MR. BLOOM:

24 Q. Is there a "not sure" after Joe?

25 A. Are you asking on my notes is there a "not sure"?

1 Q. Yes, sir, I am asking you that.

2 A. No, there is not.

3 Q. Is there a "not sure" after Chelsea (Josie)?

4 A. No, sir, there is not.

5 Q. As to Darren (Canada) Thurston, is there a "not sure"  
6 after that?

7 A. No.

8 Q. She told you that he, Darren Thurston, he knew that it was  
9 going to be an arson, right?

10 A. That's what my notes reflect.

11 Q. Those are the notes that you want to be as accurate as  
12 possible, right?

13 A. The notes aren't a transcript of what happened. They are  
14 just used to aid my memory later on. Every single word that  
15 Ms. Kolar or any subject or witness that I interview -- I am  
16 not going to put down every single word that they say in my  
17 notes.

18 Q. But if they say they are not sure, you put that down  
19 because that's important?

20 A. I don't know if I would or not.

21 Q. Now, at some point she said there, the last one, there was  
22 an "un male," meaning unidentified male?

23 A. The very last --

24 Q. The last person who was at Susanville.

25 A. Where it is says "un male, punk kid"?

1 Q. That one. Yes. So she couldn't identify the person, but  
2 she described him as a punk kid; is that correct?

3 A. I don't know how she described him. I don't know if she  
4 just said punk kid as in a nickname or she described him as  
5 someone would describe in their opinion someone who looks like  
6 a punk.

7 Q. Did she tell you that at Susanville, the people had camped  
8 for three or four days?

9 A. Yes, that's what I have in my notes.

10 Q. So it's fair to say that you understood if that was true,  
11 those are people she'd be able to identify she was with. She  
12 wasn't with them for an hour or two. She was with them for  
13 three or four days, as you understood it; is that correct?

14 A. Well, I don't know if that necessarily means that she  
15 would be able to identify them --

16 Q. Please answer my question. Did you understand that they  
17 were there for three or four days together?

18 A. I understood that they camped three to four days prior to  
19 the arson.

20 Q. You've investigated bank robberies where victims get to  
21 see the robbers for 30 seconds or three minutes or five  
22 minutes?

23 A. A few.

24 Q. And there's possible identification problems with a short  
25 period of the person being -- a suspect being in the vision of

1 the witness, right?

2 A. At times.

3 Q. Three four days is about as long as it gets, isn't it, to  
4 get to know who's with you?

5 A. Well, I don't know if they were all together that entire  
6 time for the three, four days. I don't know what happened  
7 during those three, four days.

8 Q. Did you come to learn that there was a period where she  
9 got in the car and went to get gasoline to use at that arson?  
10 Did you come to learn that?

11 A. I don't recall that specific statement. I don't know  
12 that. I don't know.

13 Q. Did there come a time that afternoon where you talked to  
14 her, you and Agent Halla and Assistant United States Attorney  
15 Andrew Friedman, spoke to her about the University of  
16 Washington arson?

17 A. Yes, we did.

18 Q. Was that immediately after you talked about Susanville?

19 A. I don't know if it was immediately after. I know we took  
20 a few breaks here and there. It was during the same  
21 interview.

22 Q. The next subject matter that was discussed?

23 A. Yes. But I am not sure if it was immediately after. It  
24 was the next subject matter.

25 Q. Just after you discussed the University of Washington,

1 there was still another discussion about a couple of other  
2 matters, right? About Joe Dibee having two airplanes -- look  
3 at page 310 -- Joe Dibee having two airplanes and other things  
4 about Joe Dibee, as somebody who was raised Catholic and Joe  
5 has a powerful family in Syria, may be involved in the  
6 military. That was discussed at the end of meeting; is that  
7 correct?

8 **A.** That's correct.

9 **Q.** But before the end of the meeting, you talked about the  
10 University of Washington arson; is that correct?

11 **A.** That's correct.

12 **Q.** That was really important to the Seattle office of the  
13 FBI, right?

14 **A.** It is an important investigation.

15 **Q.** Now, by the time that subject came up, you knew that she  
16 was confessing to crimes; is that correct?

17 **A.** Yes.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Bloom, let me stop you there as we  
19 get into the University of Washington matter to take the break  
20 and then let you deal with that situation. Take your  
21 afternoon break. Don't discuss the case. Leave your books on  
22 the chair. I will have you back in here in about 15 minutes.

23 (Jury not present.)

24 THE COURT: Then we'll be in recess. Same  
25 admonition, don't discuss the case.

1 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.

2 (Afternoon recess.)

3 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

4 Ready? Bring them in.

5 (Jury present.)

6 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

7 Mr. Bloom.

8 MR. BLOOM: Sure.

9 BY MR. BLOOM:

10 Q. We were about to talk about what Jennifer Kolar told you  
11 on December 16th of 2005, but just one preliminary question.

12 You understood that she had about nine days to think about  
13 what she was going to say, nine days after the arrest of the  
14 people in the Oregon case, right?

15 A. There was about a week before.

16 Q. December 7th and December 16th, a little over a week?

17 A. Yes, a little over a week.

18 Q. So when she told you -- you asked her about the University  
19 of Washington Center for Urban Horticulture, she told you  
20 about somebody named Peaches, a tall, lanky, blonde guy. I  
21 will put up this page of your notes.

22 They will be up here. You can look at page 05103, if you  
23 need to. She told you that a tall, lanky, blonde guy from the  
24 southwest showed up in Seattle to go scout the University of  
25 Washington, right?



1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Was she clear on that?

3 A. You know, I am not sure how clear she was on that.

4 Q. If you had a tape, it would be clear, right?

5 A. A tape recorder?

6 Q. Yes, sir.

7 A. If I had a tape recorder, I would know exactly the word  
8 she said during the proffer.

9 Q. And then she told you who was at the arson, did she not?  
10 Did she not?

11 A. She told us --

12 Q. I am sorry. Go ahead, I'm sorry.

13 A. She told us that she was certain there were two people at  
14 the arson.

15 Q. Now, at this point, she was telling you who was at the  
16 arson and we know that because you wrote the words "at arson"?

17 A. Well, again, you know, there's differences in my notes and  
18 there's differences in Agent Halla's notes, and we can compare  
19 those if we need to.

20 But in terms of what she was saying to us, she was  
21 certain -- and at one point we asked her, because there was so  
22 much confusion, "what are you sure about, regarding the  
23 University of Washington?" And she said, "I am sure that I  
24 was there and Avalon was there."

25 Q. Now, let's get back to the question I asked. You wrote

1 the words "at arson"; is that correct?

2 **A.** I did write those words, yes.

3 **Q.** Aside from conflicts or differences in your notes, and  
4 Mr. Friedman's notes perhaps, we don't know. Have you ever  
5 seen Mr. Friedman's notes?

6 **A.** No.

7 **Q.** Now, whatever confusion may have -- there may have been  
8 between your notes and Agent Halla's notes in the preparation  
9 of the typed 302, on the day you were there, the afternoon of  
10 December 16, you wrote the words "at arson," right?

11 **A.** I wrote those words.

12 **Q.** And then did she tell you that Avalon had organized -- he  
13 was the person who organized the arson?

14 **A.** Yes.

15 **Q.** You put the number one next to Avalon; is that right?

16 **A.** I did put the number one, yes.

17 **Q.** And then she told you that there was another person there,  
18 an unidentified female that she called Capitol Hill Girl; is  
19 that correct?

20 **A.** No. She said that she was not certain if that person was  
21 there or not.

22 **Q.** This is Susanville. Do you see that? What's that say?

23 **A.** Those words say "not sure."

24 **Q.** What's that say?

25 **A.** "Not sure."

- 1 Q. What's that say?
- 2 A. "Not sure."
- 3 Q. University of Washington, do you see any "not sure" there?
- 4 A. No, but --
- 5 Q. Do you see any "not sure" there?
- 6 A. No, sir, I do not see the words "not sure."
- 7 Q. Do you see any "not sure" next to any of those names?
- 8 A. Those words are not on there.
- 9 Q. In fact, she told you that person number two -- you wrote
- 10 the number two; that's your writing, the number two?
- 11 A. That's number two.
- 12 Q. And you wrote the words "unidentified female, Capitol Hill
- 13 Girl," right?
- 14 A. Those are the words I wrote, yes.
- 15 Q. And then you wrote "Crazy Dan guy" and you wrote the
- 16 number three, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then you wrote "Punk Boyfriend, number four," and then
- 19 you did an arrow between the unidentified female and the Punk
- 20 Boyfriend to show that's the person she clearly told you, the
- 21 Punk Boyfriend of that woman, was person number four?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. She didn't tell you that, but you put the number four
- 24 there, right?
- 25 A. I did put the number four there.

1 Q. And there's a number five and it says "me," and that means  
2 Kolar, right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Then she went on to say, the night of the arson somebody  
5 met at the Greenlake bar to coordinate?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And what she told you is these are the five people that  
8 met at that Greenlake bar to coordinate?

9 A. No, that's not what she told me.

10 Q. You are saying she was unsure, right?

11 A. I am saying she was unsure other than herself and Avalon.

12 Q. Now, did there come a time, jumping ahead to August of  
13 2007, about six, seven months ago, do you remember -- I don't  
14 know if you were present.

15 Did you come to learn that Mr. Bartlett, right here --  
16 that he interviewed Jennifer Kolar?

17 A. I was not present during that interview.

18 Q. Did you come to learn that he had interviewed Jennifer  
19 Kolar on August 15th of 2007?

20 A. I don't know that for sure. I don't know.

21 Q. This is in evidence. This is Exhibit A-67.

22 Could you read the highlighted part, please?

23 A. Paragraph 2?

24 Q. Yes.

25 A. "In preparing for Waters' upcoming trial, I met with

1 Jennifer Kolar and Michael Martin on Wednesday, August 15,  
2 2007, to review the questions I anticipated asking her.  
3 Toward the end of the interview, we reviewed Kolar's memory of  
4 her first interview with federal law enforcement agents on  
5 December 16, 2007. Kolar indicated she remembered the  
6 interview and believed that, when discussing the University of  
7 Washington arson at the end of the interview, she identified  
8 Bill Rodgers (Avalon), herself, Capitol Hill Girl, a punk male  
9 whom she believed might be Capitol Hill Girl's boyfriend, and  
10 Crazy Dan as people she believed had participated."

11 **Q.** So she apparently -- let me go to page 2 of that  
12 declaration by Mr. Bartlett.

13 Could you read that line, please?

14 **A.** The last line?

15 **Q.** I declare.

16 **A.** Yes. "I declare under penalty of perjury that the  
17 foregoing is true and correct."

18 **Q.** Dated?

19 **A.** Dated this 27th day of August, 2007.

20 **Q.** That's 10 days -- I am sorry -- 12 days after Mr. Bartlett  
21 interviewed Ms. Kolar, he filed this, he prepared and signed  
22 this affidavit?

23 **A.** I am not sure when -- I don't know. I wasn't there, so I  
24 am not sure when the interview took place. If you are saying  
25 it's 10 days before, I don't know.

1 Q. In fact, Ms. Kolar stated to you on December 16th that  
2 one, two, three, four, five -- those are the five people who  
3 did this crime, didn't she?

4 A. No, she did not.

5 Q. And why would Mr. Bartlett report that that's what he told  
6 her on the 15th of August, if that were not true?

7 A. I don't know. The part you have highlighted here is  
8 believed, and there's no certainty in this, that she believed.  
9 This is not my affidavit, sir. I did not participate in the  
10 interview prior to this with Ms. Kolar. I don't have any  
11 knowledge of what happened or what was said in that interview.

12 Q. Does this in any way refresh your recollection, looking at  
13 Exhibit A-67, as to in fact she told you those are the people  
14 who committed the crime?

15 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor. We've gone  
16 over this 50 times. This is my affidavit --

17 THE COURT: Last time, I guess.

18 BY MR. BLOOM:

19 Q. Does it refresh your recollection?

20 A. My notes from December 16th refresh my recollection.

21 Q. You say your notes?

22 A. Refresh my recollection.

23 Q. These babies right here, right?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Was I there?

1   **A.**   At the interview?

2   **Q.**   Yes.

3   **A.**   No.

4   **Q.**   Did I tell you to put one, two, three, four and five next  
5   to these names?

6               MR. BARTLETT:  Objection, argumentative.

7               THE COURT:  This is argumentive now.  You will get a  
8   chance to argue to the jury.

9               MR. BLOOM:  Fair enough.

10  BY MR. BLOOM:

11  **Q.**   Going back to some of the initial questions I asked, it's  
12  important, FBI regulations and common sense tells you that you  
13  want to be accurate in your notes, and you want to be accurate  
14  in your typed record?

15  **A.**   Yes.

16  **Q.**   Did you do everything you could to be accurate in you  
17  writing these words and these numbers on December 16th, the  
18  very day she was talking, you were writing?

19  **A.**   I did my best to write down what I thought she was telling  
20  us, and what was most accurate was using this as a guide to my  
21  memory, for my memory in preparation for the FD-302.

22  **Q.**   Now, did there come a time when you and Agent Halla  
23  prepared a 302 that reflected what had happened at the  
24  December 16th interview?

25  **A.**   Yes.

1 Q. When did you do that?

2 A. When did I prepare the 302?

3 Q. Yes, sir.

4 A. Apparently -- and I have no recollection of this -- on a  
5 Sunday. I would have to review -- I believe it was the 18th,  
6 two days after the interview, I initiated a draft of that 302.

7 Q. All right. Was Agent Halla with you?

8 A. No, he was not.

9 Q. How did you prepare it? What instrument did you use to  
10 prepare it?

11 A. The 302, my desktop.

12 Q. A computer?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Do you have what's called a macro for preparing 302s?

15 A. Yes, it's an FD-302 macro.

16 Q. Could you explain to the jury what a macro is, please?

17 A. A macro is a preloaded form, if you will, in which -- if I  
18 want to generate a 302, there are some fields that I populate,  
19 if you will, like the date, time, location. And then that is  
20 captured on certain parts of the FD-302, and then I can go  
21 into my narrative.

22 Q. Now, you typed some words into that macro form; is that  
23 correct?

24 A. I typed some words into that macro form -- are you talking  
25 about the initial population of the form or the text?



1 Q. Whatever. Tell us what you did.

2 A. Okay. On the 18th of December, two days after the  
3 interview, I initiated an FD-302 macro. One of the very first  
4 things you do is, it asks you what date the investigation  
5 happened on or the interview happened on.

6 It asks you to fill in the location, just certain basic  
7 information like that. Then it brings you down to almost a  
8 free form area where you can type a narrative of what  
9 happened. On December 18th, I at least started that macro  
10 process.

11 Q. When you started the macro process, there came a time,  
12 whatever time that was on that Sunday, that you stopped,  
13 right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And did you save that document?

16 A. I must have saved that document.

17 Q. You wouldn't do otherwise?

18 A. Exactly.

19 Q. You don't want to duplicate your work?

20 A. No.

21 Q. You saved the document. When you save it, did you save it  
22 within your computer?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is that a desktop or laptop?

25 A. No, it's a desk computer.

1 Q. Did the document -- what word processing system do you  
2 use?

3 A. At that time, I don't know. I can't remember if it was  
4 Word Perfect, maybe Word Perfect. I can't remember.

5 Q. Could you speak a little louder, please.

6 A. At that time, I don't know if it was Word Perfect or not.  
7 I believe it was Word Perfect, but we switched. I am not  
8 sure. I don't remember.

9 Q. You titled the document and you hit save, right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And then did you upload it to the FBI computer?

12 A. What do you mean by upload?

13 Q. Did you send it anywhere?

14 A. Well, I saved it, which would have saved it on my hard  
15 drive of the computer. I don't know if I sent it anywhere. I  
16 may have emailed a draft to Agent Halla, but I don't know. I  
17 know I saved it.

18 Q. You saved it to your hard disk on your desktop, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So it should be there today?

21 A. No, it's not there today.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. Because eventually, when it's uploaded as you say, then  
24 it's taken off our desktop hard drive, and I don't know what  
25 happens to it, actually, but it's off the hard drive and then

1 uploaded.

2 Q. If you were to give your hard drive to an expert, is it  
3 your understanding that what -- the first thing you wrote, the  
4 first macro you wrote, the first population of the what  
5 happened section, that would be able to be reproduced or  
6 reproduced by an expert?

7 A. It's my understanding that no, that very first draft or  
8 even subsequent drafts is not kept anywhere. I am not a  
9 computer expert. I don't know our operating systems  
10 intimately, but it is my understanding it's not there.

11 Q. Would it be okay if you gave us your hard drive so we  
12 could have it analyzed? Would you do that?

13 A. That is not up to me.

14 Q. It's your hard drive?

15 A. No, it's not. It's the Government's.

16 Q. Would you give them your approval to do it?

17 A. If the Government told me -- if the FBI told me to hand  
18 over my hard drive, I would. But like I say, that is nowhere  
19 near my area of approval or anything.

20 Q. It hasn't happened. You have not been asked by either  
21 Mr. Friedman or Mr. Bartlett or Attorney General Gonzalez or  
22 any of his designees to turn over your hard drive?

23 A. No.

24 Q. So whatever you first wrote on that hard drive, the very  
25 first draft -- is that the right word? Is "draft" a good

1 word?

2 **A.** I believe "draft" is fair.

3 **Q.** Okay. That would reflect -- if somebody had that -- that  
4 would reflect just what it was you wrote based on your notes  
5 of what happened at that interview, right?

6 **A.** Are you saying if we had a copy of the draft I wrote on  
7 that Sunday --

8 **Q.** Yes, sir.

9 **A.** -- we would know what it said?

10 **Q.** Yes, sir.

11 **A.** Yeah, sure.

12 **Q.** Now, there came a time where there were several revisions  
13 of that document; of the 302, I mean.

14 **A.** Theoretically, yes. There were several drafts, you know,  
15 going back -- you know, Special Agent Halla and I were in  
16 disagreement of what was said or what we understood what was  
17 said, and so I remember having some, not disagreements, but  
18 some discussions about that.

19 I remember having some confusion about that and going back  
20 to our notes and our notes differing and our notes not being  
21 exactly the same. I don't recall the specifics of what we  
22 were confused about.

23 **Q.** Well, your notes were more complete in terms of the names  
24 that were mentioned, more complete than Agent Halla's with  
25 regard to the names that were mentioned in connection with the

1 University of Washington arson; is that correct?

2 **A.** I would need to refer to Mr. Halla's notes.

3 **Q.** Okay. Let me show them to you.

4 **A.** Okay.

5 **Q.** Or they are probably up there. If they are not, I think  
6 it would probably be A-28.

7 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, I have no objection to  
8 these notes being admitted either.

9 MR. BLOOM: I haven't offered them. I may offer  
10 them. I would ask Mr. Bartlett not interrupt my questioning.  
11 I am offering something during my examination. I think it's  
12 improper.

13 THE COURT: Let's stay on track and get to the  
14 questions and stop the bickering here. There's no need for  
15 that.

16 I will give you my copy while we are waiting for the Court  
17 copy.

18 BY MR. BLOOM:

19 **Q.** To save you time, you can look through any part you want,  
20 but if you look at the page where the Bates number is  
21 014005 -- I am sorry, start with 004.

22 That's where it begins about the University of Washington?

23 **A.** Yes.

24 **Q.** Is it fair to say in terms of names, your notes are more  
25 complete than Agent Halla's notes? Your names include Crazy

1 Dan guy, and the punk boyfriend of the Capitol Hill Girl?

2 A. My notes contain more names than his notes.

3 Q. Right.

4 A. Which speaks to the whole confusion of the matter.

5 Q. Was she confused or were you confused or was he confused?

6 A. We both were.

7 Q. But, of course, once again, tape recorder, no confusion?

8 A. A tape recorder would have recorded the exact words that  
9 were said.

10 Q. You understand in criminal cases, the burden of proof is  
11 always on the prosecution?

12 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor, argument.

13 THE COURT: Sustained. I will tell them what the law  
14 is.

15 MR. BLOOM: Okay.

16 BY MR. BLOOM:

17 Q. Was it in your mind -- withdraw.

18 Now, in fact, when was the completed version, the final  
19 version of the typed 302 completed?

20 A. I don't know exactly what day it was completed -- that  
21 Special Agent finished typing it. It was submitted and  
22 finalized for serialization on February 9th.

23 Q. How many different versions or drafts of that document  
24 were prepared?

25 A. Just one version, but I don't know how many drafts.

1 Q. I don't want to quibble with words, but there were drafts  
2 and then there were changes, another draft would be made and  
3 then there may be changes?

4 A. There may be, yes.

5 Q. How many different times was it changed before you all  
6 arrived at the version, the final version?

7 A. I have no recollection of how many drafts there were.

8 Q. You have no recollection but the computer would tell the  
9 story, right?

10 A. How so?

11 Q. The computer would have each of the drafts; is that  
12 correct?

13 A. I am not sure if it would or not; I don't know.

14 Q. Now, there came a time on February 9th, I think you said,  
15 that there was a version -- that was the version of what was  
16 going -- did you say serialized?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What does serialized mean?

19 A. It's the way -- our supervisor initials the bottom part of  
20 the 302, maybe Block stamps it with a date stamp, and then  
21 that's when it becomes serialized. It becomes one of the  
22 serials for the entire case file. It's given a number. Just  
23 like our exhibits are given a number -- the 302s are given a  
24 number.

25 Q. Now, are you able to -- did you have anything to do with

1 executing the search warrant at Mr. Dibee's either workplace  
2 or home?

3 **A.** I did.

4 **Q.** Did you do an affidavit in support of getting a search  
5 warrant wherein you talked about recovery of deleted files?

6 **A.** I did have an affidavit regarding a search warrant in  
7 Mr. Dibee's residence. I did participate in a search of  
8 Mr. Dibee's office at work. I don't recall the exact language  
9 of that in terms of deleted files, if that's what you are  
10 asking.

11 **Q.** Do you remember in an affidavit, an attempt to get the  
12 search warrant, writing that "when a person deletes a file on  
13 a home computer, the data contained in the file do not  
14 actually disappear. Rather, the data remain on the hard drive  
15 until they are overwritten by new data. As a result, deleted  
16 files or remnants of deleted files may reside in free or slack  
17 space, i.e., space on the hard drive that is not allocated to  
18 an active file, or that is unused after a file has been  
19 allocated to a sentinel block storage space for long periods  
20 of time before they are overwritten."

21 Do you remember swearing to the truth of that statement?

22 **A.** No. I don't remember that statement at all. I remember  
23 swearing to an affidavit for a search warrant, but I cannot  
24 recall what was in the specific language of that affidavit.

25 **Q.** Now, when you prepared the typewritten -- I want to get



1 back to serialization. Serialize kind of means what it says,  
2 it has a chronological record of the documents that are filed  
3 in the investigation; is that correct?

4 **A.** Yes.

5 **Q.** So that whatever serialization this numbered document is,  
6 the 302 reflecting the Kolar interview, it would be in a  
7 series of numbers; there would be a number before it, a number  
8 after it, a lot of numbers before it, a lot of numbers after  
9 it, right?

10 **A.** Yes.

11 **Q.** That's to keep track of the FBI work with regard to a  
12 particular investigation, right?

13 **A.** Yes.

14 **Q.** So that even though the date, December 16th, would -- I am  
15 sorry, December 18th would appear as the date of  
16 transcription --

17 **MR. BLOOM:** And I move in evidence A-27, which is the  
18 302.

19 **MR. BARTLETT:** For completeness, I think Special  
20 Agent Halla's notes should also be, A-28, also.

21 **MR. BLOOM:** If I may, Judge --

22 **THE COURT:** When you get a chance to cross-examine,  
23 we'll deal with that.

24 **MR. BLOOM:** That's right. I may do that; I may not  
25 do that. But for now, I am allowed to conduct my own

1 exami nation. Could he please be instructed that he shoul dn' t  
2 be doing that? He shoul dn' t be interrupting me to offer  
3 exhi bi ts i n evi dence during my exami nation.

4 THE COURT: I agree. Let's wait the turn. At the  
5 same time, we don't need to spend a lot of time on this.  
6 Like I said, stop the bickering; let's get to the issue.

7 MR. BLOOM: This is it, Judge. This is about what  
8 Jenni fer Kolar said --

9 THE COURT: Would you ask the question, please?

10 MR. BLOOM: I am, and I would appreciate --

11 THE COURT: Would you ask the question?

12 MR. BLOOM: -- Mr. Bartlett not interrupting me.

13 I offer into evidence Exhi bi t A-27, which is the typed 302  
14 form.

15 MR. BARTLETT: No objection.

16 THE COURT: This is A-27?

17 MR. BLOOM: A-27, yes.

18 THE COURT: All right.

19 (Exhi bi t No. A-27 admi tted.)

20 BY MR. BLOOM:

21 Q. This is the first page of that document. There's a copy  
22 before you. It says date of transcription 12-18-05?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. That's not exactly accurate. It really should say 2-9-06?

25 A. My practice, and from what I understand, the process is --

1 and what I've always done from the beginning of my career --  
2 the date of transcription is the date I initiate that macro.

3 Q. Would you look at page 8 of the document? Right there.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Am I correct that the document I am touching is the  
6 document that's finalized, serialized, approximately a month  
7 and-a-half, almost two months after the interview; is that  
8 correct?

9 A. Yes. I don't see a serialized stamp on here, but this  
10 seems to be that final version, yes.

11 Q. Two months after the interview, you and Agent Halla agreed  
12 that it should say, "The night of the arson, Kolar, 'Avalon',  
13 and few other individuals met at a bar/restaurant."

14 Is that correct?

15 A. Well, I don't know whether we agreed on that paragraph,  
16 whether it was early on in that time period or whether it was  
17 on February 9th. But eventually, that's what we agreed on.

18 Q. That's what you put out there; is that correct?

19 A. Excuse me?

20 Q. That's what you put out there sometime after Briana Waters  
21 had become a suspect?

22 A. That's what we put in this 302.

23 Q. Yes, sir. That's my question.

24 A. If you are asking me -- this 302 was finalized after the  
25 name Briana Waters was given to me?

1 Q. Yes, sir, that's my question.

2 A. Yes, that's true.

3 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say what you didn't want to have in  
4 your 302 was Avalon, Capitol Hill Girl, Capitol Hill Girl's  
5 boyfriend, Crazy Dan? You didn't want to have those names in  
6 there when you were going to be accusing, perhaps, Briana  
7 Waters; is that correct?

8 A. What we wanted in this 302 was what our understanding is  
9 the most accurate version, or the most accurate report, I  
10 should say, of what was said to us on December 16th.

11 Q. On December 16th, referring to your notes, this is what  
12 you wrote? You wrote in your handwriting?

13 A. This is my handwriting.

14 Q. And you wrote it?

15 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor, we have gone  
16 over this quite a few times.

17 MR. BLOOM: I will move on.

18 BY MR. BLOOM:

19 Q. In fact, on the typed form, you didn't say anything there  
20 about names, those three names, Capitol Hill Girl, punk  
21 boyfriend, (Crazy Dan), not sure.

22 You didn't even put that in there, did you?

23 A. That is not in the 302. What was put in the 302, like I  
24 said, is the most accurate understanding of what she meant and  
25 what she said during her proffer.

1 Q. You are sitting here in front of this jury and you are  
2 telling this jury that this is not accurate --

3 MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, objection, argumentative.

4 MR. BLOOM: I am not finished with my question.

5 BY MR. BLOOM:

6 Q. Your handwriting statement is not accurate? Is that what  
7 you are saying?

8 A. Well, it depends on what you mean -- it depends on what we  
9 are talking about, the context we are talking about.

10 If you are talking about, is it accurate these are the  
11 words I wrote, yes, those are the words I wrote. That's  
12 accurate.

13 Is it accurate in what she was telling us in who she  
14 believed was at the arson, or not necessarily? What was  
15 accurate was she believed and she told us that she was  
16 absolutely certain that Avalon and herself were at the arson.

17 If you compare my notes with Mr. Halla's notes, it  
18 reflects that confusion.

19 Q. I want to compare your notes about the University of  
20 Washington to your notes about Susanville where you wrote "not  
21 sure," when she wasn't sure.

22 MR. BARTLETT: This is argumentative, objection.

23 THE COURT: I think we are going back and forth now.  
24 That's been covered and answered.

25 BY MR. BLOOM:

1 Q. Okay. Now, in fact, at the beginning of that interview,  
2 Mr. Friedman said to her, "It's most important to tell the  
3 truth." Is that true?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Was it explained to her what she was facing in terms of  
6 possible punishment?

7 A. I don't think so. I think what was explained to her is  
8 that it's most important that you tell the truth. I believe  
9 she was given what is called a proffer letter.

10 Q. I am sorry, a what?

11 A. A proffer letter.

12 Q. What's that?

13 A. A proffer letter -- a proffer is more of a one-sided  
14 conversation, not a confession. It's for someone -- like a  
15 pre-interview, pre-confession if you will.

16 It's someone coming to us, coming to the Government  
17 saying, this is what I know about a particular crime and  
18 perhaps my involvement in that crime.

19 Then it is up to the Government, based on that, whether we  
20 decide to file charges or not. It's more of a one-way  
21 interview, if you will.

22 Q. I am not quite clear on that. Who prepares that kind of  
23 document?

24 A. The U.S. Attorney's Office does.

25 Q. What does the document say more or less?

1 **A.** More or less that you have to be truthful -- I don't know  
2 specific -- I don't know the nuances of the legal proffer  
3 procedure, other than you have to be truthful, that if no  
4 charges are ultimately filed against you, then we cannot use  
5 this information against you, from what I understand.

6 **Q.** Does such a proffer say what she's accused of or give any  
7 of the facts for which she's accused of?

8 **A.** I don't know. I don't believe so. I would need a copy of  
9 that in front of me.

10 **Q.** In general, in other situations, other than Jennifer  
11 Kolar?

12 **A.** I don't know. I can't remember.

13 **Q.** So you can't remember if it says -- somebody says that  
14 you -- maybe Stan Meyerhoff says you were involved or Joe  
15 Di bee says you were involved, or somebody says you were  
16 involved in a particular event, does it say anything like  
17 that?

18 **A.** I believe no. I am not sure for certain, but I don't know  
19 if a proffer letter has those specifics, other than the caveat  
20 that she tells the truth and if we decide not to charge her  
21 based on the information she gives us, that that information  
22 cannot be used against her. It's like a five-paragraph  
23 document. I would need it in front of me to --

24 **Q.** So this letter, this proffer letter -- is the word  
25 letter -- give me the word if I am not using the right word.

1 Proffer document? Or just proffer?

2 A. Just proffer.

3 Q. And that's presented to the potential witness, potential  
4 cooperating witness?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Through her lawyer -- his or her lawyer?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Is that your recollection of what happened on that first  
9 day?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Do people sign that document?

12 A. From what I recall, I believe she signs it and possibly  
13 her attorney. I don't know. I don't know.

14 Q. Think back. That day, what time of day was it that you  
15 first saw her?

16 A. It was afternoon, late afternoon. I am not sure exactly  
17 what time it started.

18 Q. Think back. This proffer was given to her?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Did everybody around the table sign it, Ms. Kolar, her  
21 lawyer, maybe Mr. Friedman, maybe not the agents, but maybe  
22 Mr. Friedman?

23 A. I don't recall signing it.

24 Q. Do you remember Mr. Friedman signing it?

25 A. I don't recall that.



1 Q. Did she say to you, myself -- I did it with Avalon and a  
2 few other individuals? Did she say that?

3 A. No, she said she did it with herself and Avalon. That's  
4 what she was certain about.

5 Q. You wrote -- what you wrote was, "The night of the arson,  
6 Kolar, 'Avalon', and few other individuals met at a  
7 bar/restaurant," that's what you wrote?

8 A. This is not what I wrote. Mr. Halla prepared this 302.

9 Q. Your name is on it; is that right?

10 A. My name is on it.

11 Q. Right there?

12 A. But that doesn't necessarily mean I typed or wrote those  
13 words. If it's on page 8, I would submit that I did not write  
14 those words.

15 Q. So you are putting it on Agent Halla?

16 A. I am not putting it on him. He's the one that wrote the  
17 document.

18 Q. She told you that a few other individuals met at a bar;  
19 did she tell you that?

20 A. Yes, she did.

21 Q. What is index -- tell the jury what indexing is.

22 A. Indexing?

23 Q. Yes, sir.

24 A. Indexing a document, when we submit our FD-302 to our  
25 supervisor for serialization, we submit a second copy of it.

1 On the second copy, we would circle or underline a name, a  
2 phone number in red ink or pen or pencil, and that would tell  
3 the secretary, when she uploads it, to enter whatever is  
4 circled, that name or phone number or address, into our FBI  
5 database.

6 Q. That's a really key part of how the FBI's investigations  
7 work, is it not? You get a name, you put it in the database  
8 so that you and every other agent in the country can have  
9 access to that; is that correct?

10 A. Yeah. It is accessible by -- most of the time, it is  
11 accessible by -- you mean the 302s are accessible?

12 Q. The names that are indexed. Let me make clear what I am  
13 asking. Indexing is a very important part of FBI work?

14 A. It is very important, yes.

15 Q. It is important because indexing means you hear a name and  
16 you write it. You write it first in hand and then you put it  
17 in a 302?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And then a clerk of some kind, an assistant at the FBI,  
20 takes the 302, and does what they call indexes?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And indexing is you look at the name -- in fact, I don't  
23 know if it's still true, but you used to put a vertical line  
24 through the name to show that that name had been indexed.

25 Is that still the practice?

1   **A.** Perhaps. I don't know.

2   **Q.** Well, you've seen 302s in the recent five years?

3   **A.** Sure.

4   **Q.** That's the way it's done. In some way, there's an  
5 indication from the clerk that the clerk has indexed the name?

6   **A.** I am not sure. I usually don't refer to the indexed  
7 version of a 302 afterward. I don't know what the checks and  
8 balances are in terms of how it's indexed.

9   **Q.** Whatever it is, it's important to get names and if you get  
10 -- from the person being interviewed -- and when you get a  
11 name, you put it in the 302 with whatever explanatory language  
12 you need to do, to explain that this is a name that was  
13 mentioned, but she wasn't sure.

14       That's what you do, just so that the names are there so  
15 that you and whoever else takes up the investigation  
16 understands that she mentioned the name and that you wrote  
17 whatever it was that was pertinent about that name.

18       Now, if that's too long a question -- it is a long  
19 question.

20   **A.** Yes.

21   **Q.** I will break it down for you. I am sorry.

22       The importance of indexing is that way you know that any  
23 name that you heard from a witness gets into the database?

24   **A.** Not any name. I can't sit here and say every name is  
25 indexed, but I think the main names are indexed, the reference

1 names are indexed. If it's significant, it's indexed. I  
2 can't say that every name is. I am not sure.

3 Q. If somebody is talking about politics and says Fidel  
4 Castro, you don't index that, but if there's a mention that  
5 this person was or may have been a person who committed the  
6 arson, you would put that name down so it could be indexed?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. She mentioned to you Capitol Hill Girl on December 16th,  
9 did she not?

10 A. She did mention the name Capitol Hill Girl.

11 Q. She mentioned the punk boyfriend of Capitol Hill Girl?

12 A. Yes, she did.

13 Q. She mentioned Crazy Dan?

14 A. She did.

15 Q. Yet, none of those names wound up in your 302?

16 A. At this point in the 302, soon after, I don't know how  
17 soon -- relatively soon after Jennifer Kolar's interview,  
18 there was an entire investigation going on, between  
19 California, Oregon and Washington, and we very soon afterwards  
20 discovered that those three individuals she named most likely  
21 were not involved in the arson. We knew that Crazy Dan was  
22 the source. To protect the source, we are probably not going  
23 to index that person.

24 We knew that Capitol Hill Girl was another individual,  
25 Savoi e, who was confessing to crimes down south. And punk

1 boy, eventually we found out who he was and never really  
2 suspected him of anything.

3 And the serialization of the 302 happens when we -- I am  
4 sorry, the indexing for the 302 happens at the point of  
5 serialization and approval. So if it was done on February  
6 9th, then we've had several weeks to figure that out.

7 Q. So, in other words, what you are saying is, you came to  
8 determine that she was not telling you the truth on December  
9 16th; is that correct?

10 A. No, that's not correct. She told us the truth when she  
11 said she was certain that herself and Avalon were there, and  
12 that she was uncertain about everyone else, we believed her.

13 Q. She didn't say that. She told Mr. Bartlett in August of  
14 last year that she identified all those four people and  
15 herself; she identified them as the participants. That's what  
16 she said then to Mr. Bartlett and that's what your notes tell  
17 us.

18 A. I wasn't there for that interview with Mr. Bartlett.

19 Q. Oh, Mr. Bartlett is certainly not going to lie in a sworn  
20 document, is he?

21 A. No.

22 THE COURT: Haven't we covered that? Mr. Bloom,  
23 haven't you already covered that?

24 MR. BLOOM: I am now impeaching this witness because  
25 he is clearly not telling us the truth.

1 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: That's editorializing. He won't agree  
3 with you, and I think that's where it's at a standstill.

4 MR. BLOOM: He doesn't have to agree with me. It's  
5 up to the jury to figure out once I present what he says and  
6 what the facts are.

7 THE COURT: What I am trying to get you to do, sir,  
8 is not ask the same question over and over and over again and  
9 get the same answer over and over again.

10 MR. BLOOM: Fair enough.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 BY MR. BLOOM:

13 Q. Now, you understood that this 302 that you finalized,  
14 serialized on February 9th, that some defense lawyer of some  
15 defendant would very likely discover that, get that document  
16 disclosed to him or her; is that correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So you made a decision not to include Capitol Hill Girl,  
19 boyfriend, and Crazy Dan in the 302? You made that  
20 decision -- you and Agent Halla together made that decision?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. The reason that you made that decision is because that  
23 person -- in this case, Briana Waters -- could show a jury  
24 that she, Jennifer Kolar, had given different names the very  
25 first time she spoke to law enforcement?

1   **A.**  No.

2   **Q.**  You already told us she was cautioned how important it was  
3   to tell the truth?

4   **A.**  Ms. Kolar?

5   **Q.**  Yes, sir.

6   **A.**  Yes.

7   **Q.**  Let's move to something else.

8       I want to talk about some other things that Jennifer Kolar  
9   told you on that very -- at the very first interview.  If we  
10  could look at your notes, which is Exhibit A-29, I think.  If  
11  you could look at the page that's designated 015310, and the  
12  reason I use that is because you didn't number the top of the  
13  pages 1 through whatever, right?

14       I am not saying you should have.  I am just saying they  
15  are not otherwise numbered.

16  **A.**  Okay.  I have A-29 in front of me.

17  **Q.**  If you look at page 015310.  The last three digits are  
18  310.

19  **A.**  Yes.

20  **Q.**  Okay.  Now, use that if you like, but I am going to put it  
21  up.

22       Now, did Jennifer Kolar tell you that about a week before  
23  the arson, Avalon contacts me about fire at UW?

24  **A.**  That's what she told us at this interview.  That's what I  
25  wrote down.

1 Q. At that interview, she didn't say, did she, it was either  
2 Avalon or Briana Waters?

3 A. No, that was at a later interview.

4 Q. In fact, at this interview, she never once mentioned  
5 Briana Waters; is that correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. If you were to be told that she testified it was either  
8 Avalon or Briana Waters who contacted her a week before the  
9 fire, that would not be supported by what she told you at the  
10 first interview, would it?

11 A. I believe she told us that at a subsequent interview.

12 Q. Right, after she had made a decision that she was going to  
13 try to pin it on Briana Waters --

14 MR. BARTLETT: Objection, Your Honor. This is just  
15 argument.

16 BY MR. BLOOM:

17 Q. At this first interview, she said it was Avalon who came  
18 to her, who contacted her about the fire, right?

19 A. That's what I have in my notes, and I am just wondering if  
20 --

21 Q. Take a look at Agent Halla's notes, if you like.

22 A. I would like. Thank you.

23 Q. Sure. Anything to refresh your recollection.

24 A. Which exhibit is his notes?

25 Q. I think that would be A-28.



1 MR. BLOOM: For Mr. Bartlett, let me offer that into  
2 evidence.

3 MR. BARTLETT: Thank you, Mr. Bloom.

4 MR. BLOOM: Sure, Mr. Bartlett, any time.

5 (Exhibit No. A-28 admitted.)

6 A. I have his notes in front of me, but I can't --

7 BY MR. BLOOM:

8 Q. Just take a look at any part of them you want. Perhaps it  
9 would help chronologically to try to find -- it's about the  
10 University of Washington event.

11 So if you look in that section of his notes and see if it  
12 refreshes your recollection about what it was that Jennifer  
13 Kolar told you, Mr. Friedman and Agent Halla, about who if  
14 anyone contacted her a week before the incident.

15 A. Well, his notes are -- he has Capitol Hill Girl here, and  
16 he has Avalon next to a five and six. I think chronologically  
17 that's where we would be at a week or two before the arson.

18 I am assuming that's shorthand for maybe that's who  
19 approached him -- I don't know. His notes are not --

20 Q. Not as complete as yours?

21 A. I don't know if they are not as complete, but they are  
22 more fragmented, I should say.

23 Q. That's why the two of you took notes, and perhaps  
24 Mr. Friedman also, so that with them all, you could put  
25 together a 302, right?

1   **A.** Yeah, Agent Halla's notes and my notes were used to  
2 generate the 302.

3   **Q.** Not Mr. Friedman's notes?

4   **A.** I never saw Mr. Friedman's notes.

5   **Q.** So, is there anything -- is it fair to say there's nothing  
6 in Agent Halla's notes about what Kolar told you about arson  
7 -- I am sorry, about Avalon contacting her about a week before  
8 the fire? It doesn't say one way or the other, right?

9   **A.** It doesn't say those words.

10   **Q.** Is there anything in there that refers to that at all?

11   **A.** It says "months before, walked around building."

12   **Q.** Well, the months before refers to when Peaches came to her  
13 and the two of them went and scouted or reconned the Center  
14 for Urban Horticulture, right?

15   **A.** Yes.

16   **Q.** That's what that refers to?

17   **A.** I think so. Then below that, it just has "A," which I  
18 assume is Avalon organizing the fire, because that's what I  
19 understood, and then Capitol Hill Girl. You have to ask Agent  
20 Halla if that's what he meant.

21   **Q.** So fragmented seems to be a good word, that his notes are  
22 more fragmented than yours?

23   **A.** That's my characterization of his notes.

24   **Q.** And that can happen, people take notes in different ways,  
25 right?

1   **A.**   Yes.

2   **Q.**   And your notes, as you learned -- you had five names and  
3 numbers next to them, right?

4   **A.**   Yes.

5   **Q.**   Now, if you look at the same page of your notes, page 310,  
6 and it's up there --

7   **A.**   Yes.

8   **Q.**   -- did she tell you and Mr. Friedman and Agent Halla that,  
9 "We all drove from someone else's car. We were all in one  
10 car/van and we left someone at the car for lookout"?

11   **A.**   That's what I wrote.

12   **Q.**   Did you write "van" because she said it could have been a  
13 van?

14   **A.**   You know, I don't recall exactly. I don't know if I was  
15 thinking van or thinking car, but that's what I wrote.

16   **Q.**   You were probably real tired, it was the end of the day,  
17 and you like to write the letters V-A-N?

18   **A.**   That's not what I am saying.

19   **Q.**   Why did you write "van"? Because she told you it could  
20 have been a van?

21   **A.**   Perhaps, I don't know.

22   **Q.**   Well, you wouldn't have written it for any other reason,  
23 right?

24   **A.**   I don't know. I have "car/van." Agent Halla has "car."  
25 I remember that's what we were in disagreement about, and

1 that's why "vehicle" ended up in the 302.

2 Q. So you went to "vehicle" because you didn't want to put  
3 the word "van," V-A-N, in the 302, did you?

4 A. It's because we weren't sure.

5 Q. But this is one thing that she wasn't sure about, whether  
6 it was a car or a van, so she said it could have been a car,  
7 it could have been a van, she wasn't sure. Isn't that what  
8 happened?

9 A. No. I don't know. I don't think so.

10 Q. Is it fair to say that you can't give us a reason, other  
11 than she might have said it, why you would have written the  
12 word "van"?

13 A. I cannot give you a reason.

14 Q. Did she also tell you on that day -- did she tell you  
15 earlier in the interview or did you know otherwise -- did you  
16 know Joe Di bee? Did you know the name?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. In fact, did you go to see him shortly after December 7th?  
19 Did he come in? Were you involved in questioning him?

20 A. When he came into the U.S. Attorney's Office?

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. Yes, I was there.

23 Q. He came in, you let him go home and before you knew it, he  
24 was long gone, right?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And so you knew who he was, right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. You knew he had been involved in a number of arsons; is  
4 that correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. You also knew that -- what did you know about his  
7 association with Jennifer Kolar?

8 A. Before that meeting or as a result of meeting with  
9 Ms. Kolar?

10 Q. What have you come to learn about his relationship to  
11 Jennifer Kolar?

12 A. I have come to learn that they were friends, at times  
13 close friends and dated on and off, maybe dated for a  
14 six-month period.

15 Q. Did you ever see a picture of the two of them together?

16 THE COURT: Mr. Bloom, you are going into the Court's  
17 file now.

18 MR. BLOOM: I am sorry, because I am not remembering  
19 the exhibit number.

20 MR. FOX: May I help you?

21 MR. BLOOM: What's the photograph?

22 BY MR. BLOOM:

23 Q. Now, did you have a discussion with Jennifer Kolar about  
24 Joe Di bee?

25 A. We asked her some questions about Joe Di bee.

1 Q. Did you ask -- did she say something about Joe Di bee?

2 MR. BLOOM: Exhibit 527, thank you. I am going to  
3 put it up on the screen.

4 BY MR. BLOOM:

5 Q. Putting up Exhibit 527 that's in evidence, what does that  
6 depict?

7 A. That is a picture of Joe Di bee and Jenni fer Kol ar.

8 Q. This is also Jenni fer Kol ar?

9 A. I believe so.

10 Q. Was that seized either at Di bee's work or home?

11 A. I do remember seeing this before. I believe it was taken  
12 from his residence, not his office.

13 Q. Did there come a time when his name came up with Jenni fer  
14 Kol ar on December 16th of '05, in connection with the UW  
15 arson?

16 A. Did he come up as -- when we were discussing the UW arson?

17 Q. Yes, sir, that's my question.

18 A. Okay. It's not one of the names. I remember asking -- I  
19 think we asked if he was there or not, and I think she said  
20 she wasn't sure, or no, she wasn't sure, or -- there it is.

21 Q. There it is.

22 A. Joe wasn't there, not sure.

23 Q. Joe wasn't there, and she said not sure of that, right?

24 A. Uh-huh.

25 Q. This guy right here, right?

1   **A.**   Yes, that's Joe Di bee.

2   **Q.**   Her boyfriend?

3   **A.**   Uh-huh.

4   **Q.**   She wasn't sure if he was there or not?

5   **A.**   Well, she wasn't sure -- she had characterized him as the  
6 grandfather of a lot of things in the movement, providing  
7 materials, providing maybe money, providing equipment for  
8 direct actions. So I have some recollection that she wasn't  
9 sure if he had provided some tools or --

10  **Q.**   I am sorry?

11  **A.**   Some tools or some equipment.

12  **Q.**   How about providing himself, picking her up and going to  
13 Susanville and committing an arson with her? How about that?  
14 Did he do that, too?

15  **A.**   I think that's what she said, that Joe picked her up; I  
16 went down with Joe to California to do it.

17  **Q.**   To do it, the arson, Susanville, right?

18  **A.**   Yes.

19  **Q.**   She said, when discussing the University of Washington  
20 arson, she said she wasn't sure if he was there?

21  **A.**   Right. That's not what I am saying.

22  **Q.**   No, I am asking you. Withdrawn. Please answer. I'm  
23 sorry.

24  **A.**   I am not saying that that specifically-- that those words  
25 "not sure" means she's saying she's not sure he wasn't there,

1 rather than not sure he provided some kind of assistance,  
2 whether it's there or not.

3 Q. It says, the words you wrote, "Joe wasn't there, (not  
4 sure)." That's what you wrote. It wasn't about providing  
5 assistance. The question was, was Joe Di bee there, and she  
6 said he wasn't there, but I am not sure of that.

7 A. No, I am not sure that's what she said. I am not even  
8 sure that's what the question was. The notes aren't a  
9 transcript of the interview. They are just used to aid my  
10 memory later.

11 Q. You know to this day that Jennifer Kolar has not  
12 identified Lacey Philabaum as being at that incident, the  
13 University of Washington? You know that, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And you know, as we've said, that she, Kolar, is a very  
16 intelligent person?

17 A. She is, yes.

18 Q. With lots of responsibilities that require intelligence  
19 and memory and the kinds of skills that intelligent people  
20 have?

21 A. I would say so.

22 Q. Did it occur to you that she was perhaps covering for Joe  
23 Di bee when she said he wasn't there, not sure?

24 A. I had no reason to believe that.

25 Q. Now, Joe Di bee, she described him; is that correct? As



1 the interview went on, if you look at the bottom of the page.

2 **A.** Yes. I remember -- the majority of the interview had  
3 concluded and we were wrapping things up, and we knew  
4 Mr. Di bee had fled the country and we were asking her some  
5 intelligence questions that may help us track him down.

6 **Q.** She told you he had two airplanes?

7 **A.** Yes.

8 **Q.** And he would fly to -- could you read --

9 **A.** I think that refers --

10 **Q.** I can't read them, fly to?

11 **A.** I think that refers to California, New Mexico, Canada,  
12 often.

13 **Q.** And he was raised Catholic, or she was?

14 **A.** I believe that refers to he was raised Catholic.

15 **Q.** Am I correct that you came to learn that they committed  
16 the crime at Susanville five months later together?

17 **A.** Yes.

18 **Q.** That was one month after the disaster of September 11th;  
19 is that correct?

20 **A.** Yes.

21 **Q.** In the Susanville arson, did you come to learn that they  
22 went to Susanville in his car, in Joe Di bee's car?

23 **A.** I believe that's what she said.

24 **Q.** Right, and they didn't go in a rented car. They went in  
25 his car, a car registered in his name?

1   **A.** I don't know if it's registered in his name, but I believe  
2 she said they went down in his vehicle.

3   **Q.** Now, Cavel West, did she talk to you -- if you go back to  
4 page 04 of your notes, 15304, when she talked about Cavel  
5 West, which she admitted to, right?

6   **A.** Yes.

7   **Q.** Did she tell you she went in either a Subaru or 4-Runner?  
8 You can take a look at that page.

9   **A.** Yes, either -- it looks like I have Subaru crossed out,  
10 and then a 4-Runner.

11   **Q.** That was Joe Dibee's car, right?

12   **A.** I assumed -- I believe Joe Dibee drove a 4-Runner, so I  
13 think so.

14   **Q.** So at Susanville, he committed the arson with her, right?

15   **A.** Yes.

16   **Q.** At Cavel West, he committed the arson with her, correct?

17   **A.** Yes.

18   **Q.** He was the one who brought the timing devices to Cavel  
19 West; is that correct?

20   **A.** My notes say, "Joe brought the timing devices. Went off  
21 prematurely."

22   **Q.** This is Cavel West, right?

23   **A.** This is Cavel West.

24   **Q.** And it's an arson, right?

25   **A.** Yes.

1 Q. If you also look on 307, did he also tell her -- did she  
2 report that he had told her, with regard to the Vail fire,  
3 Vail, Colorado -- you remember that, right?

4 Do you remember investigating -- that's part of your  
5 investigation, the Vail fire?

6 A. It's part of the overall conspiracy, yes.

7 Q. She reported that Joe had said to her, "I'm not directly  
8 involved in Vail. I may have supplied the equipment"?

9 A. Joe said, "I'm not directly involved in Vail. May have  
10 supplied equipment." That's what I have in quotes.

11 Q. Joe Dibee was a busy guy when it came to these arsons,  
12 wasn't he?

13 A. He was.

14 Q. Joe Dibee is her boyfriend, right?

15 A. At one time, they were boyfriends. I can't remember  
16 during which arsons they were boyfriend and girlfriend,  
17 together, but at one time they dated, yes.

18 MR. BLOOM: May we break for the day, please? I have  
19 substantial more questions.

20 THE COURT: All right. Then we'll recess for the  
21 day. I will have you back here in the morning, hopefully  
22 where you are, at 9:00. Don't discuss the case as you go  
23 about your business with anybody. Leave your books on the  
24 chair. Have a good evening.

25 See you at 9:00.

1 (Jury not present.)

2 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

3 Of course, you may step down and you are, of course, not  
4 to discuss your testimony.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

6 THE COURT: Anything else we need to do?

7 MR. BARTLETT: Not from the United States.

8 MR. BLOOM: No, thank you.

9 MR. FOX: I don't think so.

10 THE COURT: All right. I will see you in the  
11 morning.

12 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is adjourned.

13 (The Court recessed to Tuesday, February 26, 2008, at  
14 the hour of 9:00 a.m.)

15 \* \* \* \* \*

16 C E R T I F I C A T E

17

18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from  
19 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

20

21 /S/ Teri Hendrix

May 5, 2008

22 Teri Hendrix, Court Reporter

Date

23

24

25